Philanthropic Venture Capital: Venture Capital for Social Entrepreneurs?

Philanthropic Venture Capital: Venture Capital for Social Entrepreneurs?

Mariarosa Scarlata

Newcastle University, UK mariarosa.scarlata@newcastle.ac.uk

Luisa Alemany Gil

ESADE, Spain luisa.alemany@esade.edu

Andrew Zacharakis

Babson College, USA zacharakis@babson.edu



Boston - Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship

Published, sold and distributed by: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 1024 Hanover, MA 02339 USA Tel. +1-781-985-4510 www.nowpublishers.com sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 179 2600 AD Delft The Netherlands Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is M. Scarlata, L. Alemany Gil and A. Zacharakis, Philanthropic Venture Capital: Venture Capital for Social Entrepreneurs?, Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship, vol 8, no 4, pp 279–342, 2012.

ISBN: 978-1-60198-574-3 © 2012 M. Scarlata, L. Alemany Gil and A. Zacharakis

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship

Volume 8 Issue 4, 2012

Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief:

Zoltan J. Acs

George Mason University zacs@qmu.edu

David B. Audretsch

Indiana University daudrets@indiana.edu

Editors

Howard Aldrich, University of North Carolina

Sharon Alvarez, Ohio State University

Mark Casson, University of Reading

Per Davidsson, Queensland University of Technology

William B. Gartner, Clemson University

Sharon Gifford, Rutgers University

Magnus Henrekson, The Research Institute of Industrial Economics

Michael A. Hitt, Texas A&M University

Joshua Lerner, Harvard University

Simon Parker, University of Durham

Paul Reynolds, George Washington University

Kelly G. Shaver, College of William and Mary

David Storey, University of Warwick

Patricia Thornton, Duke University

Roy Thurik, Erasmus University

Gregory Udell, Indiana University

Sankaran Venkataraman, Batten Institute

Paul Westhead, Nottingham University Business School

Shaker Zahra, University of Minnesota

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Nascent and start-up entrepreneurs
- Opportunity recognition
- New venture creation process
- Business formation
- Firm ownership
- Market value and firm growth
- Franchising
- Managerial characteristics and behavior of entrepreneurs
- Strategic alliances and networks
- Government programs and public policy
- Gender and ethnicity

- New business financing
 - Business angels
 - Bank financing, debt, and trade credit
 - Venture capital and private equity capital
 - Public equity and IPO's
- Family-owned firms
- Management structure, governance and performance
- Corporate entrepreneurship
- High technology
 - Technology-based new firms
 - High-tech clusters
- Small business and economic growth

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Entrepreneurship, 2012, Volume 8, 6 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3114. ISSN online version 1551-3122. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends in Entrepreneurship Vol. 8, No. 4 (2012) 279–342 © 2012 M. Scarlata, L. Alemany Gil and A. Zacharakis DOI: 10.1561/0300000042



Philanthropic Venture Capital: Venture Capital for Social Entrepreneurs?

Mariarosa Scarlata¹, Luisa Alemany Gil² and Andrew Zacharakis³

- ¹ Newcastle University, UK, mariarosa.scarlata@newcastle.ac.uk
- ² ESADE, Spain, luisa.alemany@esade.edu
- ³ Babson College, USA, zacharakis@babson.edu

Abstract

Since social entrepreneurship is a relatively young activity, resource-rich actors, like Philanthropic VCs, have considerable influence over how the space matures (Nicholls, 2010b). The resources and strategic advice that PhVCs provide their SEs shape an institutional logic for the domain. As such, PhVCs enhance legitimacy of the emerging area of social entrepreneurship. This monograph's main contribution is to delineate the current state of PhVC, identifying differences with traditional VC financing, and identify areas of future research. In particular, this work responds to Nicholls (2010b) and Austin et al.'s (2006b) call for research on what types of finance SEs have access to. More specifically, we focus on understanding what PhVC is and how its social value creation investment logic makes it different from traditional VC, opening avenues for future research in this area.

Contents

1
5
9
11
13
15
25
27
28
31
33
37
42
45
51

Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/0300000042

6 Conclusions	55
References	59

1

Introduction

Philanthropic venture capital (PhVC) is an innovative funding model available for social enterprises (SEs) which provides a blend of performance-based development finance and professional services to organisations with a primary social mission. PhVC seeks to maximize the social impact of the investee through the provision of capital and value-added activities, as typically done in traditional venture capital (VC) financing. The main difference between PhVC and traditional VC lies in the investment goals. Whereas traditional venture capitalists (VCs) work to grow each of their portfolio companies and ultimately seek a large financial return upon a liquidity event (most often an Initial Public Offering [IPO] or acquisition), PhVC have both economic and social goals. Specifically, philanthropic venture capitalists (PhVCs) work to develop self-sustaining SEs assuming that sustainability facilitates long-term organizational survival, growth and ultimately maximization of their impact on society (Letts et al., 1997).

The importance of SEs has been growing both in the professional and academic sectors over the last decade (Bosma and Levie, 2010; Harding, 2007, 2004; Roberts and Woods, 2005). In particular, Bosma and Levie (2010) report the average rate of social entrepreneurial

2 Introduction

activity across the countries participating in the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor amounts to 1.8 percent of the total adult population; within the United Kingdom, Harding (2007) reports that the rate was 3.3 percent in 2006. While explaining social entrepreneurship trends, Cox and Healey (1998) indicate that in Europe, SEs have a key role in welfare and environmental policy innovation, whereas Mair and Seelos (2007) as well as Prahalad (2006) argue that in developing countries, social entrepreneurship tends to address compelling social problems, such as hunger, disease and education, through the application of innovative and cost-effective methods to traditional solutions. At the research level, Short et al. (2009) show that the publication rate of research articles on social entrepreneurship, subject to a double-blinded review process, has increased by 750 percent between 1991 and 2009.

On the academic side, the bulk of research has sought to define what an SE is and how it differs from traditional commercial ventures. In doing so, social entrepreneurship has been presented as a new model of systemic social change (Bornstein, 2004; Nicholls, 2010b), the solution to government failures in welfare provision (Aiken, 2006; Bovaird, 2006), a new market opportunity for business (Prahalad, 2006), a model of political transformation and empowerment (Alvord et al., 2004), and a space for new hybrid partnerships (Austin et al., 2006a).

Despite the growing importance, SEs still struggle to secure external sources of finance. SEs must deal with the Pareto assumption that achieving a social and/or environmental return inevitably reduces economic returns for investors. Financial economists suggest that investments can only be differentiated based on their risk-return profile with social or environmental factors being presented as externalities (Arrow and Fisher, 1974; Freidman, 1962). This, in turn, leaves no room in that research sphere for the existence of investments in organizations with social aims, such as SEs. Also, the inability to get financing might constitute the single biggest barrier to establishing an SE (Bank of England, 2003). Other research also finds that access to finance is the main barrier to SEs' growth (Harding, 2007; Smallbone et al., 2001; Conaty, 2001).

PhVC helps overcome the financing access problem, because it combines a for-profit focus on efficient use of economic resources with the

3

nonprofit proposition on social value creation (Austin et al., 2006a). Rather than providing funds to single projects with a short-term investment period, as typically done by foundations or government grants, PhVC commits to long-term funding in order to build the capacity of the SE to become sustainable, grow, and ultimately maximize its social impact. However, the mere provision of capital is not enough for sustainability and growth; financial resources must be accompanied by the provision of value added activities and a high level of PhVC strategic engagement. For instance, PhVCs typically sit on the board of the SEs they back and advise the entrepreneurs on how to grow.

Since social entrepreneurship is a relatively young activity, resource-rich actors, like PhVCs, have considerable influence over how the space matures (Nicholls, 2010b). The resources and strategic advice that PhVCs provide their SEs shape an institutional logic for the domain. As such, PhVCs enhance legitimacy of the emerging area of social entrepreneurship. This monograph's main contribution is to delineate the current state of PhVC, identifying differences with traditional VC financing, and identify areas of future research. In particular, this work responds to Nicholls (2010b) and Austin et al.'s (2006b) call for research on what types of finance SEs have access to. More specifically, we focus on understanding what PhVC is and how its social value creation investment logic makes it different from traditional VC, opening avenues for future research in this area. We do not cover how PhVCs raise their funds as we are interested in the relationship between the philanthropic investor and the SE.

The monograph is structured as follows. First, a definition of PhVC is proposed. Second, an overview of financing available for social entrepreneurs is discussed focusing on those characterized by a level of investor engagement. Third, data on the PhVC sector in the United States and in Europe is presented in terms of age of the sector, legal form of the PhVC firm, capital under management and location of portfolio organizations. Forth, investment practices implemented in PhVC are identified according to the different phases of the investment process in traditional VC and further research opportunities are identified. Last, the paper draws conclusions and implications for academics and practitioners.

- Acumen Fund (2012). www.acumenfund.org (last accessed 24/04/2012).
- Aiken, M. (2006), 'Towards market or state? Tensions and opportunities in the evolutionary path of three types of UK Social Enterprise'. In: M. Nyssens (ed.): Towards Market or State? Tensions and Opportunities in the Evolutionary Path of Three UK Social Enterprises. London: Routledge, pp. 259–271.
- Alvord, S. H., L. D. Brown, and C. Letts (2004), 'Social Entrepreneurship and Social Transformation: An Exploratory Study'. Hauser Center for Nonprofit Organizations Working Paper No. 15. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=354082 or doi:10.2139/ssrn.354082.
- Amit, R., J. Brander, and C. Zott (1998), 'Why do venture capital firms exist? Theory and Canadian evidence'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **13**(6), 441–446.
- ARK (2012). www.arkonline.org (last accessed 23/04/2012).
- Arrow, K. and A. Fisher (1974), 'Environmental preservation, uncertainty, and irreversibility'. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* pp. 312–319.
- Ashoka (2012). www.ashoka.org (last accessed 23/04/2012).

- Austin, J., R. Gutierrez, E. Ogliastri, and E. Reficco (2006a), Effective Management of Social Enterprises: Lessons from Business and Civil Society Organizations in Iberoamerica. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Austin, J., H. Stevenson, and J. Wei-Skillern (2006b), 'Social and commercial entrepreneurship: Same, different, or both?'. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice* **30**(1), 1–22.
- Bank of England (2003), The Financing of Social Enterprises: A Special Report by the Bank of England. London: Bank of England, Dometic Finance Division.
- Barnes, J. (1954), 'Class and committees in a Norwegian Island Parish'. *Human Relations* **7**, 39–58.
- Bornstein, D. (2004), How to Change the World: Social Entrepreneurs and the Power of New Ideas. USA: Oxford University Press.
- Bosma, N. and J. Levie (2010), Global Entrepreneurship Monitor 2009 Global Report. Babson Park, MA, USA: Babson College; Santiago, Chile: Universidad del Desarollo; Háskólinn Reykjavík, Iceland: Reykjavík University; London, United Kingdom: London Business School.
- Bovaird, T. (2006), 'Developing new relationships with the "market" in the procurement of public services'. *Public Administration* **84**(1), 81–102.
- Bridges Ventures (2012). www.bridgesventures.com (last accessed 15/04/2012).
- Bruton, G. and D. Ahlstrom (2003), 'An institutional view of China's venture capital industry: Explaining the differences between China and the West'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **18**(2), 233–259.
- Bruton, G., D. Ahlstrom, and L. Han-Lin (2010), 'Institutional theory and entrepreneurship: Where are we now and where do we need to move in the future?'. *Entrepreneurship: Theory & Practice* **34**(3), 421–440.
- Busenitz, L. W., G. P. West, D. Sheperd, T. Nelson, G. N. Chandler, and A. Zacharakis (2003), 'Entrepreneurship research in emergence: Past trends and future directions'. *Journal of Management* 29, 285–308.

- CDVCA (2012). www.cdvca.org (last accessed 23/04/2012).
- Chan, Y. (1983), 'On the positive role of financial intermediation in allocation of venture capital in a market with imperfect information'. Journal of Finance 38(5), 1543–1568.
- Clark, C. and S. Ucak (2006), 'Rise for-profit social entrepreneur report: Balancing markets and values'. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Academy for Entrepreneurial Leadership Historical Research Reference in Entrepreneurship. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1509999.
- Cohen, J. (1960), 'A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales'. Educational and Psychological Measurement 20(1), 37–46.
- Conaty, P. (2001), Homeopathic Finance Equitable Capital for Social Enterprises. New Economics Foundation.
- Cox, A. and J. Healey (1998), 'Promises to the poor. The record of European development agencies'. *ODI Poverty Briefing*. London: Overseas Development Institute.
- Cumming, D. and J. G. MacIntosh (2002), 'Venture capital exits in Canada and the United States'. *University of Toronto Law Journal* **53**, 101–200. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=321641 or doi:10.2139/ssrn.321641.
- Dacin, P. A., M. T. Dacin, and M. Matear (2010), 'Social entrepreneurship: Why we don't need a new theory and how we move forward from here'. *Academy of Management Perspectives* **24**(3), 37–57.
- Davis, L. E. and D. C. North (1971), *Institutional Change and American Economic Growth*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dees, J. G. and B. B. Anderson (2003), 'For profit social ventures'. International Journal of Entrepreneurship Education (special issue on social entrepreneurship) 2, 1–26.
- Donaldson, L. and J. H. Davis (1991), 'Stewardship theory or agency theory: CEO governance and shareholder returns'. *Australian Journal of Management* **16**(1), 49.
- Edelson, H. (2004), 'Philanthropic venture capital: Its time has come'. Venture Capital Journal 44(1), 36–37.
- Emerson, J. (2000), 'The Nature of Returns: A Social Capital Markets Inquiry into the Elements of Investment and the Blended Value Proposition'. Available at: www.blendedvalue.org.

- Emerson, J. (2003), 'The blended value proposition: Integrating social and financial returns'. California Management Review 45(4), 35–51.
- Emerson, J. and F. Twersky (1996), New Social Entrepreneurs: The success, Challenge and Lessons of Nonprofit Enterprise Creation. San Francisco: Roberts Foundation.
- Emerson, J., J. Wachowicz, and S. Chun (2000), Social Return on Investment: Exploring Aspects of Value Creation in the Non-Profit Sector. San Francisco, CA: REDF Publications.
- Etzioni, A. (1961), A Comparative Analysis of Complex Organizations: On Power, Involvement, and Their Correlates. Glencoe: Free Press of Glencoe.
- European Venture Philanthropy Association (2008), EVPA Directory: 2008–2009. EVPA Publications.
- European Venture Philanthropy Association (2011a). www.evpa. eu.com.
- European Venture Philanthropy Association (2011b). European Venture Philanthropy Industry. Available at: http://evpa.eu.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/08/VP-Industry-data_for-conference_FINAL.pdf.
- Fondazione CRT (2012). www.fondazionecrt.it/fondazione/venture-philanthropy.html (last accessed 23/04/2012).
- Freidman, M. (1962), Capitalism as Freedom. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Gill, J. and P. Johnson (1991), Research Methods for Managers. London: Paul Chapman.
- Gompers, P. and J. Lerner (2001), 'The venture capital revolution'. Journal of Economic Perspectives 15(2), 145–168.
- Good Capital (2012). www.goodcapital.net (last accessed 23/04/2012).
- Gose, B. (2003), 'A revolution was ventured, but what did it gain?'. Chronicle of Philanthropy from www.philanthropy.com.
- Greenfeld, K. T., A. Blackman, G. Fulton, D. S. Jackson, and L. McLaughlin (2000), 'A new way of giving (cover story)'. *Time* **156**(4), 49–51.
- Gupta, A. K. and H. Sapienza (1992), 'Determinants of venture capital firms' preferences regarding the industry diversity and geographic scope of their investments'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **7**(5), 347–362.

- Hambrick, D. C. and P. A. Mason (1984), 'Upper echelons: The organization as a reflection of its top managers'. *Academy of Management Review* **9**(2), 193–106.
- Hansmann, H. (1980), 'The role of non-profit enterprise'. Yale Law Journal 89(5), 835–901.
- Harding, R. (2004), 'Social enterprise: The new economic engine'. Business Strategy Review 15(4), 39–43.
- Harding, R. (2007), *Social Entrepreneurship Monitor*. London: GEM UK, London Business School and Barclays Bank.
- Hartzell, J. (2007), Creating an Ethical Stock Exchange. Oxford: Said Business School Publications.
- Hochberg, Y. V., A. Ljungqvist, and Y. Lu (2007), 'Whom you know matters: Venture capital networks and investment performance'. *Journal of Finance* **62**(1), 251–301.
- Honadle, B. W. and A. M. Howitt (1986), Perspectives on Management Capacity Building. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Inkpen, A. C. and E. W. Tsang (2005), 'Social capital, networks, and knowledge transfer'. *Academy of Management Review* **30**(1), 146–165.
- John, R. (2006), Venture Philanthropy: The Evolution of High Engagement Philanthropy in Europe. Oxford: Saïd Business School Publications.
- Kaplan, S. N. and A. Schoar (2005), 'Private equity performance: Returns, persistence, and capital flows'. *Journal of Finance* **60**(4), 1791–1823.
- Karnani, A. (2007), 'The mirage of marketing to the bottom of the pyramid: How the private sector can help alleviate poverty'. *California Management Review* **49**(4), 90–111.
- Kramer, M. (2009), 'Catalytic Philanthropy'. Stanford Social Innovation Review Fall, 30–35.
- Krippendorff, K. (2004), Content Analysis: An Introduction to its Methodology. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, 2nd edition.
- Larson, B. (2002), 'The new entrepreneurs: New philanthropy or not?'. New Directions for Philanthropic Fundraising 37, 79–84.

- Letts, C., W. Ryan, and A. Grossman (1997), 'Virtuous capital: What foundations can learn from venture capitalists'. *Harvard Business Review* **75**(2), 36–44.
- Locke, E. and D. Roberson (1997), 'Virtuous capital'. *Harvard Business Review* **75**(3), 180–180.
- Mair, J. and C. Seelos (2007), 'Profitable business models and market creation in the context of deep poverty: A strategic view'. *Academy of Management Perspectives* **21**(4), 49–63.
- Manigart, S., K. De Waele, M. Wright, K. Robbie, P. Desbrières, H. Sapienza, and A. Beekman (2000), 'Venture capitalists, investment appraisal and accounting information: A comparative study of the USA, UK, France, Belgium and Holland'. European Financial Management 6(3), 389–403.
- Manigart, S., M. Wright, K. Robbie, P. Desbrières, and K. De Waele (1997), 'Venture capitalists' appraisal of investment projects: An empirical European study'. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice* **21**(4), 29–43.
- Miller, C. (2008), 'The equity capital gap'. Stanford Social Innovation Review 6(3), 41–45.
- Moore, M. H. (2000), 'Managing for value: Organizational strategy in for-profit, nonprofit, and governmental organizations'. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly* **29**(1), 183–208.
- Morgan, J. P. (2010), *Impact Investing: An Emerging Asset Class.* J.P. Morgan Publishing.
- Morino, M. (2000), 'Venture philanthropy: Leveraging compassion with capacity in the national capital region'. Washington Regional Association of Grantmakers Annual Meeting.
- Morino, M. and B. Shore (2004), *High-Engagement Philanthropy: A Bridge to a More Effective Social Sector*. Washington, DC: VPP Publications.
- Morino Institute (2000), Venture Philanthropy 2000: Landscape and Expectations. Washington, DC: VPP Publications.
- Neuendorf, K. A. (2002), The Content Analysis Guidebook. CA: Thousand Oaks; London: Sage.
- New Schools (2011). http://www.newschools.org/entrepreneurs/submit (accessed 15 May, 2011).

- Nicholls, A. (2009), "We Do Things, Don't We?" "Blended value accounting" in social entrepreneurship'. Accounting, Organizations, and Society 34(6–7), 755–769.
- Nicholls, A. (2010a), 'The institutionalization of social investment: The interplay of investment logics and investor rationalities'. *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship* 1(1), 70–100.
- Nicholls, A. (2010b), 'The legitimacy of social entrepreneurship: Reflexive isomorphism in a pre-paradigmatic field'. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice* **34**(4), 611–633.
- Oster, S. (1995), Strategic Management for Nonprofit Organizations. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Porter, M. and M. Kramer (1999), 'Philanthropy's New Agenda: Creating value'. *Harvard Business Review* **77**(6), 121–130.
- Powell, B. (2008), Making Poor Nations Rich: Entrepreneurship and the Process of Economic Development. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Prahalad, C. K. (2006), The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid, Eradicating Poverty through Profits. New Jersey: Wharton School Publishing.
- Riffe, D. (2005). In: S. Lacy and F. Fico (eds.): Analyzing Media Messages: Using Quantitative Content Analysis in Research. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum, 2nd edition.
- Roberts, D. and C. Woods (2005), 'Changing the world on a shoestring: The concept of social entrepreneurship'. *University of Auckland Business Review* 7(1), 45–51.
- Robinson, J. (2006), 'Navigating social and institutional barriers to markets: How social entrepreneurs identify and evaluate opportunities'. In: J. Mair, J. Robinson, and K. Hockerts (eds.): *Social Entrepreneurship*. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ryan, P. R. (2001), Nonprofit Capital: A Review of Problems and Strategies. Rockefeller Foundation and the Fannie Mae Foundation.
- Sahlman, W. A. (1990), 'The structure and governance of venture-capital organizations'. *Journal of Financial Economics* **27**(2), 473–521.
- Sapienza, H. and A. K. Gupta (1994), 'Impact of agency risks and task uncertainty on venture capitalist-CEO interaction'. *Academy of Management Journal* **37**(6), 1618–1632.

- Saunders, M., P. Lewis, and A. Thornhill (2007), Research Methods for Business Students. Harlow: FT Prentice Hall, 4th edition.
- Scarlata, M. R. (2011), Philanthropic Venture Capital: An Exploratory Comparative Study. Saarbrücken, Germany: LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing.
- Scarlata, M. R. and L. Alemany (2010), 'Deal structuring in philanthropic venture capital investments: Financing instrument, valuation and covenants'. *Journal of Business Ethics* **95**(2), 121–145.
- Scarlata, M. R. and L. Alemany (2011), 'Philanthropic venture capital from a global perspective: Definition and investment strategy'. In: D. Cumming (ed.): *Handbook of Entrepreneurial Finance*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Schwartz, S. H. (1992), 'Universals in the content and structure of values: Theory and empirical tests in 20 countries'. In: M. Zanna (ed.): *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, vol. 25. New York: Academic Press, pp. 1–65.
- Shepherd, D. A., A. L. Zacharakis, and R. A. Baron (2003), 'Venture capitalists' decision processes: Evidence suggesting more experience may not always be better'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **18**(3), 381–401.
- Short, J. C., T. W. Moss, and G. T. Lumpkin (2009), 'Research in social entrepreneurship: Past contributions and future opportunities'. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal* 3, 161–194.
- Smallbone, D., M. Evans, I. Ekanem, and S. Butters (2001), Researching Social Enterprise. Centre for Enterprise and Economic Development Research, Middlesex University.
- Smart, G. (1999), 'Management assessment methods in venture capital: An empirical analysis of human capital valuation'. *Venture Capital:* An International Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance 1(1), 59–82.
- Sweeting, R. C. (1991), 'UK venture capital funds and the funding of new technology-based businesses: Process and relationships'. *Journal of Management Studies* **28**(6), 601–622.
- Timmons, J. and H. Sapienza (1994), 'Venture capital: More than money'. *Pratt's Guide to Venture Capital Resources* pp. 49–55.
- Timmons, J. A. and W. D. Bygrave (1986), 'Venture capital's role in financing innovation for economic growth'. *Journal of Business Venturing* 1(2), 161–176.

- Tracey, P., N. Phillips, and O. Jarvis (2011), 'Bridging institutional entrepreneurship and the creation of new organizational forms: A multilevel model'. *Organization Science* **22**(1), 60–80.
- Tuan, M. and J. Emerson (2000), 'The Roberts enterprise development fund: A case study on venture philanthropy'. In: REDF (ed.): REDF Box Set 2 Social Purpose Enterprises and Venture Philanthropy in the New Millenium. San Francisco, CA: REDF Publications.
- Tyebjee, T. and A. Bruno (1984), 'A model of venture capitalist investment activity'. *Management Science* **30**(9), 1051–1066.
- Walker, L. (2004), 'The growth of venture philanthropy'. On Wall Street 14(11), 107.
- Wall, B. (2006), 'Facing global challenges while turning a profit'. *International Herald Tribune*.
- Wedig, G., F. A. Sloan, M. Hassan, and M. A. Morrisey (1988), 'Capital structure, ownership, and capital payment policy: The case of hospitals'. *Journal of Finance* **43**(1), 21–40.
- Wright, M. and K. Robbie (1996), 'Venture capitalists, unquoted equity investment appraisal and the role of accounting information'. *Accounting and Business Research* **26**(2), 153–168.
- Zacharakis, A., J. S. McMullen, and D. A. Shepherd (2007), 'Venture capitalists' decision policies across three countries: An institutional theory perspective'. *Journal of International Business Studies* 38, 691–708.
- Zacharakis, A. and G. Meyer (1998), 'A lack of insight: Do venture capitalists really understand their own decision process?'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **13**(1), 57–76.
- Zacharakis, A. and D. Shepherd (2005), 'A non-additive decision-aid for venture capitalists' investment decisions'. *European Journal of Operational Research* **162**(3), 673–689.
- Zahra, S. A., E. Gedajlovic, D. O. Neubaum, and J. M. Shulman (2009), 'A typology of social entrepreneurs: Motives, search processes and ethical challenges'. *Journal of Business Venturing* **24**(5), 519–532.