

Three Branches of Theories of Financial Crises

Itay Goldstein

University of Pennsylvania, the Wharton School
itayg@wharton.upenn.edu

Assaf Razin

Tel Aviv University, Eitan Berglas School of Economics
razin@post.tau.ac.il

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Finance

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

I. Goldstein and A. Razin. *Three Branches of Theories of Financial Crises*.
Foundations and Trends[®] in Finance, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 113–180, 2015.

This Foundations and Trends[®] issue was typeset in L^AT_EX using a class file designed by Neal Parikh. Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-085-9

© 2015 I. Goldstein and A. Razin

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Finance
Volume 10, Issue 2, 2015
Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Sheridan Titman
University of Texas at Austin
United States

Editors

Josef Zechner
Co-Editor
WU Vienna University of Economics and Finance

Francis Longstaff
Co-Editor
University of California, Los Angeles

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends[®] in Finance publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Corporate finance
 - Corporate governance
 - Corporate financing
 - Dividend policy and capital structure
 - Corporate control
 - Investment policy
 - Agency theory and information
- Financial markets
 - Market microstructure
 - Portfolio theory
 - Financial intermediation
 - Investment banking
 - Market efficiency
 - Security issuance
 - Anomalies and behavioral finance
- Asset pricing
 - Asset-pricing theory
 - Asset-pricing models
 - Tax effects
 - Liquidity
 - Equity risk premium
 - Pricing models and volatility
 - Fixed income securities
- Derivatives
 - Computational finance
 - Futures markets and hedging
 - Financial engineering
 - Interest rate derivatives
 - Credit derivatives
 - Financial econometrics
 - Estimating volatilities and correlations

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Finance, 2015, Volume 10, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1567-2395. ISSN online version 1567-2409. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends® in Finance
Vol. 10, No. 2 (2015) 113–180
© 2015 I. Goldstein and A. Razin
DOI: 10.1561/05000000049



Three Branches of Theories of Financial Crises

Itay Goldstein

University of Pennsylvania, the Wharton School
itayg@wharton.upenn.edu

Assaf Razin

Tel Aviv University, Eitan Berglas School of Economics
razin@post.tau.ac.il

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Banking Crises and Panics	9
2.1	Diamond-Dybvig economy	10
2.2	Heterogeneous signals and unique equilibrium	13
2.3	A basis for micro policy analysis	19
2.4	Why debt contracts? The reasons behind bank fragility	22
2.5	Contagion and systemic risk	24
3	Credit Frictions and Market Freezes	26
3.1	Moral hazard	27
3.2	Implications for macroeconomic models	35
3.3	Asymmetric information	38
4	Currency Crises	42
4.1	First-generation models of currency crises	44
4.2	Second-generation models of currency crises	47
4.3	Third-generation models of currency crises	50
4.4	Contagion of currency crises	54
5	Concluding Remarks	56

Acknowledgements	59
-------------------------	-----------

References	60
-------------------	-----------

Abstract

In this monograph, we review three branches of theoretical literature on financial crises. The first deals with banking crises originating from coordination failures among bank creditors. The second deals with frictions in credit and interbank markets due to problems of moral hazard and adverse selection. The third deals with currency crises. We discuss the evolutions of these branches in the literature, and how they have been integrated recently to explain the turmoil in the world economy during the East Asian crises and in the last few years. We discuss the relation of the models to the empirical evidence and their ability to guide policies to avoid or mitigate future crises.

1

Introduction

Financial and monetary systems are designed to improve the efficiency of real activity and resource allocation. Many empirical studies in financial economics provide evidence that financial development and economic growth and efficiency are connected; see, for example, Levine [1997] and Rajan and Zingales [1998]. In theory, financial institutions and markets enable the efficient transmission of resources from savers to the best investment opportunities. In addition, they also provide risk sharing possibilities so that investors can take more risk and advance the economy. Finally, they enable aggregation of information that provides guidance for more efficient investment decisions. Relatedly, monetary arrangements, such as the European Monetary Union (EMU), are created to facilitate free trade and financial transactions among countries, thereby improving real efficiency.

A financial crisis — marked, for example, by the failure of banks, the sharp decrease in credit and trade, and/or the collapse of an exchange rate regime — causes extreme disruption of the normal functions of financial and monetary systems, thereby hurting the efficiency of the economy. Unfortunately, financial crises have happened frequently throughout history and, despite constant attempts to eliminate them,

it seems unlikely that they will disappear in the future. Clearly, the last decade has been characterized by great turmoil in the world's financial systems. The meltdown of leading financial institutions in the US and Europe, the sharp decrease in lending and trading activities, and the ongoing challenge in the European Monetary Union exhibit ingredients from several types of financial crises in recent history: banking crises, credit and market freezes, and currency crises.¹

Understanding the different types of financial crises and the connection between them poses a challenge for academics, policymakers, and practitioners. Are crises caused by problems in the economy or are they creating the problems? Are crises inevitable for economies that wish to maintain a high level of financial development? Can we think of an optimal mix of regulations that will achieve financial development without much exposure to crises? Or, are the crises themselves sometimes a result of regulation and intervention in financial markets? Most financial economists will probably agree that crises are related to panics and externalities and that some policy is needed to reduce their frequency and severity. But how big is the problem and how extensive should intervention be? Ongoing research is critical to gain a better understanding of the origins of crises and the optimal response to them.

Over the years, many theories have been developed to explain financial crises and guide policymakers in trying to prevent and mitigate them. In this monograph, we review models from three different branches of literature that have been developed more or less in parallel: banking crises and panics, credit frictions and market freezes, and currency crises. At a later stage, mainly following the East Asian crisis in the late 1990s, these literatures have become more integrated as the events in the real world proved that the different types of crises can occur simultaneously and amplify each other in different ways. Our monograph is not meant to be a comprehensive survey of the financial-crises literature. The literature is too big to be meaningfully covered in full in one survey. In fact, there is no consensus on what this literature includes as different people have different views on what constitutes a

¹Many authors provide detailed descriptions of the events of the recent crisis. See, for example, Brunnermeier [2009] and Gorton [2010].

financial crisis. Instead, we attempt to present basic frameworks linked to the broad topic of financial crises and describe some of the ways in which they influenced the literature and relate to recent events. We also address some of the policy challenges and shed light on them using the analytical tools at hand. We hope that this survey will be helpful in highlighting the basic underlying forces that have been studied in the literature for over three decades in a simple and transparent way, and will be an easy and accessible source for the many economists who are now interested in exploring the topic of financial crises following the events of the last few years.

In Section 2, we review the literature on banking crises and panics. This literature is perhaps most directly linked to the concept of crises. Banks are known to finance long-term assets with short-term liabilities. One advantage of this arrangement is that it enables banks to provide risk sharing to investors who might face early liquidity needs. However, this also exposes the bank to the risk of a bank run, whereby many creditors decide to withdraw their money early. The key problem is that of a coordination failure, which stands at the root of the fragility of banking systems: when more depositors withdraw their money from a bank the bank is more likely to fail, and other depositors have a stronger incentive to withdraw. These strategic complementarities lead to either multiple equilibria or abrupt regime shifts, and support the view held by many economists that crises are sudden and unexpected events that have an element of panic [see Friedman and Schwartz, 1963, Kindleberger, 1978]. In this section, we describe the theoretical underpinnings behind bank runs and the lessons for policy analysis.

Banking systems have been plagued with bank runs throughout history; see, for example, Calomiris and Gorton [1991]. Policy lessons from the early 20th century led governments to insure banks, which substantially reduced the likelihood of bank runs. However, runs are still a prominent phenomenon behind financial crises. In East Asian and Latin American countries, many runs occurred in the last two decades. In the recent turmoil, a classic type of bank run was seen in the United Kingdom (UK) at Northern Rock Bank [see Shin, 2009] when investors were lining up in the street to withdraw money from

their accounts. There are many other examples of runs in the financial system as a whole. The repo market, in which investment banks get short-term financing, was subject to a run according to Gorton and Metrick [2012]. This led to the failure of leading financial institutions, such as Bear Stearns and Lehman Brothers. One can think of the credit squeeze in the repo market as a coordination failure among providers of capital, who refused to roll over credit, expecting deterioration in the value of collateral and in the ability of borrowers to pay due to the refusal of other lenders to roll over credit. This is similar to the models of bank runs caused by coordination problems that we review in this section. Others documented runs in money-market funds and in the asset-backed-commercial-paper market [see for example, Schmidt et al., 2015, Covitz et al., 2013, Schroth et al., 2014], which were in distress during the recent crisis.

While Section 2 emphasizes fragility of financial institutions due to coordination failures by their creditors, we review models that analyze frictions in loans extended by financial institutions and other lenders in Section 3. Broadly speaking, these are models of credit frictions and market freezes. Traditionally, the literature on this topic has developed without addressing crises per se, but more recently the basic mechanisms have increasingly been mentioned in connection to major events during financial crises. This literature highlights two key problems that create frictions in the flow of credit and trade. One problem is that of moral hazard. If a borrower has the ability to divert resources at the expense of the creditor, then creditors will be reluctant to lend to borrowers. Hence, for credit to flow efficiently from the creditor to the borrower, it is crucial that the borrower maintains “skin in the game”, that is, that he has enough at stake in the success of the project, and so does not have a strong incentive to divert resources. This creates a limit on credit, which can be amplified when economic conditions worsen, leading to a crisis. Another problem is that of adverse selection. Looking at financial markets and credit markets, many are puzzled by the fact that they freeze despite the presence of gains from trade. Adverse selection generated by asymmetric information is a powerful force that can generate a freeze. In the presence of asymmetric information, traders

are reluctant to trade as they are concerned that they are getting a “lemon”. Again, this may lead to a crisis if asymmetric information is very extreme.

There is ample empirical evidence that shows the importance of the kind of credit frictions as described in this section. For example, Gan [2007a,b] documents reduced lending and firm investment as firms’ collateral value and banks’ capital deteriorated following the collapse of the Japanese real estate market in the early 1990s. In the period leading to the recent crisis, Chaney et al. [2012] find that increased real estate values for companies were related to increases in firm borrowing and investing. It is generally not difficult to link such forces to the events of the recent crisis. The credit freeze that followed the financial meltdown of 2008, in which financial institutions were reluctant to lend money to operating firms, and the ensuing freeze in the flow of funds between financial institutions in the interbank markets both seem to be related to the amplification of economic shocks due to the frictions in credit provision, brought on by the principal-agent models that we review here. As economic conditions deteriorated, borrowers found themselves with less “skin in the game”, and so lenders refused to provide credit to them. This, in turn, worsened the economic conditions of borrowers, amplifying the initial shock. Similarly, the potential increase in asymmetric information that followed the collapse of Lehman Brothers in 2008 may have contributed to a total market freeze, where investors were reluctant to trade in assets with each other due to the heightened uncertainty about the value of assets they trade.

Overall, the models of Sections 2 and 3 show fragility on both sides of the balance sheet of a financial institution. It seems that both types of fragility have been at work in recent crises, as we mention above. Importantly, such fragilities can reinforce each other. For example, creditors of a financial institution are more likely to panic and run when problems of moral hazard and asymmetric information reduce the value of its assets or make it more uncertain. A small problem on the asset side of a financial institution that would not be usually called a ‘crisis’ might then worsen due the mechanisms highlighted in the two sections, and turn into a crisis. This is how the models described in Section 3

are connected to the traditional crises literature in Section 2, and now all are used to describe parts of the system of interdependent forces that lead to the pronounced outcomes we see around times of financial crises. We elaborate more on this in Section 3.

Another literature that evolved independently is focused on currency crises. Traditionally, these were viewed as a separate phenomenon, unrelated to banking crises, but more recently the literatures have moved towards each other. In Section 4, we review models of currency crises. Many currency crises, such as the early 1970s breakdown of the Bretton Woods global system, originate from the desire of governments to maintain a fixed exchange rate regime, which is inconsistent with other policy goals such as free capital flows and flexible monetary policy. This might lead to the sudden collapse of the regime. Like in the bank-run literature, coordination failures play an important role here. When the central bank tries to maintain a fixed exchange rate regime, it might decide to abandon it under pressure from speculators. Speculators then find themselves in a coordination problem, and they attack the regime if and only if they believe others will do so too. In such coordination failures, the event of a currency crisis becomes a self-fulfilling belief. This is also similar to debt crises, where the government may decide to default under pressure from creditors. Then, creditors are facing a coordination problem, and they liquidate their bond holdings if and only if they expect that others will liquidate their claims. Consequently, a debt crisis becomes a self-fulfilling expectation.

Such models are highly relevant to the current situation in the European Monetary Union. In the basis of the theory of currency crises is the famous international-finance trilemma, according to which a country can choose only two of three policy goals: free international capital flows (benefitting international risk sharing), monetary autonomy (the ability to employ monetary policy tools to stabilize inflation and output fluctuations), and the stability of the exchange rate (bringing about a reduction in transaction costs associated with trade and investment). Countries in the Euro zone now realize that in their attempt to achieve the first and third goal, they have given up on the second goal, and therefore have a limited ability to absorb the shocks in

economic activity and maintain their national debts. This is triggered by the global financial crisis. Coordination problems among investors and currency speculators aggravate this situation, and may have an important effect on whether individual countries in Europe are forced to default and/or leave the monetary union.

While the traditional literature on currency crises focused on the government alone, we review the ‘third-generation’ models of currency crises in Section 4.3. These models essentially connect models of banking crises and credit frictions (reviewed in Sections 2 and 3) with traditional models of currency crises (reviewed in Subsections 4.1 and 4.2). Such models were motivated by the East Asian crises of the late 1990s in which financial institutions and exchange rate regimes collapsed together, demonstrating the linkages between governments and financial institutions that can expose the system to further fragility. This is again relevant for the current situation in Europe as banks and governments are intertwined, and the fragility of the system depends to a large extent on the connections between them. We elaborate on this in Section 4.3.

References

- V. Acharya and S. Viswanathan. Leverage, moral hazard, and liquidity. *Journal of Finance*, 66:99–138, 2011.
- V. Acharya and T. Yorulmazer. Too many to fail — an analysis of time-inconsistency in bank closure policies. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 16:1–31, 2007.
- V. Acharya, D. Gromb, and T. Yorulmazer. Imperfect competition in the interbank market for liquidity as a rationale for central banking. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 4:184–217, 2012.
- A. Admati and M. Hellwig. *The Bankers' New Clothes: What's Wrong with Banking and What to Do about It*. Princeton University Press, 2013.
- T. Adrian, P. Colla, and H. Song Shin. Which financial frictions? parsing the evidence from the financial crisis of 2007–09. *NBER Macroeconomics Annual (2012)*, 27:159–214, 2013.
- T. Adrian, E. Etula, and T. Muir. Financial intermediaries and the cross-section of asset returns. *Journal of Finance*, 69:2557–2596, 2014.
- P. Aghion, P. Bacchetta, and A. Banerjee. Currency crises and monetary policy in an economy with credit constraints. *European Economic Review*, 45:1121–1150, 2001.
- G. A. Akerlof. The market for lemons: Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84:488–500, 1970.
- F. Allen and D. Gale. Optimal financial crises. *Journal of Finance*, 53:1245–1284, 1998.

- F. Allen and D. Gale. Bubbles and crises. *Economic Journal*, 110:236–255, 2000a.
- F. Allen and D. Gale. Financial contagion. *Journal of Political Economy*, 108:1–33, 2000b.
- F. Allen, E. Carletti, I. Goldstein, and A. Leonello. Government guarantees and financial stability. Working Paper, 2014.
- G.-M. Angeletos and I. Werning. Crises and prices: Information aggregation, multiplicity, and volatility. *American Economic Review*, 96:1720–1736, 2006.
- G.-M. Angeletos, C. Hellwig, and A. Pavan. Signaling in a global game: Coordination and policy traps. *Journal of Political Economy*, 114:452–484, 2006.
- R. Barro and D. Gordon. Rules, discretion and reputation in a model of monetary policy. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 12:101–121, 1983.
- L. Bebchuk and I. Goldstein. Self-fulfilling credit market freezes. *Review of Financial Studies*, 24:3519–3555, 2011.
- E. Benmelech and N. Bergman. Credit traps. *American Economic Review*, 104:3004–3032, 2012.
- B. S. Bernanke and M. Gertler. Agency costs, net worth, and business fluctuations. *American Economic Review*, 79:14–31, 1989.
- S. Bhattacharya and D. Gale. Preference shocks, liquidity and central bank policy. In W. Barnett and K. Singleton, editors, *New Approaches to Monetary Economics*, 1987.
- P. Bolton, T. Santos, and J. Scheinkman. Outside and inside liquidity. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 126:259–321, 2011.
- M. Brunnermeier. Deciphering the liquidity and credit crunch 2007–2008. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 23:77–100, 2009.
- M. Brunnermeier and L. Pedersen. Market liquidity and funding liquidity. *Review of Financial Studies*, 22:2201–2238, 2009.
- M. Brunnermeier and Y. Sannikov. A macroeconomic model with a financial sector. *American Economic Review*, 104:379–421, 2014.
- M. Brunnermeier, T. M. Eisenbach, and Y. Sannikov. Macroeconomics with financial frictions: A survey. In *Advances in Economics and Econometrics, Tenth World Congress of the Econometric Society*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2013.

- J. Bryant. A model of reserves, bank runs, and deposit insurance. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 4:335–344, 1980.
- R. Caballero and A. Krishnamurthy. International and domestic collateral constraints in a model of emerging market crises. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 48:513–548, 2001.
- C. Calomiris. Is deposit insurance necessary? A historical perspective. *Journal of Economic History*, 50:283–295, 1990.
- C. Calomiris and G. Gorton. The origins of banking panics: Models, facts, and bank regulation. In G. Hubbard, editor, *Financial Markets and Financial Crises*. University of Chicago Press, 1991.
- C. Calomiris and C. Kahn. The role of demandable debt in structuring optimal banking arrangements. *American Economic Review*, 81:497–513, 1991.
- C. Calomiris and J. R. Mason. Fundamentals, panics, and bank distress during the depression. *American Economic Review*, 93:1615–1647, 2003.
- G. Calvo. Capital flows and capital-market crises: The simple economics of sudden stops. *Journal of Applied Economics*, 1:35–54, 1998.
- G. Calvo and E. Mendoza. Rational contagion and the globalization of securities markets. *Journal of International Economics*, 51:79–113, 2000.
- F. Caramazza, L. Ricci, and R. Salgado. International financial contagion in currency crises. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 23:51–70, 2004.
- H. Carlsson and E. van Damme. Global games and equilibrium selection. *Econometrica*, 61:989–1018, 1993.
- T. Chaney, D. Sraer, and D. Thesmar. The collateral channel: How real estate shocks affect corporate investment. *American Economic Review*, 102:2381–2409, 2012.
- R. Chang and A. Velasco. A model of financial crises in emerging markets. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116:489–517, 2001.
- V. V. Chari and Ravi Jagannathan. Banking panics, information, and rational expectations equilibrium. *Journal of Finance*, 43:749–760, 1988.
- Q. Chen, I. Goldstein, and W. Jiang. Payoff complementarities and financial fragility: evidence from mutual fund outflows. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 97:239–262, 2010.
- Y. Chen. Banking panics: The role of the first-come, first-served rule and information externalities. *Journal of Political Economy*, 107:946–968, 1999.

- S. Claessens and K. Forbes, editors. *International Financial Contagion*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, MA, 2001.
- H. L. Cole and T. Kehoe. Self-fulfilling debt crises. *Review of Economic Studies*, 67:91–116, 2000.
- R. Cooper and T. W. Ross. Bank runs: Liquidity costs and investment distortions. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 41:27–38, 1998.
- D. Covitz, N. Liang, and G. Suarez. The evolution of a financial crisis: Collapse of the asset-backed commercial paper market. *Journal of Finance*, 68:815–848, 2013.
- A. Dasgupta. Financial contagion through capital connections: A model of the origin and spread of bank panics. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 2:1049–1084, 2004.
- H. DeAngelo and R. Stulz. Liquid-claim production, risk management, and bank capital structure: Why high leverage is optimal for banks. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 116:219–236, 2015.
- A. Demirguc-Kunt and E. Detragiache. The determinants of banking crises: Evidence from developed and developing countries. *IMF Staff Papers*, 45: 81–109, 1998.
- M. Di Maggio and A. Tahbaz-Salehi. Financial intermediation networks. Working Paper, 2015.
- D. W. Diamond. Liquidity, banks, and markets. *Journal of Political Economy*, 105:928–956, 1997.
- D. W. Diamond and P. H. Dybvig. Bank runs, deposit insurance, and liquidity. *Journal of Political Economy*, 91:401–419, 1983.
- D. W. Diamond and R. Rajan. Liquidity risk, liquidity creation and financial fragility: a theory of banking. *Journal of Political Economy*, 109:2431–2465, 2001.
- J. Dow. Is liquidity self-fulfilling? *Journal of Business*, 77:895–908, 2004.
- G. Eggertsson and P. Krugman. Debt, deleveraging, and the liquidity trap: A Fisher-Minsky-Koo approach. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 127:1469–1513, 2012.
- B. Eichengreen, A. Rose, and C. Wyplosz. Contagious speculative attacks: First tests. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 98:463–484, 1996.
- A. L. Eisfeldt and A. Rampini. Capital reallocation and liquidity. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 53:369–399, 2006.

- H. Ennis and T. Keister. Run equilibria in the Green-Lin model of financial intermediation. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 144:1996–2020, 2009.
- E. Farhi and J. Tirole. Collective moral hazard, maturity mismatch and systemic bailouts. *American Economic Review*, 102:60–93, 2012.
- R. Flood and P. Garber. Collapsing exchange-rate regimes, some linear examples. *Journal of International Economics*, 17:1–13, 1984.
- M. Friedman and A. J. Schwartz. *A Monetary History of the United States: 1867–1960*. Princeton University Press, 1963.
- J. Gan. Collateral, debt capacity, and corporate investment: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 85:709–734, 2007a.
- J. Gan. The real effects of asset market bubbles: Loan- and firm-level evidence of a lending channel. *Review of Financial Studies*, 21:2677–2703, 2007b.
- S. Gerlach and F. Smets. Contagious speculative attacks. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 11:45–63, 1995.
- M. Gertler and N. Kiyotaki. Financial intermediation and credit policy in business cycle analysis. In B. Friedman and M. Woodford, editors, *Handbook of Monetary Economics*. Elsevier, 2011.
- M. Gertler and N. Kiyotaki. Banking, liquidity and bank runs in an infinite horizon economy. *American Economic Review*, 105:2011–2043, 2015.
- R. Glick and A. Rose. Contagion and trade: Why are currency crises regional? *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 18:603–617, 1999.
- V. Glode and C. Opp. Adverse selection and intermediation chains. Working Paper, 2015.
- V. Glode, R. Green, and R. Lowery. Financial expertise as an arms race. *Journal of Finance*, 67:1723–1759, 2012.
- I. Goldstein. Strategic complementarities and the twin crises. *Economic Journal*, 115:368–390, 2005.
- I. Goldstein. Empirical literature on financial crises: Fundamentals vs. panic. In G. Caprio, editor, *The Evidence and Impact of Financial Globalization*. Elsevier, 2012.
- I. Goldstein and A. Pauzner. Contagion of self-fulfilling financial crises due to diversification of investment portfolios. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 119:151–183, 2004.
- I. Goldstein and A. Pauzner. Demand deposit contracts and the probability of bank runs. *Journal of Finance*, 60:1293–1328, 2005.

- I. Goldstein, E. Ozdenoren, and K. Yuan. Learning and complementarities in speculative attacks. *Review of Economic Studies*, 78:263–292, 2011.
- G. Gorton. Banking panics and business cycles. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 40: 751–781, 1988.
- G. Gorton. *Slapped by the Invisible Hand The Panic of 2007*. Oxford University Press, 2010.
- G. Gorton and A. Metrick. Securitized banking and the run on repo. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 104:425–451, 2012.
- G. Gorton and G. Ordonez. Collateral crises. *American Economic Review*, 104:343–378, 2014.
- G. Gorton and G. Pennacchi. Financial intermediaries and liquidity creation. *Journal of Finance*, 45:49–71, 1990.
- E. Green and P. Lin. Implementing efficient allocations in a model of financial intermediation. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 109:1–23, 2003.
- Z. He and A. Krishnamurthy. A model of capital and crises. *Review of Economic Studies*, 79:735–777, 2012.
- Z. He and A. Krishnamurthy. Intermediary asset pricing. *American Economic Review*, 103:732–770, 2013.
- F. Heider, M. Hoerova, and C. Holthausen. Liquidity hoarding and inter-bank market spreads: The role of counterparty risk. *Journal of Financial Economics*, forthcoming, 2015.
- C. Hellwig, A. Mukherji, and A. Tsyvinski. Self-fulfilling currency crises: The role of interest rates. *American Economic Review*, 96:1769–1787, 2006.
- A. Hertzberg, J. M. Liberti, and D. Paravisini. Public information and coordination: evidence from a credit registry expansion. *Journal of Finance*, 66:379–412, 2012.
- B. Holmstrom and J. Tirole. Financial intermediation, loanable funds, and the real sector. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 112:663–691, 1997.
- B. Holmstrom and J. Tirole. Private and public supply of liquidity. *Journal of Political Economy*, 106:1–40, 1998.
- M. Iacoviello. House price, borrowing constraints, and monetary policy in the business cycle. *American Economic Review*, 95:739–764, 2005.
- V. Ivashina and D. Scharfstein. Bank lending during the financial crisis of 2008. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 97:319–338, 2010.

- C. J. Jacklin. Demand deposits, trading restrictions, and risk-sharing. In E. Prescott and N. Wallace, editors, *Contractual Arrangements for Intertemporal Trade*. University of Minneapolis Press, 1987.
- C. J. Jacklin and S. Bhattacharya. Distinguishing panics and information-based bank runs: Welfare and policy implications. *Journal of Political Economy*, 96:568–592, 1988.
- G. L. Kaminsky and C. Reinhart. The twin crises: The causes of banking and balance-of-payments problems. *American Economic Review*, 89:473–500, 1999.
- G. L. Kaminsky and C. Reinhart. On crises, contagion, and confusion. *Journal of International Economics*, 51:145–168, 2000.
- G. L. Kaminsky, C. M. Reinhart, and C. A. Vegh. The unholy trinity of financial contagion. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 17:51–74, 2003.
- G. L. Kaminsky, R. Lyons, and S. L. Schmukler. Managers, investors, and crises: Mutual fund strategies in emerging markets. *Journal of International Economics*, 64:113–134, 2004.
- T. Keister. Bailouts and financial fragility. *Review of Economic Studies*, forthcoming, 2015.
- C. P. Kindleberger. *Manias, panics, and crashes: A history of financial crises*. Basic Books, 1978.
- M. A. King and S. Wadhvani. Transmission of volatility between stock markets. *Review of Financial Studies*, 3:5–33, 1990.
- N. Kiyotaki and J. Moore. Credit cycles. *Journal of Political Economy*, 105:211–248, 1997.
- N. R. Kocherlakota. Creating business cycles through credit constraints. *Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis Quarterly Review*, 24:2–10, 2000.
- L. Kodres and M. Pritsker. A rational expectations model of financial contagion. *Journal of Finance*, 57:769–799, 2002.
- P. R. Krugman. A model of balance-of-payments crises. *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, 11:311–325, 1979.
- P. R. Krugman. Balance sheets, the transfer problem, and financial crises. *International Tax and Public Finance*, 6:459–472, 1999.
- J. C. F. Kuong. Self-fulfilling fire sales: Fragility of collateralised short-term debt markets. Working Paper, 2015.
- A. S. Kyle and W. Xiong. Contagion as a wealth effect. *Journal of Finance*, 56:1401–1440, 2001.

- R. Lagunoff and S. Schreft. A model of financial fragility. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 99:220–264, 2001.
- R. Levine. Financial development and economic growth: Views and agenda. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 35:688–726, 1997.
- G. Lorenzoni. Inefficient credit booms. *Review of Economic Studies*, 75:809–833, 2008.
- F. Malherbe. Self-fulfilling liquidity dry-ups. *Journal of Finance*, 69:947–970, 2014.
- A. Martin, D. Skeie, and E.-L. von Thadden. Repo runs. *Review of Financial Studies*, 27:957–989, 2014.
- M. S. Martinez-Peria and S. L. Schmukler. Do depositors punish banks for bad behavior? market discipline, deposit insurance, and banking crises. *Journal of Finance*, 56:1029–1051, 2001.
- E. G. Mendoza. Sudden stops, financial crises and leverage. *American Economic Review*, 100:1941–1966, 2010.
- S. Morris and H. S. Shin. Unique equilibrium in a model of self-fulfilling currency attacks. *American Economic Review*, 88:587–597, 1998.
- S. Morris and H. S. Shin. Global games: Theory and applications. In M. Dewatripont, L. P. Hansen, and S. J. Turnovsky, editors, *Advances in Economics and Econometrics*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- S. Morris and H. S. Shin. Contagious adverse selection. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 4:1–21, 2012.
- S. Myers and N. Majluf. Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information that investors do not have. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 13:187–221, 1984.
- M. Obstfeld. Rational and self-fulfilling balance of payments crises. *American Economic Review*, 76:72–81, 1986.
- M. Obstfeld. The logic of currency crises. *Cahiers Economiques et Monetaires*, 43:189–213, 1994.
- M. Obstfeld. Models of currency crises with self-fulfilling features. *European Economic Review*, 40:1037–1047, 1996.
- F. D. Oh. Contagion of a liquidity crisis between two firms. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 107:386–400, 2013.
- M. Pagano. Trading volume and asset liquidity. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 104:255–274, 1989.

- J. Peck and K. Shell. Equilibrium bank runs. *Journal of Political Economy*, 111:103–123, 2003.
- E. Perotti and P. Golec. Safe asset demand: A review. Working Paper, 2015.
- T. Philippon and V. Skreta. Optimal interventions in markets with adverse selection. *American Economic Review*, 102:1–30, 2012.
- S. Radelet and J. Sachs. The east asian financial crisis: Diagnosis, remedies, prospects. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1:1–74, 1998.
- R. Rajan and L. Zingales. Financial dependence and growth. *American Economic Review*, 88:559–586, 1998.
- A. Rampini and S. Viswanathan. Financial intermediary capital. Working Paper, 2015.
- S. Salant and D. Henderson. Market anticipation of government policies and the price of gold. *Journal of Political Economy*, 86:627–648, 1978.
- L. Schmidt, A. Timmermann, and R. Wermers. Runs on money market mutual funds. Working Paper, 2015.
- M. Schneider and A. Tornell. Balance sheet effects, bailout guarantees and financial crises. *Review of Economic Studies*, 71:883–913, 2004.
- E. Schroth, G. Suarez, and L. Taylor. Dynamic debt runs and financial fragility: Evidence from the 2007 abcp crisis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 112:164–189, 2014.
- L. Schumacher. Bank runs and currency run in a system without a safety net: Argentina and the ‘tequila’ shock. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 46:257–277, 2000.
- J. C. Shambaugh. The Euro’s three crises. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, Spring, 2012:157–231, 2012.
- H. S. Shin. Reflections on Northern Rock: The bank run that heralded the global financial crisis. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 23:101–119, 2009.
- J. Stein. Monetary policy as financial-stability regulation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 127:57–95, 2012.
- J. E. Stiglitz and A. Weiss. Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information. *American Economic Review*, 71:393–410, 1981.
- J. Tirole. Overcoming adverse selection: How public intervention can restore market functioning. *American Economic Review*, 102:29–59, 2012.
- C. Van Rijckeghem and B. Weder. Sources of contagion: Is it finance or trade. *Journal of International Economics*, 54:293–308, 2001.