Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/070000007

Sex Discrimination in the Labor Market

Sex Discrimination in the Labor Market

Joni Hersch

Vanderbilt University Law School 131 21st Avenue South Nashville, TN 37203, USA joni.hersch@vanderbilt.edu



Boston – Delft

Foundations and Trends^(R) in Microeconomics

Published, sold and distributed by: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 1024 Hanover, MA 02339 USA Tel. +1-781-985-4510 www.nowpublishers.com sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 179 2600 AD Delft The Netherlands Tel. +31-6-51115274

Library of Congress Control Number: 2006935709

The preferred citation for this publication is J. Hersch, Sex Discrimination in the Labor Market, Foundation and Trends[®] in Microeconomics, vol 2, no 4, pp 281–361, 2006

Printed on acid-free paper

ISBN: 1-933019-47-6 © 2006 J. Hersch

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics Volume 2 Issue 4, 2006

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:

W. Kip Viscusi University Distinguished Professor of Law, Economics, and Management Vanderbilt University 131 21st Avenue South Nashville, TN 37203-1181 kip.viscusi@vanderbilt.edu

Editors

Richard Carson, UC San Diego (environmental economics)
Joseph Harrington, Johns Hopkins University (industrial organization)
Tom Kniesner, Syracuse University (labor economics)
Mark V. Pauly, University of Pennsylvania (health economics)
Thomas Nechyba, Duke University (public economics)
Peter Zweifel, University of Zurich (insurance economics)

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Environmental Economics
 - Contingent Valuation
 - Environmental Health Risks
 - Climate Change
 - Endangered Species
 - Market-based Policy Instruments
- Health Economics
 - Moral Hazard
 - Medical Care Markets
 - Medical Malpractice
 - Insurance economics
- Industrial Organization
 - Theory of the Firm
 - Regulatory Economics
 - Market Structure
 - Auctions
 - Monopolies and Antitrust
 - Transaction Cost Economics

- Labor Economics
 - Labor Supply
 - Labor Demand
 - Labor Market Institutions
 - Search Theory
 - Wage Structure
 - Income Distribution
 - Race and Gender
- Law and Economics
 - Models of Litigation
 - Crime
 - Torts, Contracts and Property
 - Constitutional Law
- Public Economics
 - Public Goods
 - Environmental Taxation
 - Social Insurance
 - Public Finance
 - International Taxation

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics, 2006, Volume 2, 6 issues. ISSN paper version 1547-9846. ISSN online version 1547-9854. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics Vol. 2, No 4 (2006) 281–361 © 2006 J. Hersch DOI: 10.1561/0700000007



Sex Discrimination in the Labor Market

Joni Hersch

Vanderbilt University Law School, 131 21st Avenue South, Nashville, TN 37203, USA, joni.hersch@vanderbilt.edu

Abstract

This paper examines sources of gender pay disparity and the factors that contribute to this pay gap. Many researchers question the role of discrimination and instead attribute the residual pay gap to gender differences in preferences. The main issue considered in this paper is whether gender differences in choices, especially with respect to the family and household, are indeed responsible for the gender pay gap, or whether discrimination plays a role. On balance, the evidence indicates that sex discrimination remains a possible explanation of the unexplained gender pay gap. This is consistent with the continuing high profile sex discrimination litigation suggestive of on-going inferior treatment on the basis of sex.

Contents

1]	Introduction	1
2]	Background on Labor Force Participation, Earnings, and Occupation	5
3	Measuring Pay Disparities	11
3.1	Oaxaca–Blinder Decomposition Method	11
3.2	Wage Inequality and the Gender Pay Disparity	16
4 Occupational Segregation		19
4.1	Measuring Segregation	20
4.2	The Influence of Segregation on the Gender Pay	
	Disparity	21
5 Turnover		25
5.1	Background Data	25
5.2	Does Turnover Differ by Gender?	27
5.3	How Turnover Affects Wages	35
6 Children and Housework		37
6.1	Children and Housework: Statistics	37

6.2 Theoretical and Empirical Framework	40
6.3 Empirical Evidence of a Family Pay Gap	41
6.4 Effect of Housework on Earnings	49
7 Compensating Differentials	55
7.1 Statistics on Fatalities, Injuries, and Flexible Schedules	56
7.2 Compensating Differentials for Fatality or Injury Risk	56
7.3 Compensating Differentials for Working Conditions Other Than Risk	59
8 Differences in Content of Education	
8.1 Trends in Educational Attainment and College Majors	64
8.2 Choice of College Major and Effects on the Pay Gap	67
9 Evidence on Discrimination Based on Observed Productivity or Stock Market Response	
10 Concluding Comments	
References	
Recommended Reading	



Women have made huge advances relative to men in labor force participation, occupational status, and educational attainment. Women now comprise the majority of college students and half of the students in law school and medical school. Yet women continue to earn less than men, and while the gender pay gap has narrowed, a substantial gap remains. This survey article examines sources of this pay disparity and the factors that contribute to women's relative advancement over time. Whether sex discrimination plays a role in the persistent gender pay gap is a topic of considerable debate in academic research as well as in the workplace. Although concerns over discrimination pervaded the debate over sex disparities in pay throughout the 1970s and 1980s, many observers now deny the possibility of discrimination and instead attribute the residual pay gap to gender differences in preferences, especially with respect to balancing market work with family responsibilities. The evidence presented in this survey shows that sex discrimination should not be dismissed as a source of the unexplained gender pay gap.

Arguments that pay gaps arise from choice seem sensible. Theoretical models of discrimination usually show the eventual elimination of

2 Introduction

discrimination due to market forces. And models of optimal allocation of time within a household imply that gender differences in household and child-related responsibilities will lead men and women to make different choices with respect to the labor market and home, and these choices may result in a gender pay gap. Differences in anticipated and actual labor market commitment and in preferences will lead to gender differences in investment in market-related characteristics, such as education and training, and lesser amounts of market capital will result in lower earnings. Some studies show that the presence of children has a negative effect on women's earnings. Women perform a disproportionate share of housework, and time spent on housework has been shown to have a direct negative impact on wages. Differences in household responsibilities and preferences may also affect other dimensions of labor market outcomes. For instance, women who are primarily responsible for the household may accept employment in jobs that are more compatible with household responsibilities, such as those closer to home, with more flexible work schedules, offering generous maternity leave policies, or with lower levels of injury or fatality job risk. Compensating differentials associated with job characteristics may thereby affect the pay gap.

Hence, it is easy to understand the appeal of choice-based explanations of the gender pay gap. But the empirical evidence is not clear cut. By definition, labor market discrimination is characterized by unequal treatment of equally productive persons in a way that is related to observable characteristics such as sex, race, or ethnicity. The bulk of the literature on sex disparities in the labor market examines whether an unexplained pay disparity remains after controlling for individual characteristics that are expected to influence earnings, with control variables serving as proxies for productivity. Thus, controlling for characteristics that derive from choices of market work relative to family should eliminate an unexplained pay gap. The literature, however, documents gender disparities in pay that persist even with extensive controls for education, actual work experience, training, family characteristics, and so on. Unexplained disparities are often interpreted as due to discrimination. But because there is always the possibility that some unmeasured factor is actually responsible for any unexplained pay disparity, such evidence on the existence or persistence of discrimination is not conclusive.

The main issue considered in this paper is whether gender differences in choices, especially with respect to the family and household, are indeed responsible for the gender pay gap, or whether discrimination plays a role. I begin Section 2 by documenting trends showing considerable convergence of men and women with respect to labor force participation, earnings, and occupational distribution. Sections 3 and 4 discuss measurement and empirical evidence on the unexplained gender pay gap and trends in occupational segregation, respectively. Even with extensive controls for characteristics that affect earnings, a considerable unexplained pay gap remains, and occupational crowding arising from segregation into occupations by sex is unlikely to be an important explanation of the gender pay gap.

Section 5 discusses the role of gender differences in turnover in explaining the pay gap. Notably, there is little difference between men and women in quit rates or in average job tenure. The evidence summarized in this section shows that gender differences in turnover do not explain the gender pay disparity. Section 6 describes evidence on the impact of family and housework on pay. While there is some evidence that the presence of children lowers women's earnings, overall the evidence is mixed, and any effect varies by education and over the life cycle. There is more consistent support for a negative effect of housework time on earnings. However, contrary to popular belief, family and housework are not the major cause of the gender pay gap.

Section 7 looks at whether compensating differentials for attractive working conditions, such as flexible work schedules and safer jobs, explains the gap. Although an appealing explanation, compensating differentials are not responsible for the gender pay gap. Section 8 looks at the role of educational choices, particularly with respect to college major. While there is less segregation by sex in college major now than earlier, controlling for college major does not eliminate the gender pay gap except among new college graduates. Section 9 discusses studies that control for actual productivity, as this approach avoids the omitted-productivity-factor criticism

3

4 Introduction

levied at wage equation studies. These studies show direct evidence of discrimination.

On balance, the evidence indicates that sex discrimination remains a possible explanation of the unexplained gender pay gap. This is consistent with the continuing high-profile sex discrimination litigation suggestive of ongoing inferior treatment on the basis of sex.

- Altonji, J. G. and R. M. Blank (1999), 'Race and gender in the labor market'. In: O. C. Ashenfelter and D. Card (eds.): *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Vol. 3. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B.V.
- Anderson, D. J., M. Binder, and K. Krause (2003), 'The motherhood wage penalty revisited: Experience, heterogeneity, work effort, and work-schedule flexibility'. *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 56, 273–294.
- Arrow, K. J. (1973), 'The theory of discrimination'. In: O. Ashenfelter and A. Rees (eds.): *Discrimination in Labor Markets*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Ashraf, J. (1996), 'Is gender pay discrimination on the wane? Evidence from panel data, 1968–1989'. Industrial & Labor Relations Review 49, 537–546.
- Barnes, W. F. and E. B. Jones (1974), 'Differences in male and female quitting'. *Journal of Human Resources* **9**, 439–451.
- Bayard, K., J. Hellerstein, D. Neumark, and K. Troske (2003), 'New evidence on sex segregation and sex differences in wages from matched employee-employer data'. *Journal of Labor Economics* 21, 887–922.
- Becker, G. S. (1957), *The Economics of Discrimination*. Illinois: University of Chicago Press.

- Bergmann, B. (1974), 'Occupational segregation, wages, and profits when employers discriminate by race or sex'. *Eastern Economic Jour*nal 1, 103–110.
- Black, S. E. and E. Brainerd (2004), 'Importing equality? The impact of globalization on gender discrimination'. *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 57, 540–559.
- Blau, F. D. (1977), Equal Pay in the Office. Massachusetts: Lexington Books.
- Blau, F. D. and L. M. Kahn (1981), 'Race and sex differentials in quits by young workers'. *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 34, 563–577.
- Blau, F. D. and L. M. Kahn (1997), 'Swimming upstream: Trends in the gender wage differential in the 1980s'. *Journal of Labor Economics* 15, 1–42.
- Blinder, A. (1973), 'Wage discrimination: Reduced form and structural estimates'. *Journal of Human Resources* 8, 436–455.
- Bonke, J., N. D. Gupta, and N. Smith (2003), 'Timing and flexibility of housework and men and women's wages'. IZA Discussion Paper No. 860.
- Boraas, S. and W. M. Rodgers III (2003), 'How does gender play a role in the earnings gap? An update'. Monthly Labor Review 126, 9–15.
- Bowlus, A. J. (1997), 'A search interpretation of male-female wage differentials'. Journal of Labor Economics 15, 625–657.
- Breen, R. and C. García-Peñalosa (2002), 'Bayesian learning and gender segregation'. *Journal of Labor Economics* 20, 899–922.
- Brown, C. and M. Corcoran (1997), 'Sex-based differences in school content and male–female wage gap'. *Journal of Labor Economics* 15, 431–465.
- Budig, M. J. and P. England (2001), 'The wage penalty for motherhood'. American Sociological Review 66, 204–225.
- Coverman, S. (1983), 'Gender, domestic labor time, and wage inequality'. American Sociological Review 48, 623–637.
- DeLeire, T. and H. Levy (2004), 'Worker sorting and the risk of death on the job'. Journal of Labor Economics 22, 925–953.
- England, P. (1982), 'The failure of human capital theory to explain occupational sex segregation'. Journal of Human Resources 17, 358–370.

- Fishback, P. V. and J. V. Terza (1989), 'Are estimates of sex discrimination by employers robust? The use of never-marrieds'. *Economic Inquiry* 27, 271–285.
- Fortin, N. M. and T. Lemieux (1998), 'Rank regressions, wage distributions, and the gender gap'. *Journal of Human Resources* **33**, 610–643.
- Gariety, B. S. and S. Shaffer (2001), 'Wage differentials associated with flextime'. Monthly Labor Review 124, 68–75.
- Ginther, D. K. and K. J. Hayes (2003), 'Gender differences in salary and promotion for faculty in the humanities 1977–1995'. Journal of Human Resources 38, 34–73.
- Goldin, C. (1997), 'Career and family: College women look to the past'.
 In: F. D. Blau and R. G. Ehrenberg (eds.): Gender & Family Issues in the Workplace. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Goldin, C. and C. Rouse (2000), 'Orchestrating impartiality: The impact of "Blind" auditions on female musicians'. *The American Economic Review* 90, 715–741.
- Groshen, E. (1991), 'The structure of the female/male wage differential: is it who you are, what you do, or where you work?'. Journal of Human Resources 26, 457–472.
- Heckman, J. J. (1979), 'Sample selection bias as a specification error'. *Econometrica* 47, 153–161.
- Hellerstein, J. K., D. Neumark, and K. R. Troske (1999), 'Wages, productivity, and worker characteristics: Evidence from plant-level production functions and wage equations'. *Journal of Labor Economics* 17, 409–446.
- Hellerstein, J. K., D. Neumark, and K. R. Troske (2002), 'Market forces and sex discrimination'. *Journal of Human Resources* 37, 353–380.
- Hersch, J. (1985), 'Effect of housework on earnings of husbands and wives: Evidence from full-time piece rate workers'. Social Science Quarterly 66, 210–217.
- Hersch, J. (1991a), 'Equal employment opportunity law and firm profitability'. Journal of Human Resources 26, 139–153.
- Hersch, J. (1991b), 'The impact of nonmarket work on market wages'. American Economic Review 81, 157–160.
- Hersch, J. (1991c), 'Male–female differences in hourly wages: The role of human capital, working conditions, and housework'. *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 44, 746–759.

- Hersch, J. (1996), 'Smoking, seat belts, and other risky consumer decisions: Differences by gender and race'. Managerial and Decision Economics 17, 471–481.
- Hersch, J. (1998), 'Compensating differentials for gender-specific job injury risks'. American Economic Review 88, 598–607.
- Hersch, J. (2003), 'The new labor market for lawyers: Will female lawyers still earn less?'. Cardozo Women's Law Journal 10, 1–59.
- Hersch, J. and P. Reagan (1997), 'Worker effort decisions and efficient gender-specific wage-tenure profiles'. *Economic Inquiry* 35, 193–207.
- Hersch, J. and L. S. Stratton (1997), 'Housework, fixed effects, and wages of married workers'. *Journal of Human Resources* 32, 285–307.
- Hersch, J. and L. S. Stratton (2002), 'Housework and wages'. Journal of Human Resources 37, 218–229.
- Hill, M. S. (1979), 'The wage effects of marital status and children'. Journal of Human Resources 14, 579–594.
- Hundley, G. (2000), 'Male/female earnings differences in selfemployment: The effects of marriage, children, and the household division of labor'. *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 54, 95–114.
- Jacobsen, J. P., J. W. Pearce III, and J. L. Rosenbloom (1999), 'The effects of child-bearing on married women's labor supply and earnings: Using twin births as a natural experiment'. *Journal of Human Resources* 34, 449–474.
- Jianakoplos, N. A. and A. Bernasek (1998), 'Are women more risk averse?'. *Economic Inquiry* 36, 620–630.
- Juhn, C., K. M. Murphy, and B. Pierce (1991), 'Accounting for the slowdown in black–white wage convergence'. University Press of America.
- Juhn, C., K. M. Murphy, and B. Pierce (1993), 'Wage inequality and the rise in returns to skill'. *Journal of Political Economy* 101, 410–442.
- Keith, K. and P. Malone (2005), 'Housework and the wages of young, middle-aged, and older workers'. Contemporary Economic Policy 23, 224–241.
- Keith, K. and A. McWilliams (1995), 'The wage effects of cumulative job mobility'. *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 49, 121–137.
- Keith, K. and A. McWilliams (1997), 'Job mobility and gender-based wage growth differentials'. *Economic Inquiry* 35, 320–333.

Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/070000007

- Keith, K. and A. McWilliams (1999), 'The returns to mobility and job search by gender'. *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 52, 460–477.
- Korenman, S. and D. Neumark (1992), 'Marriage, motherhood, and wages'. Journal of Human Resources 27, 233–255.
- Leeth, J. D. and J. Ruser (2003), 'Compensating wage differentials for fatal and nonfatal injury risk by gender and race'. *The Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 27, 257–277.
- Lemieux, T. (2006), 'Increasing residual wage inequality: Composition effects, noisy data, or rising demand for skill?'. American Economic Review 96, 461–498.
- Light, A. and M. Ureta (1992), 'Panel estimates of male and female job turnover behavior: Can female nonquitters be identified?'. Journal of Labor Economics 10, 156–181.
- Lundberg, S. and E. Rose (2000), 'Parenthood and the earnings of married men and women'. *Labour Economics* 7, 689–710.
- Macpherson, D. A. and B. T. Hirsch (1995), 'Wages and gender composition: Why do women's jobs pay less?'. Journal of Labor Economics 13, 426–471.
- Manski, C. F. (1989), 'Anatomy of the selection problem'. Journal of Human Resources 24, 343–360.
- Mincer, J. and S. Polachek (1974), 'Family investments in human capital: earnings of women'. Journal of Political Economy 82, S76–S108.
- Mortensen, D. (1990), 'Equilibrium wage distributions: A synthesis'.In: J. Hartog, G. Ridder, and J. Theeuwes (eds.): *Panel Data and Labour Market Studies*. North Holland, Amsterdam.
- Neumark, D. (1988), 'Employers' discriminatory behavior and the estimation of wage discrimination'. Journal of Human Resources 23, 279–295.
- Neumark, D. and S. Korenman (1994), 'Sources of bias in women's wage equations: Results using sibling data'. *Journal of Human Resources* 29, 379–405.
- Noonan, M. (2001), 'The impact of domestic work on men's and women's wages'. *Journal of Marriage and the Family* **63**, 1134–1145.
- Oaxaca, R. (1973), 'Male–female wage differentials in urban labor markets'. International Economic Review 14, 693–709.

- O'Neill, J. E. and D. M. O'Neill (2005), "What do wage differentials tell us about labor market discrimination?", Working Paper No. 11240. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Paglin, M. and A. M. Rufolo (1990), 'Heterogeneous human capital, occupational choice, and male–female earnings differences'. *Journal* of Labor Economics 8, 123–145.
- Phelps, E. S. (1972), 'The statistical theory of racism and sexism'. American Economic Review 62, 659–661.
- Phipps, S., P. Burton, and L. Lethbridge (2001), 'In and out of the labour market: Long term income consequences of child-related interruptions to women's paid work'. *Canadian Journal of Economics* 34, 411–429.
- Polachek, S. W. (1975a), 'Differences in expected post-school investment as a determinant of market wage differentials'. *International Economic Review* 16, 451–470.
- Polachek, S. W. (1975b), 'Potential biases in measuring male-female discrimination'. Journal of Human Resources 10, 205–229.
- Polachek, S. W. (1978), 'Sex differences in college major'. *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* **31**, 498–508.
- Polachek, S. W. (1981), 'Occupational self-selection: A human capital approach to sex differences in occupational structure'. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 73, 60–69.
- Ray, M. A. and P. W. Grimes (1993), 'Jockeying for position: Winnings and gender discrimination on the thoroughbred racetrack'. *Social Sci*ence Quarterly 74, 46–61.
- Reskin, B. F. and D. D. Bielby (2005), 'A sociological perspective on gender and career outcomes'. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 19, 71–86.
- Robst, J. and J. VanGilder (2000), 'Atrophy rates in male and female occupations'. *Economics Letters* **69**, 407–413.
- Royalty, A. B. (1998), 'Job-to-job and job-to-nonemployment turnover by gender and education level'. *Journal of Labor Economics* 16, 392–443.
- Sandell, S. H. and D. Shapiro (1980), 'Work expectations, human capital accumulation, and the wages of young women'. *Journal of Human Resources* 15, 335–353.

- Sasser, A. C. (2005), 'Gender differences in physician pay: Tradeoffs between career and family'. *Journal of Human Resources* 40, 477–504.
- Shelton, B. A. and J. Firestone (1989), 'Household labor time and the gender gap in earnings'. Gender and Society 3, 105–112.
- Sicherman, N. (1996), 'Gender differences in departures from a large firm'. Industrial & Labor Relations Review 49, 484–505.
- Smith, D. M. (2002), 'Pay and productivity differences between male and female veterinarians'. *Industrial & Labor Relations Review* 55, 493–511.
- Stratton, L. S. (2001), 'Why does more housework lower women's wages? Testing hypotheses involving job effort and hours flexibility'. Social Science Quarterly 82, 67–76.
- Suen, W. (1997), 'Decomposing wage residuals: Unmeasured skill or statistical artifact?'. Journal of Labor Economics 15, 555–566.
- The College Board, available at www.collegeboard.com/prod_downloads/about/news_info/cbsenior/yr2002/pdf/table2.pdf.
- Turner, S. E. and W. G. Bowen (1999), 'Choice of major: the changing (unchanging) gender gap'. Industrial & Labor Relations Review 52, 289–313.
- U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (2004), 'Digest of Education Statistics 2003'. http://nces.ed.gov/ pubs2005/2005025.pdf.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (2004), 'Number of Jobs Held, Labor Market Activity, and Earnings Growth Among Younger Baby Boomers: Recent Results from a Longitudinal Survey'. National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1979, http://www.bls.gov/ news.release/pdf/nlsoy.pdf.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (2004), 'Employee Tenure in 2004'. www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/ tenure.pdf.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (2005), 'Highlights of Women's Earnings in 2004'. Report 987. http://www. bls.gov/cps/cpswom2004.pdf.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (2005). Report 985. http://www.bls.gov/cps/wlf-databook-2005.pdf.

- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (2005), 'Workers in Flexible and Shift Schedules in May 2004'. http://www.bls.gov/ news.release/pdf/flex.pdf.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (2005), 'American Time Use Survey — 2004 Results Announced by BLS'. www.bls.gov/tus.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries (2004). http://www.bls.gove/ iif/oshwc/cfoi/cftb0202.pdf.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (2005), 'Workplace Injuries and Illnesses in 2004'. http://www.bls.gov/news. release/pdf/osh2.pdf.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Women in the Labor Force: A Databook, (2005). http://www.bls.gov/cps/wlf-databook2006.htm.
- Viscusi, W. K. (1980), 'Sex differences in worker quitting'. Review of Economics and Statistics 62, 388–397.
- Waldfogel, J. (1997a), 'The effect of children on women's wages'. American Sociological Review 62, 209–217.
- Waldfogel, J. (1997b), 'Working mothers then and now: A cross-cohort analysis of the effects of maternity leave on women's pay'. In: F. D. Blau and R. G. Ehrenberg (eds.): Gender & Family Issues in the Workplace. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Waldfogel, J. (1998), 'The family gap for young women in the United States and Britain: Can maternity leave make a difference?'. Journal of Labor Economics 16, 505–545.
- Weinberger, C. J. (1999), 'Mathematical college majors and the gender gap in wages'. *Industrial Relations* **38**.

Recommended Reading

- Blau, F. D., M. Ferber, and A. Winkler (2001), *The Economics of Women, Men, and Work*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Blau, F. D. (1998), 'Trends in the well-being of American women, 1970–1995'. Journal of Economic Literature 36, 112–165.
- Cain, G. G. (1986), 'The economic analysis of labor market discrimination: a survey'. In: O. C. Ashenfelter and R. Layard (eds.): *Handbook* of Labor Economics, Vol. 1. Amsterdam: North Holland.
- Goldin, C. (1990), Understanding the Gender Gap. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Polachek, S. W. (2004), 'How the human capital model explains why the gender wage gap narrowed'. *Discussion Paper No. 1102*, Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit/Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA).
- Sorensen, E. (1991), Exploring the Reasons behind the Narrowing Gender Gap in Earnings. Washington, DC: Urban Institute.