
**OSHA's Role in
Promoting Occupational
Safety and Health**

OSHA's Role in Promoting Occupational Safety and Health

John D. Leeth

*Bentley University
USA*

JLeeth@Bentley.edu

now

the essence of **know**ledge

Boston – Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
USA
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is J. D. Leeth, OSHA's Role in Promoting Occupational Safety and Health, *Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics*, vol 7, no 4, pp 267–353, 2011

ISBN: 978-1-60198-630-6

© 2012 J. D. Leeth

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc. for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

**Foundations and Trends[®] in
Microeconomics**

Volume 7 Issue 4, 2011

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief:

W. Kip Viscusi

Vanderbilt University

Editors

Richard Carson, UC San Diego (environmental economics)

Joseph Harrington, Johns Hopkins University (industrial organization)

Tom Kniesner, Syracuse University (labor economics)

Mark V. Pauly, University of Pennsylvania (health economics)

David Wildasin, University of Kentucky (public economics)

Peter Zweifel, University of Zurich (insurance economics)

Editorial Scope

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Environmental Economics
- Contingent Valuation
- Environmental Health Risks
- Climate Change
- Endangered Species
- Market-based Policy Instruments
- Health Economics
- Moral Hazard
- Medical Care Markets
- Medical Malpractice
- Insurance economics
- Industrial Organization
- Theory of the Firm
- Regulatory Economics
- Market Structure
- Auctions
- Monopolies and Antitrust
- Transaction Cost Economics
- Labor Economics
- Labor Supply
- Labor Demand
- Labor Market Institutions
- Search Theory
- Wage Structure
- Income Distribution
- Race and Gender
- Law and Economics
- Models of Litigation
- Crime
- Torts, Contracts and Property
- Constitutional Law
- Public Economics
- Public Goods
- Environmental Taxation
- Social Insurance
- Public Finance
- International Taxation

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Microeconomics, 2011, Volume 7, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1547-9846. ISSN online version 1547-9854. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends[®] in
Microeconomics
Vol. 7, No. 4 (2011) 267–353
© 2012 J. D. Leeth
DOI: 10.1561/07000000055



OSHA's Role in Promoting Occupational Safety and Health*

John D. Leeth

*Bentley University, Department of Economics, 175 Forest Street, Waltham,
MA 02452-4705, USA JLeeth@Bentley.edu*

Abstract

When OSHA was established, proponents believed it would dramatically improve the safety and health of American workers. During the forty years of its existence, workplace fatalities and nonfatal injuries and illnesses have fallen but OSHA is not the major cause of this decline. Changes in the industrial mix of workers and improvements in safety technology have combined with expanded employer incentives unrelated to OSHA to decrease worker injuries and illnesses. The financial incentives for employers to expand expenditures on worker safety and health created by the labor market, states' workers' compensation insurance programs, and the legal system swamp the meager incentives created by OSHA.

This survey examines OSHA in light of the other forces affecting workplace safety in the United States to generate a set of policy recommendations for how it can best use its limited resources to improve

*I would like to thank the Mercatus Center at George Mason University for financial support, Keith Teltser for his excellent research assistance, and Richard Williams, Jerry Ellig, and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on an earlier draft. I am solely responsible for all remaining errors and omissions.

worker safety and health. No evidence exists that expanding the total number of inspections or the average amount of fines for noncompliance would improve its effectiveness significantly. OSHA can best complement the other pillars of the US safety policy system by providing information to workers about possible hazards, particularly health-related hazards, and by gearing inspections toward worksites where dangers are hard to monitor and firms employing less mobile and less knowledgeable workers. It should continue to offer consultation services to small- and medium-sized firms and encourage firms to establish management systems addressing worker safety and health issues.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Economic Model of Workplace Safety and Health	7
3	OSHA's Approach to Improving Worker Safety and Health	13
4	Safety and Health in the United States	19
5	Forty Years of OSHA Enforcement History	25
6	Market Incentives	45
7	Workers' Compensation Insurance	53
8	The Legal System	59
9	Why Has OSHA Had Only a Small Impact on Worker Safety?	63

10 Is OSHA Cost Effective?	69
11 Recommendations	77
11.1 Expand Educational Outreach to Workers	78
11.2 Target Disadvantaged Groups	78
11.3 Target Health Hazards and Small Firms	79
11.4 Level Fund NIOSH	79
11.5 Target Firms for Initial Inspection	80
11.6 Level Fund Consultation Services	80
12 Conclusions	83
References	85

1

Introduction

At the end of the 1960s, 3 percent of American workers each year were injured seriously enough on the job to require at least one day away from work to recover, resulting in the loss of over 100,000 man-years of production. Although manufacturing injury rates were fairly steady in the early 1960s, the lost-time injury rate increased from about 12 injuries per million man-hours in 1963 to 15.2 per million man-hours in 1970, a compound growth rate well exceeding 3 percent per year. Another 390,000 workers were diagnosed with industrial diseases each year, and estimates held that only 25 percent of American workers exposed to health hazards were adequately protected (Smith, 1976).

The seemingly dire and deteriorating situation facing American workers led Congress to pass the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (OSH Act). The Act created two federal agencies: the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), which establishes and enforces workplace safety and health standards, and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), which conducts research into the causes and possible remedies of occupational injuries and illnesses.

2 *Introduction*

OSHA is not the first government effort in the United States to promote occupational safety and health. Before passage of any formal program addressing workplace safety, the legal system created incentives for employers to be concerned with the safety and health of their workers. Under common law, workers injured on the job could recover damages if they could prove that their employer was negligent. The possibility of legal liability for damages raises the expected cost of workplace hazards to firms, thereby encouraging them to expand safety efforts. The safety incentives of the tort liability system were widely viewed to be inadequate because employers could avoid legal liability using three common law defenses: the worker's injury was caused by another worker (the fellow-servant doctrine), resulted from a normal hazard of the job (assumption of risk), or stemmed at least partially from the worker's own actions (contributory negligence). Additionally many felt that courts' ex post negligence determination left too many workers uncompensated for injury and created too much uncertainty regarding payment of damages (Darling-Hammond and Kniesner, 1980; Fishback, 1987; Fishback and Kantor, 1998).

More active government involvement in the area of workplace safety began in the United States in 1877 when Massachusetts passed legislation requiring the guarding of belts, shafts, and gears; protection on elevators; and adequate fire exits in factories. Other states followed suit over the next few years, passing their own laws protecting workers against harms including, in some states, factory inspections to ensure compliance (US Department of Labor, OSHA, 2009a).

States reinforced these limited safety and health regulations with workers' compensation legislation beginning in the 1910s. Workers' compensation made firms strictly liable for industrial injuries. Regardless of fault, employers must pay all of injured workers' medical bills and a portion of lost income. In return for no-fault insurance coverage, workers cannot sue employers for damages due to work-related injuries. By 1920 most states had passed workers' compensation laws and by 1948, with passage in Mississippi, all states had enacted legislation. Workers' compensation insurance should encourage employers to improve workplace safety if the price of insurance declines as the dollar value of claims for health and income replacement benefits falls. In the

other direction, higher benefits reduce the economic loss from injury and may cause workers to be less concerned with safety, particularly with respect to less serious injuries.

In the 1960s, the purchasing power of workers' compensation insurance benefits fell as inflation outpaced the increase in legislated benefits. The rise in workplace accidents and the drop in real benefits led many to believe that the workers' compensation insurance system was largely failing in its twin goals of encouraging workplace safety and compensating workers adequately for their losses. The OSH Act created not only OSHA and NIOSH, but also the National Commission on State Workmen's Compensation Laws, which evaluated the adequacy, equity, and timeliness of the state workers' compensation laws then in effect. The Commission encouraged states to modify their workers' compensation programs and raise their income replacement rates. The higher benefits raised the cost of purchasing workers' compensation insurance and may have resulted in increased filing of fraudulent claims for benefits. Cost pressures and concerns with worker safety in the 1980s and 1990s led many states to modify their programs once again.

Government safety policy has evolved over time in response to perceived deficiencies in previous efforts. Even in the absence of any legal liability for damages or formal government programs to promote occupational safety and health, employers still have incentives to be concerned with the welfare of their workers. Morally, no one wants to be responsible for the death or ill health of another. Financially, employers realize that workers will not accept employment in work-sites they know are hazardous unless they are compensated for doing so. The positive relationship between wages and risk means that the market rewards employers with improving safety records with lower labor costs and punishes employers with deteriorating safety records with higher labor costs. Workplace injuries can also lower worker productivity by disrupting production and pulling people away from the workforce temporarily. Eliminating hazards may be expensive, but the drop in wages and the rise in output may more than pay for the costs of additional safety efforts.

One may think of the US safety policy system as consisting of four pillars: the legal system, workers' compensation insurance, OSHA, and

4 *Introduction*

the labor market. The legal system establishes financial liability for workplace injuries and illnesses. Changes in liability standards alter worker and firm incentives regarding the appropriate level of care and the resulting overall level of safety. Workers' compensation insurance pays for the health care and a portion of lost income of workers injured on the job. A more generous workers' compensation insurance system raises the cost of injuries to firms and may encourage them to expand their safety efforts so as to lower their costs of purchasing insurance coverage. OSHA establishes safety and health standards and inspects firms for noncompliance. Depending on the level of fines and the frequency of inspections, firms will initiate new safety programs in line with OSHA requirements. Finally, all of the first three pillars alter the financial incentives facing workers and firms, and through their interplay in the labor market determine the aggregate level of safety. Even in the absence of the other three pillars, the labor market on its own creates incentives for firms to be concerned with worker safety. Workers must be compensated for doing something they dislike, such as accepting greater workplace risk, by something they like, such as more income. The positive relationship between wages and risk means firms with better safety records are rewarded in the market by being able to pay less to attract equally qualified workers than firms with worse safety records.

This survey examines the four pillars of the US safety policy system with a focus on the most recent effort, OSHA. The goal is to determine how OSHA could best use its limited resources to improve worker safety and health in light of incentives already created through the labor market, state workers' compensation insurance programs, and the legal system. The survey is organized as follows. The next section develops the general economic model of production and the workplace when there are inevitable risks to safety and health. The third and fourth sections present the institutional details of OSHA and the nature of workplace fatalities and nonfatal injuries in the United States. The survey then moves on to examine the evolving enforcement of OSHA regulations over the forty years of its existence and the corresponding empirical estimates of its effectiveness in improving worker safety and health. The next three sections discuss the other three pillars of the US safety

policy system, detailing their strengths and weaknesses in generating appropriate safety incentives. The following sections evaluate the likely impact on worker safety of expanding OSHA enforcement power and assess the cost-effectiveness of OSHA in its entirety and some of its regulations individually. The survey concludes with recommendations on how best to improve OSHA's effectiveness in promoting worker safety and health.

This survey concludes that no evidence exists that by magnifying OSHA's enforcement powers, either by increasing the frequency of inspections or by raising the level of fines for noncompliance, worker safety and health would improve dramatically. As currently designed, the compliance and enforcement costs of OSHA appear to exceed the benefits of the relatively small improvements in safety, meaning the program is not cost effective. Given our absence of knowledge of OSHA's impacts in many important areas, such as the effectiveness of its voluntary programs or its capacity to prevent work-related illnesses, eliminating or seriously reducing the scope of OSHA would also be unwise. It further finds that with its current budget, OSHA can best help workers by focusing its efforts in areas not well served by the other three pillars of the US safety policy system. But even in these areas, OSHA need not always take a regulatory approach to improving worker safety. Firms, workers, insurance companies, and equipment manufacturers react to new information on workplace hazards, minimizing, in some cases, the need for a more heavy-handed approach.

OSHA can best complement the other pillars of the US safety policy system by providing information to workers about possible hazards, particularly health-related hazards, and by gearing inspections toward worksites with hard-to-monitor dangers and firms employing less mobile and less knowledgeable workers. Although not universal, the preponderance of the empirical evidence finds accidents within establishments inspected by OSHA falling for two to five years after the inspection. The safety impact is largest for inspections discovering violations of safety standards and for establishments inspected by OSHA for the first time. The results suggest that OSHA should target its inspection efforts broadly over many firms and not narrowly, inspecting a few firms repeatedly. Small firms face lower financial incentives

6 *Introduction*

to improve worker safety than large firms and generally lack the staff with the knowledge on how best to achieve a safe and healthy workplace. OSHA should continue to offer consultation services to small- and medium-sized firms and encourage (but not require) all firms to establish management systems addressing worker safety and health issues.

Compensating wage differentials for workplace risk provide firms with a financial incentive to improve worker safety and health. Compensating wage differentials will not develop if workers do not know of the risks they face and, equally important, workers cannot protect themselves against harms of which they are unaware. By conducting research into the causes and the possible remedies of workplace accidents and diseases, NIOSH generates the information necessary for workers to understand the dangers they face. The Obama administration has recommended cutting NIOSH's budget. NIOSH's budget should not be reduced and the results of its research should be widely disseminated to workers by OSHA through expanded education and outreach programs.

References

- Aldy, J. E. and W. K. Viscusi (2008), 'Adjusting the value of a statistical life for age and cohort effects'. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **90**(3), 573–581.
- Bartel, A. P. and L. G. Thomas (1985), 'Direct and indirect effects of regulation: A new look at OSHA's impact'. *Journal of Law and Economics* **28**(1), 1–26.
- Bellavance, F., G. Dionne, and M. Lebeau (2009), 'The value of a statistical life: A meta-analysis with a mixed effects regression model'. *Journal of Health Economics* **28**(2), 444–464.
- Bunn, W. B., D. B. Pikelny, T. J. Slavin, and S. Paralkar (2001), 'Health, safety, and productivity in a manufacturing environment'. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine* **43**(1), 47–55.
- Butler, R. J. (1994), 'Safety through experience rating: A review of the evidence and some new findings'. Mimeo: Industrial Relations Center, University of Minnesota.
- Cohen, A. and M. J. Colligan (1998), 'Assessing occupational safety and health training: A literature review'. DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 98145. <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/pdfs/98-145.pdf>.

- Conway, H. and J. Svenson (1998), 'Occupational injury and illness rates, 1992–1996: Why they fell'. *Monthly Labor Review* **121**(11), 36–58.
- Cooke, W. N. and F. H. Gautschi, III (1981), 'OSHA, plant safety programs, and injury reduction'. *Industrial Relations* **20**(3), 245–257.
- Costa, D. L. and M. E. Kahn (2004), 'Changes in the value of life, 1940–1980'. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **29**(2), 159–180.
- Crain, N. V. and W. M. Crain (2010), *The Impact of Regulatory Costs on Small Firms*. Washington, DC: Small Business Administration Office of Advocacy. <http://archive.sba.gov/advo/research/rs371tot.pdf>.
- Curington, W. P. (1986), 'Safety regulation and workplace injuries'. *Southern Economic Journal* **53**(1), 51–72.
- Darling-Hammond, L. and T. J. Kniesner (1980), *The Law and Economics of Workers' Compensation*. Santa Monica, CA: Rand, The Institute for Civil Justice.
- Eisenbrey, R. and I. Shapiro (2011), 'Deconstructing Crain and Crain: Estimated cost of OSHA regulations is way off base'. Economic Policy Institute, Issue Brief #312. http://www.epi.org/publication/crain_and_crains_osh_cost_estimates_are_way_off_base/.
- Fishback, P. V. (1987), 'Liability rules and accident prevention in the workplace: Empirical evidence from the early twentieth century'. *Journal of Legal Studies* **16**, 305–328.
- Fishback, P. V. and S. E. Kantor (1998), 'The adoption of workers' compensation in the United States, 1900–1930'. *Journal of Law and Economics* **41**, 305–341.
- Gray, W. B. and C. A. Jones (1991a), 'Are OSHA health inspections effective? A longitudinal study in the manufacturing sector'. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **73**(3), 504–508.
- Gray, W. B. and C. A. Jones (1991b), 'Longitudinal patterns of compliance with occupational safety and health administration health and safety regulations in the manufacturing sector'. *Journal of Human Resources* **26**(4), 623–653.
- Gray, W. B. and J. M. Mendeloff (2005), 'The declining effects of OSHA inspections on manufacturing injuries, 1979–1998'. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* **58**(4), 571–587.

- Gray, W. B. and J. T. Scholz (1993), 'Does regulatory enforcement work? A longitudinal study of OSHA enforcement'. *Law and Society Review* **27**(1), 177–213.
- Hahn, R. W. and J. A. Hird (1991), 'The costs and benefits of regulation: Review and synthesis'. *Yale Journal on Regulation* **8**(1), 233–278.
- Haviland, A., R. Burns, W. Gray, T. Ruder, and J. Mendeloff (2010), 'What kinds of injuries do OSHA inspections prevent?'. *Journal of Safety Research* **41**(4), 339–345.
- Haviland, A., R. Burns, W. Gray, T. Ruder, and J. Mendeloff (forthcoming), 'A new estimate of the impact of OSHA inspections on manufacturing injury rates, 1998–2005'. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*.
- Hersch, J. and W. K. Viscusi (2010), 'Immigrant status and the value of statistical life'. *Journal of Human Resources* **45**(3), 749–771.
- James, H. S. (1998), 'Estimating OSHA's compliance costs'. *Policy Sciences* **31**(4), 321–341.
- Johnson, J. M. (2001), 'A review and synthesis of the cost of workplace regulations'. Mercatus Center, George Mason University, Working Papers in Regulatory Studies. http://mercatus.org/sites/default/files/publication/MC_RSP_RA-SynthesisofWorkplaceCost_010830.pdf.
- Jung, J. and M. D. Makowsky (2012), 'Regulatory enforcement, politics, and institutional distance: OSHA inspections 1990–2010'. Towson University Working Paper No. 2012-02.
- Kniesner, T. J. and J. D. Leeth (1989), 'Separating the reporting effects from the injury rate effects of workers' compensation insurance: A hedonic simulation'. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* **42**(2), 280–293.
- Kniesner, T. J. and J. D. Leeth (1995), *Simulating Workplace Safety Policy*. Boston: Kluwer Academic.
- Kniesner, T. J. and J. D. Leeth (2004), 'Data mining mining data: MSHA enforcement efforts, underground coal mine safety, and new health policy implications'. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **29**(2), 83–111.
- Kniesner, T. J., W. K. Viscusi, and J. P. Ziliak (2006), 'Life-cycle consumption and the age-adjusted value of life'. *Contributions to*

- Economic Analysis and Policy* **5**. <http://www.bepress.com/bejeap/contributions/vol5/iss1/art4>.
- Kniesner, T. J., W. K. Viscusi, and J. P. Ziliak (2010), 'Policy relevant heterogeneity in the value of statistical life: New evidence from panel data quantile regressions'. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **40**(1), 15–31.
- Ko, K., J. Mendeloff, and W. Gray (2010), 'The role of inspection sequence in compliance with the US occupational safety and health administration's (OSHA) standards: Interpretation and implications'. *Regulation and Governance* **4**(1), 48–70.
- Lanoie, P. (1992), 'The impact of occupational safety and health regulation on risk of workplace accidents: Quebec, 1983–1987'. *The Journal of Human Resources* **27**(4), 643–660.
- Leeth, J. D. and J. Ruser (2003), 'Compensating wage differentials for fatal and nonfatal injury risk by gender and race'. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **27**(1), 257–277.
- Levine, D. I., M. W. Toffel, and M. S. Johnson (2012), 'Randomized government safety inspections reduce worker injuries with no detectable job loss'. *Science* **336**(May), 907–911.
- Lofgren, D. J. (1989), *Dangerous Premises: An Insider's View of OSHA Enforcement*. Ithaca, NY: ILR Press.
- Lott, J. R. and R. L. Manning (2000), 'Have changing liability rules compensated workers twice for occupational hazards? Earnings premiums and cancer risks'. *Journal of Legal Studies* **29**(1), 99–130.
- Lowenstein, R. (2012), 'The low-down on high-rises'. *Wall Street Journal US edition*(March 13), A11.
- McCaffrey, D. P. (1983), 'An assessment of OSHA's recent effects on injury rates'. *Journal of Human Resources* **18**(1), 131–46.
- Mendeloff, J. (1979), *Regulating Safety: An Economic and Political Analysis of Occupational Safety and Health Policy*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Mendeloff, J. (1984), 'The role of OSHA violations in serious workplace accidents'. *Journal of Occupational Medicine* **26**(5), 353–360.
- Mendeloff, J. and W. B. Gray (2005), 'Inside the black box: How do OSHA inspections lead to reductions in workplace injuries?'. *Law and Policy* **27**(2), 229–237.

- Michaels, D. (2010), 'Testimony of David Michaels, Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health'. US Department of Labor, Before the Subcommittee on Workforce Protections, The Committee on Education and Labor, US House of Representatives. March 16, https://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=TESTIMONIES&p_id=1062.
- Moore, M. J. and W. K. Viscusi (1989), 'Promoting safety through workers' compensation: The efficacy and net wage costs of injury insurance'. *Rand Journal of Economics* **20**(4), 499–515.
- Morrall, III, J. F. (2003), 'Saving lives: A review of the record'. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **27**(3), 221–237.
- Mrozek, J. R. and L. O. Taylor (2002), 'What determines the value of life? A meta-analysis'. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* **21**(2), 253–270.
- National Safety Council (1994), *Accident Facts*, 1994 Edition. Itasca, IL: Author.
- National Safety Council (2012), *Injury Facts*, 2012 Edition. Itasca, IL: Author.
- Pegual, S. M. (2004), 'Occupational fatalities: Self-employed workers and wage and salary workers'. *Monthly Labor Review* **127**(4), 30–40.
- Ruser, J. and R. Butler (2009), 'The economics of occupational safety and health'. *Foundations and Trends in Microeconomics* **5**(5), 301–354.
- Ruser, J. W. (1985), 'Workers' compensation insurance, experience rating, and occupational injuries'. *Rand Journal of Economics* **16**(4), 487–503.
- Ruser, J. W. (1991), 'Workers' compensation and occupational injuries and illnesses'. *Journal of Labor Economics* **9**(4), 325–350.
- Ruser, J. W. (1995), 'Self-correction versus persistence of establishment injury rates'. *Journal of Risk and Insurance* **62**(1), 67–93.
- Ruser, J. W. (1998), 'Does workers' compensation encourage hard to diagnose injuries?'. *The Journal of Risk and Insurance* **65**(1), 101–124.
- Ruser, J. W. and R. S. Smith (1988), 'The effect of OSHA records-check inspections on reported occupational injuries in manufacturing establishments'. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **1**(4), 415–435.

90 *References*

- Ruser, J. W. and R. S. Smith (1991), 'Re-estimating OSHA's effects: Have the data changed?'. *Journal of Human Resources* **26**(2), 212–235.
- Sandy, R. and R. F. Elliott (2005), 'Long-term illness and wages: The impact of the risk of occupationally related long-term illness on earnings'. *Journal of Human Resources* **40**(3), 744–768.
- Scholz, J. T. and W. B. Gray (1990), 'OSHA enforcement and workplace injuries: A behavioral approach to risk assessment'. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **3**(3), 283–305.
- Sengupta, I., V. Reno, J. F. Burton, Jr., and M. Baldwin (2012), *Workers' Compensation: Benefits, Coverage, and Costs, 2010*. Washington, DC: National Academy of Social Insurance.
- Shannon, H. S., V. Walter, W. Lewchuk, J. Richardson, L. A. Moran, T. Haines, and D. Verma (1996), 'Workplace organizational correlates of lost-time accident rates in manufacturing'. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* **29**(3), 258–268.
- Smith, R. S. (1976), *The Occupational Safety and Health Act: Its Goals and Achievements*. Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research.
- Smith, R. S. (1979), 'The impact of OSHA inspections on manufacturing injury rates'. *Journal of Human Resources* **14**(2), 145–170.
- Smith, R. S. (1990), 'Mostly on Mondays: Is workers' compensation covering off-the-job injuries?'. In: P. S. Borba and D. Appel (eds.): *Benefits, Costs, and Cycles in Workers' Compensation*. Boston: Kluwer Academic, pp. 115–128.
- Smith, R. S. (1992), 'Have OSHA and workers' compensation made the workplace safer?'. In: D. Lewin, O. S. Mitchell, and P. D. Sherer (eds.): *Research Frontiers in Industrial Relations and Human Resources*. Madison, WI: Industrial Relations Research Association, University of Wisconsin, pp. 557–586.
- Smitha, M. W., K. A. Kirk, K. R. Oestestad, K. C. Brown, and S. D. Lee (2001), 'Effect of state workplace safety laws on occupational injury rates'. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine* **43**(12), 1001–1010.
- US Department of Labor (2010), *FY 2010 Detailed Budget Documentation*. <http://www.dol.gov/dol/budget/index-2010.htm>.

- US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (2011a), *Nonfatal Occupational Injuries and Illnesses Requiring Days Away from Work, 2010*. <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/osh2.nr0.htm>.
- US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (2011b), *Revisions to the 2010 Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries (CFOI) Counts*. http://stats.bls.gov/iif/oshwc/cfoi/cfoi_revised10.pdf.
- US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (2012), *Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries (CFOI) — Current and Revised Data*. <http://stats.bls.gov/iif/oshcfoi1.htm>.
- US Department of Labor, OSHA (2005), *National News Release: USDL 05-1740*. http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=NEWS_RELEASES&p_id=11589.
- US Department of Labor, OSHA (2009a), *Reflections on OSHA's History*. http://www.osha.gov/history/OSHA_HISTORY_3360s.pdf.
- US Department of Labor, OSHA (2009b), *US Department of Labor Issues Record Breaking Fines to BP*. http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=NEWS_RELEASES&p_id=16674.
- US Department of Labor, OSHA (2011), *OSHA Celebrates 40 Years of Accomplishments in the Workplace*. <http://www.osha.gov/osh40/OSHATimeline.pdf>.
- US Department of Labor, OSHA (2012), *Commonly Used Statistics*. <http://osha.gov/oshstats/commonstats.html>.
- US Government Accountability Office (2009), *OSHA's Voluntary Protection Programs: Improved Oversight and Controls Would Better Ensure Program Quality*. GAO-09-395. <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-09-395>.
- Viscusi, W. K. (1979a), 'The impact of occupational safety and health regulation'. *Bell Journal of Economics* **10**(1), 117–140.
- Viscusi, W. K. (1979b), 'Job hazards and worker quit rates: An analysis of adaptive worker behavior'. *International Economic Review* **20**(1), 29–58.
- Viscusi, W. K. (1983), *Risk by Choice: Regulating Health and Safety in the Workplace*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Viscusi, W. K. (1985a), 'Cotton dust regulation: An OSHA success story?'. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* **4**(3), 325–343.

92 References

- Viscusi, W. K. (1985b), 'Market incentives for safety'. *Harvard Business Review* **63**(4), 133–138.
- Viscusi, W. K. (1986), 'The impact of occupational safety and health regulation, 1973–1983'. *Rand Journal of Economics* **17**(4), 567–580.
- Viscusi, W. K. (1991a), 'Product and occupational liability'. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **5**(3), 71–91.
- Viscusi, W. K. (1991b), *Reforming Products Liability*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Viscusi, W. K. (1992), *Fatal Tradeoffs: Public & Private Responsibilities for Risk*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Viscusi, W. K. (1998), *Rational Risk Policy: The 1996 Arne Ryde Memorial Lectures*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Viscusi, W. K. (2003), 'Racial differences in labor market values of a statistical life'. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **27**(3), 239–256.
- Viscusi, W. K. (2004), 'The value of life: Estimates with risks by occupation and industry'. *Economic Inquiry* **42**(1), 29–48.
- Viscusi, W. K. and J. E. Aldy (2003), 'The value of a statistical life: A critical review of market estimates throughout the world'. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **27**(1), 5–76.
- Viscusi, W. K., J. M. Vernon, and J. E. Harrington, Jr (1995), *Economics of Regulation and Antitrust*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2nd edition.
- Wei, X. (2007), 'Wage compensation for job-related illness: Evidence from a matched employer and employee survey in the UK'. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **34**(1), 85–98.
- Weil, D. (1996), 'If OSHA is so bad, why is compliance so good?'. *Rand Journal of Economics* **27**(3), 618–640.
- Weil, D. (2001), 'Assessing OSHA performance: New evidence from the construction industry'. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* **20**(4), 651–674.
- White, M. J. (2004), 'Asbestos and the future of mass torts'. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **18**(2), 183–204.
- Whiting, M. A. and C. J. Bennett (2003), 'Driving toward '0': Best practices in corporate safety and health'. *The Conference Board*, http://www.osha.gov/dcsp/compliance_assistance/conf_board_report_2003.pdf.