Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/2400000025

# Massively Parallel Computation: Algorithms and Applications

#### Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Optimization

 $Acceleration\ Methods$ 

Alexandre d'Aspremont, Damien Scieur and Adrien Taylor

ISBN: 978-1-68083-928-9

Algorithms for Verifying Deep Neural Networks

Changliu Liu, Tomer Arnon, Christopher Lazarus, Christopher Strong,

Clark Barrett and Mykel J. Kochenderfer

ISBN: 978-1-68083-786-5

Distributionally Robust Learning

Ruidi Chen and Ioannis Ch. Paschalidis

ISBN: 978-1-68083-772-8

Atomic Decomposition via Polar Alignment: The Geometry of Structured Optimization

Zhenan Fan, Halyun Jeong, Yifan Sun and Michael P. Friedlander

ISBN: 978-1-68083-742-1

Optimization Methods for Financial Index Tracking: From Theory to Practice

Konstantinos Benidis, Yiyong Feng and Daniel P. Palomar

ISBN: 978-1-68083-464-2

# Massively Parallel Computation: Algorithms and Applications

Sungjin Im

University of California, Merced

Ravi Kumar

Google, Mountain View

Silvio Lattanzi

Google, Barcelona

**Benjamin Moseley** 

Carnegie Mellon University

Sergei Vassilvitskii

Google, New York



## Foundations and Trends® in Optimization

Published, sold and distributed by: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 1024 Hanover, MA 02339 United States Tel. +1-781-985-4510 www.nowpublishers.com sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 179 2600 AD Delft The Netherlands Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

S. Im et al.. Massively Parallel Computation: Algorithms and Applications. Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Optimization, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 340–417, 2023.

ISBN: 978-1-63828-217-4 © 2023 S. Im *et al.* 

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Optimization Volume 5, Issue 4, 2023

### Editorial Board

#### Editors-in-Chief

Garud Iyengar Columbia University, USA

#### **Editors**

Dimitris Bertsimas

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

John R. Birge

The University of Chicago

Robert E. Bixby Rice University

Emmanuel Candes
Stanford University

David Donoho
Stanford University

Laurent El Ghaoui

University of California, Berkeley

 $\begin{array}{c} {\bf Donald~Goldfarb} \\ {\bf Columbia~University} \end{array}$ 

Michael I. Jordan

University of California, Berkeley

Zhi-Quan (Tom) Luo

University of Minnesota, Twin Cites

George L. Nemhauser

Georgia Institute of Technology

Arkadi Nemirovski

Georgia Institute of Technology

Yurii Nesterov HSE University

Jorge Nocedal

Northwestern University

Pablo A. Parrilo

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Boris T. Polyak

Institute for Control Science, Moscow

Tamás Terlaky Lehigh University Michael J. Todd Cornell University

Kim-Chuan Toh

National University of Singapore

John N. Tsitsiklis

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Lieven Vandenberghe

University of California, Los Angeles

Robert J. Vanderbei Princeton University
Stephen J. Wright

University of Wisconsin

### **Editorial Scope**

#### **Topics**

Foundations and Trends $^{\otimes}$  in Optimization publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- algorithm design, analysis, and implementation (especially, on modern computing platforms
- models and modeling systems, new optimization formulations for practical problems
- applications of optimization in machine learning, statistics, and data analysis, signal and image processing, computational economics and finance, engineering design, scheduling and resource allocation, and other areas

#### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Optimization, 2023, Volume 5, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 2167-3888. ISSN online version 2167-3918. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/2400000025

# Contents

1	Intr	oduction	2		
	1.1	Purpose of This Monograph	4		
	1.2	Prerequisites	Ę		
2	The	MPC Model	6		
	2.1	Formal Definition	7		
	2.2	Example: Word Frequencies in Two Rounds	G		
	2.3	Other Related Models	11		
	2.4	Section Notes	14		
3	Partitioning and Coresets				
	3.1	Overview	16		
	3.2	Application: Minimum Spanning Tree	16		
	3.3	Application: k-Center Clustering	18		
	3.4	Coresets	19		
	3.5	Application: k-Center Clustering in Euclidean Space	20		
	3.6	Problems	21		
	3.7	Section Notes	22		
4	Sample and Prune 2				
	4.1	Overview	23		
	4.2	Application: Top k Selection	27		

# Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/2400000025

	4.3	Application: k-Center Clustering	27		
	4.4	Application: Monotone Submodular Maximization Subject			
		to a Cardinality Constraint	29		
	4.5	Section Notes	30		
5	Dynamic Programming 3				
	5.1	Overview	33		
	5.2	Warm-up: Knapsack	35		
	5.3	Interval Selection in MPC	37		
	5.4	Approximate Dynamic Programs	40		
	5.5	Section Notes	41		
6	Rou	and Reduction via Sampling	42		
	6.1	k-core Decomposition and a Sequential Algorithm	42		
	6.2	Parallelizing the Sequential Algorithm: $O(\log n)$ Rounds	44		
	6.3	Round Compression via Random Vertex Partitioning:			
		$O(\log \log n)$ Rounds	47		
	6.4	Section Notes	49		
7	Round Reduction via Graph Exponentiation 5				
	7.1	Approximate Core Decomposition	52		
	7.2	Connected Components	57		
	7.3	Section Notes	63		
8	Lower Bounds				
	8.1	Connectivity in MPC	64		
	8.2	Unconditional Lower Bounds	65		
	8.3	Conditional Lower Bounds	69		
	8.4	Section Notes	71		
9	Con	nclusions	72		
References					

# Massively Parallel Computation: Algorithms and Applications

Sungjin  ${\rm Im}^1,$ Ravi Kumar $^2,$ Silvio Lattanzi $^3,$ Benjamin Moseley $^4$  and Sergei Vassilvitskii  $^5$ 

#### ABSTRACT

The algorithms community has been modeling the underlying key features and constraints of massively parallel frameworks and using these models to discover new algorithmic techniques tailored to them. This monograph focuses on the Massively Parallel Model of Computation (MPC) framework, also known as the MapReduce model in the literature. It describes algorithmic tools that have been developed to leverage the unique features of the MPC framework. These tools were chosen for their broad applicability, as they can serve as building blocks to design new algorithms. The monograph is not exhaustive and includes topics such as partitioning and coresets, sample and prune, dynamic programming, round compression, and lower bounds.

Sungjin Im, Ravi Kumar, Silvio Lattanzi, Benjamin Moseley and Sergei Vassilvitskii (2023), "Massively Parallel Computation: Algorithms and Applications", Foundations and Trends in Optimization: Vol. 5, No. 4, pp 340–417. DOI: 10.1561/2400000025. ©2023 S. Im  $et\ al.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> University of California, Merced, USA; sim3@ucmerced.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Google, Mountain View, USA; ravi.k53@qmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Google, Barcelona, Spain; silviol@google.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Carnegie Mellon University, USA; moseleyb@andrew.cmu.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Google, New York, USA; sergeiv@google.com

1

#### Introduction

The modern era is witnessing a revolution in the ability to scale computations to massively large data sets. A key breakthrough in scalability was the introduction of fast and easy-to-use distributed programming models such as MapReduce (Dean and Ghemawat, 2008), Hadoop (hadoop.apache.org), and Spark (spark.apache.org). We refer to these programming models as massively parallel frameworks.

Massively parallel frameworks were originally designed for relatively simple types of computations such as counting the frequency of words in a data set. Since then, they have been shown to be useful for a far richer class of applications. The goal of a recent line of work is to study these frameworks algorithmically to unlock their true underlying power and expand their applicability. The hope is, through an algorithmic investigation, to achieve successes similar to those on topics such as cache-oblivious algorithms (Frigo et al., 2012) and data streaming algorithms (McGregor, 2014).

Practically, massively distributed frameworks enable programmers to easily deploy algorithms on tens to thousands of machines. Algorithmically, the frameworks have restrictions on their computational expressive power to help ensure programs can be efficiently parallelized.

3

The challenges are then to (i) develop simple tools that reveal fundamentals of massive computation and aid algorithm design and (ii) understand which computations can benefit from the framework.

The algorithms community has been addressing this problem by modeling the underlying key features and constraints of massively parallel frameworks and using these models to discover new algorithmic techniques tailored to them. The first model of massively parallel computation was introduced for the MapReduce framework by Karloff et al. (2010) and several variants have been proposed since (Feldman et al., 2010; Koutris et al., 2018; Beame et al., 2017; Andoni et al., 2014; Goel and Munagala, 2012; Goodrich et al., 2011; Pietracaprina et al., 2012; Roughgarden et al., 2016). Perhaps the main advantage of the model in Karloff et al. (2010) is its relative simplicity. It captures framework characteristics that are sufficient for algorithm design, without delving into the plethora of system parameters. In this monograph, we will primarily focus on this version of the model; we call it the Massively Parallel Model of Computation (MPC). See Section 2 for formal details.

The MPC model is a special case of the Bulk-Synchronous-Parallel (BSP) model of Valiant (1990), where machines have sublinear memory (i.e.,  $n^{\delta}$  for  $\delta < 1$  and input size n) and computation proceeds in alternating **rounds** of communication and sequential computation. The MPC model can be thought of making different trade-offs than the classic PRAM computational model. Much of the difference comes from being able to run a sequential algorithm on a small sublinear portion of the data during a single round. Full details are given in Section 2.

The MPC model has a strong connection to practice and this is demonstrated by algorithmic developments resulting in good practical performance (Chierichetti et al., 2010; Bahmani et al., 2012a; Suri and Vassilvitskii, 2011; Karloff et al., 2010; Mirzasoleiman et al., 2013; Broder et al., 2014; Feldman et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2012; Ene et al., 2011; Malkomes et al., 2015; Kumar et al., 2015; Bahmani et al., 2012b; Ene and Nguyen, 2015; Cohen-Addad et al., 2021b; Cohen-Addad et al., 2021a; Lattanzi et al., 2019; Ghaffari et al., 2019b; Bateni et al., 2017; Assadi et al., 2019b; Bhaskara and Wijewardena, 2018) and influencing software libraries. For example, theoretical algorithms

4 Introduction

for k-means clustering have been incorporated in the Spark Machine Learning software library<sup>1</sup> (Bahmani *et al.*, 2012b).

#### 1.1 Purpose of This Monograph

This line of work has demonstrated that massively parallel frameworks are useful for some challenging applications. With this as a proof-of-concept, an exciting area of research is to broaden the use of the frameworks to address a wide range of problems by using theoretical models to drive algorithm design.

This monograph will describe algorithmic tools that have been developed for massively distributed computing that leverage the unique features of the framework. The tools were chosen because we believe they are generally applicable and can be used as building blocks to design algorithms in the area.

This monograph is not exhaustive. However, it will cover the following areas.

- Partitioning and Coresets: This is one of the most natural approaches for parallel algorithms design. The idea is to partition the input to the problem across machines, and have each machine solve the problem on the individual parts. The individual solutions are then combined to build the solution to the overall problem.
- Sample and Prune: Another common approach to solve problems on large data sets is to use sampling to reduce problem size. Unfortunately, sampling from simple distributions, such as uniform, often misses too much information to solve a problem near optimally. We discuss the iterative sample-and-prune method, which has been shown to be efficient for many problems.
- Dynamic Programming: Dynamic programming is a powerful technique for solving problems. Unfortunately, it is typically difficult to parallelize. We discuss techniques for adapting certain dynamic programs to the massively parallel setting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://spark.apache.org/docs/2.2.0/mllib-clustering.html

- 5
- Rounds Reduction: A simulation approach to solve problems in a parallel fashion is to apply a known algorithm, performing one step in a single round of distributed computation. While simple, it is often inefficient and leads to a large number of rounds. We discuss round compression, where multiple iterative rounds are compressed into a single round.
- Lower Bounds: Finally, we discuss the limitations of the massively parallel model of computation. We highlight the efforts to develop lower bounds for the model and derive connections to other models of computation.

#### 1.2 Prerequisites

This monograph will assume the basics on approximation algorithm design and randomized algorithms. For a quick overview, we recommend the books by Williamson and Shmoys (2011, Chapter 2) and Mitzenmacher and Upfal (2005, Chapters 1-4).

- Afrati, F. N., A. D. Sarma, S. Salihoglu, and J. D. Ullman. (2012). "Upper and Lower Bounds on the Cost of a Map-Reduce Computation". arXiv: 1206.4377.
- Andoni, A., A. Nikolov, K. Onak, and G. Yaroslavtsev. (2014). "Parallel Algorithms for Geometric Graph Problems". In: *STOC*. 574–583.
- Andoni, A., Z. Song, C. Stein, Z. Wang, and P. Zhong. (2018). "Parallel Graph Connectivity in Log Diameter Rounds". In: FOCS. 674–685.
- Assadi, S., M. Bateni, A. Bernstein, V. S. Mirrokni, and C. Stein. (2019a). "Coresets Meet EDCS: Algorithms for Matching and Vertex Cover on Massive Graphs". In: *SODA*. 1616–1635.
- Assadi, S., M. Bateni, and V. S. Mirrokni. (2019b). "Distributed Weighted Matching via Randomized Composable Coresets". In: *ICML*. 333–343.
- Bahmani, B., R. Kumar, and S. Vassilvitskii. (2012a). "Densest Subgraph in Streaming and MapReduce". *PVLDB*. 5(5): 454–465.
- Bahmani, B., B. Moseley, A. Vattani, R. Kumar, and S. Vassilvitskii. (2012b). "Scalable K-Means++". PVLDB. 5(7): 622–633.
- Bateni, M. H., S. Behnezhad, M. Derakhshan, M. T. Hajiaghayi, R. Kiveris, S. Lattanzi, and V. Mirrokni. (2017). "Affinity clustering: Hierarchical clustering at scale". In: *NIPS*. 6867–6877.
- Beame, P., P. Koutris, and D. Suciu. (2017). "Communication steps for parallel query processing". *JACM*. 64(6): 40:1–40:58.

Behnezhad, S., L. Dhulipala, H. Esfandiari, J. Lacki, and V. S. Mirrokni. (2019a). "Near-Optimal Massively Parallel Graph Connectivity". In: *FOCS*. 1615–1636.

- Behnezhad, S., M. Hajiaghayi, and D. G. Harris. (2019b). "Exponentially Faster Massively Parallel Maximal Matching". In: FOCS. 1637–1649.
- Bhaskara, A. and M. Wijewardena. (2018). "Distributed Clustering via LSH Based Data Partitioning". In: *ICML*. 569–578.
- Bilardi, G., M. Scquizzato, and F. Silvestri. (2012). "A lower bound technique for communication on BSP with application to the FFT". In: *ECPP*. 676–687.
- Broder, A. Z., L. G. Pueyo, V. Josifovski, S. Vassilvitskii, and S. Venkatesan. (2014). "Scalable K-Means by ranked retrieval". In: WSDM. 233–242.
- Ceccarello, M., A. Pietracaprina, and G. Pucci. (2019). "Solving k-center Clustering (with Outliers) in MapReduce and Streaming, almost as Accurately as Sequentially". Proc. VLDB Endow. 12(7): 766–778.
- Chang, Y., M. Fischer, M. Ghaffari, J. Uitto, and Y. Zheng. (2019). "The Complexity of  $(\Delta+1)$  Coloring in Congested Clique, Massively Parallel Computation, and Centralized Local Computation". In: PODC.~471-480.
- Charikar, M., W. Ma, and L.-Y. Tan. (2020). "New lower bounds for Massively Parallel Computation from query complexity". In: *SPAA*. 141–151.
- Chierichetti, F., R. Kumar, and A. Tomkins. (2010). "Max-cover in map-reduce". In: WWW. 231–240.
- Cohen-Addad, V., S. Lattanzi, S. Mitrovic, A. Norouzi-Fard, N. Parotsidis, and J. Tarnawski. (2021a). "Correlation Clustering in Constant Many Parallel Rounds". In: *ICML*. 2069–2078.
- Cohen-Addad, V., S. Lattanzi, A. Norouzi-Fard, C. Sohler, and O. Svensson. (2021b). "Parallel and Efficient Hierarchical k-Median Clustering". In: NeurIPS.
- Coy, S. and A. Czumaj. (2022). "Deterministic massively parallel connectivity". In: *STOC*. 162–175.
- Czumaj, A., J. Lacki, A. Madry, S. Mitrovic, K. Onak, and P. Sankowski. (2018). "Round compression for parallel matching algorithms". In: *STOC*. 471–484.

Dean, J. and S. Ghemawat. (2008). "MapReduce: Simplified Data Processing on Large Clusters". *CACM*. 51: 107–113.

- Ene, A., S. Im, and B. Moseley. (2011). "Fast clustering using MapReduce". In: *KDD*. 681–689.
- Ene, A. and H. L. Nguyen. (2015). "Random Coordinate Descent Methods for Minimizing Decomposable Submodular Functions". In: ICML. 787–795.
- Esfandiari, H., S. Lattanzi, and V. Mirrokni. (2018). "Parallel and Streaming Algorithms for K-Core Decomposition". In: ICML. 1396–1405.
- Feldman, J., S. Muthukrishnan, A. Sidiropoulos, C. Stein, and Z. Svitkina. (2010). "On distributing symmetric streaming computations". TALG. 6(4): 66:1–66:19.
- Frigo, M., C. E. Leiserson, H. Prokop, and S. Ramachandran. (2012). "Cache-Oblivious Algorithms". *TALG*. 8(1): 4.
- Ghaffari, M., T. Gouleakis, C. Konrad, S. Mitrovic, and R. Rubinfeld. (2018). "Improved Massively Parallel Computation Algorithms for MIS, Matching, and Vertex Cover". In: *PODC*. 129–138.
- Ghaffari, M., F. Kuhn, and J. Uitto. (2019a). "Conditional Hardness Results for Massively Parallel Computation from Distributed Lower Bounds". In: FOCS. FOCS '19.
- Ghaffari, M., S. Lattanzi, and S. Mitrovic. (2019b). "Improved Parallel Algorithms for Density-Based Network Clustering". In: *ICML*. 2201–2210.
- Ghaffari, M. and J. Uitto. (2019). "Sparsifying Distributed Algorithms with Ramifications in Massively Parallel Computation and Centralized Local Computation". In: *SODA*. 1636–1653.
- Goel, A. and K. Munagala. (2012). "Complexity Measures for Map-Reduce, and Comparison to Parallel Computing". arXiv: 1211.6526.
- Gonzalez, T. F. (1985). "Clustering to minimize the maximum intercluster distance". TCS. 38: 293–306.
- Goodrich, M. T. (2010). "Simulating Parallel Algorithms in the MapReduce Framework with Applications to Parallel Computational Geometry". arXiv: 1004.4708.

Goodrich, M. T., N. Sitchinava, and Q. Zhang. (2011). "Sorting, Searching, and Simulation in the Mapreduce Framework". In: *ISAAC*. 374–383.

- Hegeman, J. W. and S. V. Pemmaraju. (2015). "Lessons from the congested clique applied to MapReduce". TCS. 608: 268–281.
- Im, S. and B. Moseley. (2015). "Brief Announcement: Fast and Better Distributed MapReduce Algorithms for k-Center Clustering". In:  $SPAA.\ 65-67$ .
- Im, S. and B. Moseley. (2019). "A Conditional Lower Bound on Graph Connectivity in MapReduce". arXiv: 1904.08954.
- Im, S., B. Moseley, and X. Sun. (2017). "Efficient massively parallel methods for dynamic programming". In: *STOC*. 798–811.
- Indyk, P., S. Mahabadi, M. Mahdian, and V. S. Mirrokni. (2014).
  "Composable core-sets for diversity and coverage maximization". In: PODS. 100–108.
- Jacob, R., T. Lieber, and N. Sitchinava. (2014). "On the complexity of list ranking in the parallel external memory model". In: *MFCS*. 384–395.
- Karloff, H., S. Suri, and S. Vassilvitskii. (2010). "A Model of Computation for MapReduce". In: *SODA*. 938–948.
- Koutris, P., S. Salihoglu, and D. Suciu. (2018). "Algorithmic Aspects of Parallel Data Processing". Foundations and Trends in Databases. 8(4): 239–370.
- Kumar, R., B. Moseley, S. Vassilvitskii, and A. Vattani. (2015). "Fast Greedy Algorithms in MapReduce and Streaming". *TOPC*. 2(3): 14:1–14:22.
- Lattanzi, S., T. Lavastida, K. Lu, and B. Moseley. (2019). "A framework for parallelizing hierarchical clustering methods". In: *ECML/PKDD*. 73–89.
- Lattanzi, S., B. Moseley, S. Suri, and S. Vassilvitskii. (2011). "Filtering: a method for solving graph problems in MapReduce". In: *SPAA*. 85–94.
- Lenzen, C. and R. Wattenhofer. (2010). "Brief announcement: exponential speed-up of local algorithms using non-local communication". In: *PODC*. 295–296.

Linial, N. (1987). "Distributive graph algorithms global solutions from local data". In: *FOCS*. 331–335.

- Malkomes, G., M. J. Kusner, W. Chen, K. Q. Weinberger, and B. Moseley. (2015). "Fast Distributed k-Center Clustering with Outliers on Massive Data". In: NIPS. 1063–1071.
- McGregor, A. (2014). "Graph stream algorithms: a survey". SIGMOD Record. 43(1): 9–20.
- Mirzasoleiman, B., A. Karbasi, R. Sarkar, and A. Krause. (2013). "Distributed Submodular Maximization: Identifying Representative Elements in Massive Data". In: *NIPS*. 2049–2057.
- Mitzenmacher, M. and E. Upfal. (2005). Probability and Computing: Randomized Algorithms and Probabilistic Analysis. Cambridge University Press.
- Nemhauser, G. L., L. A. Wolsey, and M. L. Fisher. (1978). "An Analysis of Approximations for Maximizing Submodular Set Functions—I". *Math. Program.* 14(1): 265–294.
- Park, H.-M., F. Silvestri, U. Kang, and R. Pagh. (2014). "MapReduce Triangle Enumeration With Guarantees". In: *CIKM*. 1739–1748.
- Pietracaprina, A., G. Pucci, M. Riondato, F. Silvestri, and E. Upfal. (2012). "Space-round Tradeoffs for MapReduce Computations". In: *ICS*. 235–244.
- Ponte Barbosa, R. da, A. Ene, H. L. Nguyen, and J. Ward. (2015). "The Power of Randomization: Distributed Submodular Maximization on Massive Datasets". In: *ICML*. Vol. 37. 1236–1244.
- Ponte Barbosa, R. da, A. Ene, H. L. Nguyen, and J. Ward. (2016). "A New Framework for Distributed Submodular Maximization". In: FOCS. 645–654.
- Roughgarden, T., S. Vassilvitskii, and J. T. Wang. (2016). "Shuffles and Circuits (On Lower Bounds on Massively Parallel Computation)". In: SPAA '16.
- Suri, S. and S. Vassilvitskii. (2011). "Counting triangles and the curse of the last reducer". In: WWW. 607–614.
- Valiant, L. G. (1990). "A bridging model for parallel computation". *CACM*. 33(8): 103–111.
- Williamson, D. P. and D. B. Shmoys. (2011). *The Design of Approximation Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press.

Zhao, Z., G. Wang, A. Butt, M. Khan, V. Kumar, and M. Marathe. (2012). "SAHAD: Subgraph Analysis in Massive Networks Using Hadoop". In: *IPDPS*. 390–401.