# **Accounting Disclosure** and Real Effects

# **Accounting Disclosure** and Real Effects

# Chandra Kanodia

Carlson School of Management University of Minnesota USA ckanodia@umn.edu



Boston - Delft

# Foundations and $Trends^{\textcircled{R}}$ in Accounting

Published, sold and distributed by: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 1024 Hanover, MA 02339 USA Tel. +1-781-985-4510 www.nowpublishers.com sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 179 2600 AD Delft The Netherlands Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is C. Kanodia, Accounting Disclosure and Real Effects, Foundation and Trends in Accounting, vol 1, no 3, pp 167–258, 2006

ISBN: 978-1-60198-062-5 © 2007 C. Kanodia

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

# Foundations and Trends $^{\circledR}$ in Accounting

Volume 1 Issue 3, 2006

# **Editorial Board**

## Editor-in-Chief: Stefan J. Reichelstein

Graduate School of Business Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 USA reichelstein\_stefan@gsb.stanford.edu

#### **Editors**

Ronald Dye, Northwestern University David Larcker, Stanford University Stephen Penman, Columbia University Stefan Reichelstein, Stanford University (Managing Editor)

# **Editorial Scope**

Foundations and Trends $^{\circledR}$  in Accounting will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Auditing
- Corporate Governance
- Cost Management
- Disclosure
- Event Studies/Market Efficiency Studies
- Executive Compensation

- Financial Reporting
- Financial Statement Analysis and Equity Valuation
- Management Control
- Performance Measurement
- Taxation

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Accounting, 2006, Volume 1, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1554-0642. ISSN online version 1554-0650. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Accounting Vol. 1, No. 3 (2006) 167–258 © 2007 C. Kanodia DOI: 10.1561/1400000003



# **Accounting Disclosure and Real Effects**

### Chandra Kanodia

Carlson School of Management, University of Minnesota, USA, ckanodia@umn.edu

#### **Abstract**

In this paper I advocate and illustrate a new approach to the study of accounting measurement and disclosure that is strikingly different from the usual studies of disclosure in pure exchange economies. This new approach studies the "real effects" of accounting disclosure, arguing that how accountants measure and report firms' economic transactions, earnings and cash flows to capital markets has strong effects on firms' real decisions and on resource allocation in the economy. I explicitly study the real effects of accounting for firms' intangible investments and accounting for firms' derivatives/hedge activities. I also shed new light on more fundamental accounting issues such as the real effects of imprecision in accounting measurement and the real effects of periodic performance reporting. Studies of real effects have the potential to inform accounting policy debates since they are built around very specific economic transactions and their accounting treatment.

# Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	A Conceptual Framework for Understanding Real Effects	7
3	Real Effects of Imprecision in Accounting Measurements	17
4	Real Effects of Measuring Intangibles	39
5	Real Effects of Periodic Performance Reports	57
6	Real Effects of Accounting for Derivatives	73
7	Conclusion	87
$\mathbf{A}$	${ m cknowledgments}$	89
References		91

1

## Introduction

In this paper, I advocate and illustrate a new approach to the study of accounting measurement and disclosure that is markedly different from the usual approach taken in the extant accounting literature. This new approach, which I call the "real effects" perspective, argues that how accountants measure and report firms' economic transactions, earnings, and cash flows to capital markets has substantial effects on firms' real decisions and, more generally, on resource allocation in the economy. In most of the extant literature, firms are exogenously endowed with liquidating dividends that are independent of the accounting regime, and the role of accounting disclosure is to provide information about these liquidating dividends. When real effects are present, they arise in one of two ways, contractual efficiency or proprietary costs. The former perspective is that contracts among economic agents with conflicting interests are often based on accounting data and better information makes these contracts more efficient. For example, information provided to a firm's board of directors for evaluating and rewarding managerial performance could enhance the efficiency of compensation contracts, decrease risk premiums paid to managers, change managerial effort, and hence have real effects. The proprietary cost perspec-

#### 2 Introduction

tive is that disclosures have real effects because they inform competing firms in product markets whose actions decrease the cash flows of the disclosing firm (Dye, 1986; Gigler, 1994). While both these perspectives have merit, they do not address the usual kind of disclosures that accounting standard setters are concerned with — disclosures made to a faceless crowd of investors and traders that collectively constitute a capital market or a futures market, i.e., disclosures made to the public at large. The real effects perspective I wish to develop is that accounting measurements and disclosure matter not merely because they facilitate more efficient contracts with employees and suppliers or because they inform rival firms but, more fundamentally, because the capital market's pricing of the firm is the main vehicle by which the economic benefits of the firm's activities are transferred to the firm's shareholders.

Most traditional studies of disclosure assume that the payoff to holding a firm's shares consists of an exogenously specified liquidating dividend  $\widetilde{u}$  that is paid by the firm soon after shareholders have bought into the firm. Disclosure to the capital market is modeled as a noisy signal  $\widetilde{y}$  of the firm's liquidating dividend, e.g.,  $\widetilde{y} = \widetilde{u} + \widetilde{\epsilon}$ . Like any other simplifying assumption made by analytical researchers, the artifact of a liquidating dividend would be justified if it did not throw out the proverbial baby with the bath water, i.e., if it did not preclude a study of the key economic forces that are unleashed by disclosure. I will argue that such is not the case: In fact, much of what is interesting in the study of disclosure is lost by invoking the economic abstraction of exogenous liquidating dividends. Since, realistically, liquidating dividends are almost never paid, investors satisfy their consumption, saving, or liquidity needs by periodically buying and selling firms' shares in the capital market. Thus their payoff to holding shares is determined by the endogenous time path of capital market prices, rather than the payment of liquidating dividends. In turn, this implies that when making its decisions, a firm must be concerned with how those decisions are perceived and priced in the capital market. Thus, not only must market prices reflect corporate decisions and their assessed consequences but also corporate decisions must be affected by market pricing. We should think of the *simultaneous* determination of market prices and

3

corporate decisions and how *both* are affected by the information contained in public disclosures.

I am not suggesting that the periodic financial statements released by firms are the only source of information, or even the main source of information, to capital markets. A vast community of financial analysts and voluntary disclosure by corporate managers likely inform the capital market on a more timely basis. However, it is difficult to imagine how such information could be learned or verifiably communicated without systematic measurements and records. Since the systematic recording, aggregation, classification, and reporting of the events and economic transactions that affect a firm is the acknowledged domain of accounting, we should study the real effects of accounting measurements regardless of the specific channels through which such information is released to capital markets.

A study of the real effects of disclosure can be built around very specific economic transactions and accounting measurements. Such studies can shed light on the following kinds of questions: How does the manner in which we account for firms' derivative transactions change a firm's risk management, speculation and production policies; How does the measurement or non-measurement of intangibles change a firm's mix of tangibles and intangible investments; Does the manner in which we account for executive compensation change the compensation package and the incentives of managers; Does fair value accounting for bank portfolios change its lending and portfolio strategies; Does accounting conservatism increase the efficiency of debt contracting? The answers to such questions have the potential to inform accounting regulators and corporate managers who struggle with alternative accounting standards and disclosure requirements.

Contrast these questions to the issues studied in the extant literature where accounting is viewed as providing noisy signals on a firm's exogenous liquidating dividend. In a recent survey, Verrecchia (2001) described disclosure studies as belonging to one of three categories: (i) Association-based studies that document the effect of disclosure on equilibrium asset prices and trading volume through capital market traders' reassessment of firms' liquidating dividends. (ii) Discretionary-based disclosure which examines a firm's incentives for voluntarily

#### 4 Introduction

disclosing or withholding information about its liquidating dividend. (iii) Efficiency-based disclosure where a firm makes ex ante commitments to publicly disclose or withhold information to reduce the costs of private information search by investors or to reduce the information asymmetry component of its cost of capital. All of these studies are conducted in the framework of pure exchange economies where the objects being traded are claims to exogenously given distributions of liquidating dividends. In these studies, the effect of public disclosure is simply to move prices, generate trading volume, decrease information asymmetry between informed and uninformed traders, or discourage costly private information search. It is difficult to see how studies of such effects would inform policy debates regarding alternative ways of measuring and disclosing specific economic transactions, or even debates regarding general principles of accounting measurement such as accounting conservatism, imprecision in measurement, or relevance versus reliability tradeoffs. Besides the lack of policy implications, predictions of the price effects of disclosure would be seriously in error if disclosure also has real effects on corporate decisions.

Another strand of the literature views accounting measurement and disclosure as inconsequential to both capital market pricing or to corporate decisions. This extreme view of accounting disclosure is best exemplified by the many empirical studies on "value relevance" which assume that alternative accounting measurements only affect the correlation between accounting numbers and observed security returns, but leave the latter unchanged. The value relevance school argues that those accounting measurements that produce higher correlations are more desirable because they are apparently more consistent with the information actually used by investors to determine valuations in the capital market. Similarly, using the insights provided by the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), Beaver (1972), Gonedes (1976), and more recently Lambert et al. (2007) view accounting signals as providing information on the true systematic risk of securities, i.e., on the covariance of a security's returns with the returns on the market portfolio.

Any advocacy of new research directions must point out the limitations of extant research paradigms. I briefly discuss my view of these

5

limitations in Chapter 2 and illustrate my arguments in subsequent chapters of the paper. But, for the most part, I focus on surveying some of the work that I have been associated with that concerns the real effects of very specific kinds of disclosures. I do not attempt a comprehensive survey of the extant disclosure literature in pure exchange settings. Such a survey is contained in Verrecchia (2001) and supplemented by Dye (2001). Instead, I dwell exclusively on the real effects approach to the study of disclosure, an approach that is also advocated by Dye (2001), but inadequately discussed in Verrecchia's survey.

# References

- Aboody, D. and B. Lev (1998), 'The value relevance of intangibles: The case of software capitalization'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **36**, 161–191.
- Ball, R. and P. Brown (1968), 'An empirical evaluation of accounting income numbers'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **6**, 159–178.
- Beaver, W. (1968), 'The information content of annual earnings announcements'. *Journal of Accounting Research* (Supplement) **6**, 67–92.
- Beaver, W. (1972), 'The behavior of security prices and its implications for accounting research (Methods)'. Supplement to Accounting Review 47, 407–437.
- Bhattacharya, S. (1979), 'Imperfect information, dividend policy, and the bird in the hand fallacy'. *Bell Journal of Economics* **10**(1), 259–270.
- Bowen, R. M., B. Burgstahler, and L. A. Daley (1987), 'The incremental information content of accrual versus cash flows'. *The Accounting Review* **62**(4), 723–747.
- Brandenburger, S. and B. Polak (1996), 'When managers cover their posteriors: Making the decisions the market wants to see'. *RAND Journal of Economics* **27**(3), 523–541.

#### 92 References

- Brock, E. A. (1982), 'Asset prices in a production economy'. In: J. J. McCall (ed.): *The Economics of Information and Uncertainty*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, pp. 1–43.
- Crawford, V. and J. Sobel (1982), 'Strategic information transmission'. *Econometrica* **50**, 1431–1451.
- Danthine, J. P. (1978), 'Information, futures prices and stabilizing speculation'. *Journal of Economic Theory* 17, 79–98.
- Debreu, G. (1954), 'Valuation equilibrium and pareto optimum'. Proceedings of National Academy of Science 40, 588–592.
- Diamond, D. W. (1985), 'Optimal release of information by firms'. Journal of Finance 40(4), 1071–1094.
- Diamond, D. W. and R. E. Verrecchia (1981), 'Information aggregation in a noisy rational expectations economy'. *Journal of Financial Economics* **9**, 221–235.
- Dye, R. A. (1985), 'Disclosure of nonproprietary information'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **23**, 123–145.
- Dye, R. A. (1986), 'Proprietary and nonproprietary disclosures'. *Journal of Business* **59**, 331–366.
- Dye, R. A. (2001), 'An evaluation of essays on disclosure and the disclosure literature in accounting'. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **32**, 181–235.
- Easley, D. and M. O'Hara (2004), 'Information and the cost of capital'. Journal of Finance 59, 1553–1582.
- Easton, P., G. Taylor, P. Shroff, and T. Sougiannis (2002), 'Using forecasts of earnings to simultaneously estimate growth and the rate of return on equity investment'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **40**(3), 657–676.
- Feltham, G. and J. Ohlson (1995), 'Valuation and clean surplus accounting for operating and financial activities'. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 11(2), 689–731.
- Gebhardt, W., C. Lee, and B. Swaminathan (2001), 'Towards an exante cost of capital'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **39**(1), 135–176.
- Gigler, F. (1994), 'Self-enforcing voluntary disclosures'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **32**, 224–240.
- Gigler, F., C. Kanodia, and R. Venugopalan (2007), 'Assessing the information content of mark-to-market with mixed attributes:

- The case of cash flow hedges'. Journal of Accounting Research 45(2), 257-276.
- Gonedes, N. (1976), 'The capital market, the market for information and external accounting'. *Journal of Finance* **31**, 611–630.
- Graham, K., C. R. Harvey, and S. Rajgopal (2005), 'The economic implications of corporate financial reporting'. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **40**, 3–73.
- Grossman, S. J. (1976), 'On the efficiency of competitive stock markets where traders have diverse information'. *Journal of Finance* **31**, 573–585.
- Grossman, S. J. (1978), 'Further results on the informational efficiency of competitive stock markets'. *Journal of Economic Theory* **18**, 81–101.
- Hakannson, N. H., J. Kunkel, and J. Ohlson (1982), 'Sufficient and necessary conditions for information to have social value in pure exchange'. *Journal of Finance* 37, 1169–1181.
- Healy, P., S. Myers, and C. Howe (2002), 'R&D accounting and the trade-off between relevance and objectivity'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **40**, 677–710.
- Hirshleifer, J. (1971), 'The private and social value of information and the reward to inventive activity'. *American Economic Review* **61**, 561–574.
- Holthausen, R. W. and R. E. Verrecchia (1988), 'The effect of sequential information releases on the variance of price changes in an intertemporal multi-asset model'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **26**, 82–106.
- Kanodia, C. (1980), 'Effects of shareholder information on corporate decisions and capital market equilibrium'. *Econometrica* **48**(4), 923–953.
- Kanodia, C. and D. Lee (1998), 'Investment and disclosure: The disciplinary role of periodic performance reports'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **36**, 33–55.
- Kanodia, C. and A. Mukherji (1996), 'Real effects of separating operating and investment cash flows'. *Review of Accounting Studies* 1, 51–72.

#### 94 References

- Kanodia, C., A. Mukherji, H. Sapra, and R. Venugopalan (2000), 'Hedge disclosures, futures prices and production distortions'. *Journal of Accounting Research* (Supplement) **38**, 53–82.
- Kanodia, C., H. Sapra, and R. Venugopalan (2004), 'Should intangibles be measured: What are the economic trade-offs?'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **42**, 89–120.
- Kanodia, C., R. Singh, and A. Spero (2005), 'Imprecision in accounting measurement: Can it be value enhancing?'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **43**(3), 487–519.
- Kim, O. and R. E. Verrecchia (1991), 'Trading volume and price reactions to public announcements'. *Journal of Accounting Research* 29, 302–321.
- Kydland, F. and E. C. Prescott (1982), 'Time to build and aggregate fluctuations'. *Econometrica* **50**(6), 1345–1370.
- Lambert, R., C. Leuz, and R. E. Verrecchia (2007), 'Accounting information, disclosure and the cost of capital'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **45**(2), 385–420.
- Leland, H. and D. Pyle (1977), 'Information asymmetries, financial structure and financial intermediation'. *Journal of Finance* **32**, 371–387.
- Lev, B. and T. Sougiannis (1996), 'The capitalization, amortization, and value relevance of R&D'. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **21**, 107–138.
- Lev, B. and P. Zarowin (1999), 'Boundaries of financial reporting'. Journal of Accounting Research 37, 353–385.
- Lucas Jr., R. E. (1978), 'Asset prices in an exchange economy'. *Econometrica* 46, 1429–1446.
- Lucas Jr., R. E. and E. C. Prescott (1971), 'Investment under uncertainty'. *Econometrica* **39**, 659–681.
- Melumad, N., G. Weyns, and A. Ziv (1999), 'Comparing alternative hedge accounting standards: Shareholders' perspective'. *Review of Accounting Studies* 4, 265–292.
- Ohlson, J. (1995), 'Earnings, book values and dividends in equity valuation'. Contemporary Accounting Research 11(2), 661–687.
- Ohlson, J. and G. Gao (2006), 'Earnings, earnings growth and value'. Foundations and Trends in Accounting 1(1), 1–70.

- Ohlson, J. and B. Juettner-Nauroth (2005), 'Expected EPS and EPS growth as determinants of value'. Review of Accounting Studies 10, 349–365.
- Ohlson, J. and X. J. Zhang (1999), 'Accrual accounting and equity valuation'. *Journal of Accounting Research* (Supplement) **36**, 85–111.
- Prescott, E. C. and R. Mehra (1980), 'Recursive competitive equilibrium: The case of homogeneous households'. *Econometrica* **48**(6), 1365–1379.
- Sapra, H. (2001), 'Do mandatory hedge disclosures discourage or encourage excessive speculation?'. *Journal of Accounting Research* **40**(3), 933–964.
- Spence, A. M. (1974), 'Competitive and optimal responses to signals: An analysis of efficiency and distribution'. *Journal of Economic Theory* 7, 296–332.
- Verrecchia, R. E. (2001), 'Essays on disclosure'. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* **32**, 97–180.