

# **Accounting Theory as a Bayesian Discipline**

**Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Accounting**

*The Role of Stakeholders in Corporate Governance: A View from Accounting Research*

Gaizka Ormazabal

ISBN: 978-1-68083-396-6

*Financial Analysts and Their Contribution to Well-Functioning Capital Markets*

Mark Bradshaw, Yonca Ertimur and Patricia O'Brien

ISBN: 978-1-68083-354-6

*Rethinking Financial Reporting: Standards, Norms and Institutions*

Shyam Sunder

ISBN: 978-1-68083-144-3

# Accounting Theory as a Bayesian Discipline

---

**David Johnstone**

School of Accounting Economics and Finance

University of Wollongong

and

Discipline of Finance

University of Sydney

Australia

djohnsto@uow.edu.au

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Accounting

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
United States  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

D. Johnstone. *Accounting Theory as a Bayesian Discipline*. Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Accounting, vol. 13, no. 1-2, pp. 1–266, 2018.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-531-1

© 2018 D. Johnstone

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

**Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Accounting**  
Volume 13, Issue 1-2, 2018  
**Editorial Board**

**Executive Editors**

Robert Bushman  
*The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill*

Sunil Dutta  
*University of California at Berkeley*

Stephen Penman  
*Columbia University*

Stefan J. Reichelstein, Managing editor  
*Stanford University*

# Editorial Scope

## Topics

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Accounting publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Auditing
- Corporate Governance
- Cost Management
- Disclosure
- Event Studies/Market Efficiency Studies
- Executive Compensation
- Financial Reporting
- Management Control
- Performance Measurement
- Taxation

## Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Accounting, 2018, Volume 13, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1554-0642. ISSN online version 1554-0650. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Bayesianism Early in Accounting Theory</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Rise of Bayesian statistics . . . . .	9
2.2	Bayes in US business schools . . . . .	11
2.3	Early Bayesian accounting theorists . . . . .	15
2.4	Postscript . . . . .	19
<b>3</b>	<b>Survey of Bayesian Fundamentals</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1	All probability is subjective . . . . .	21
3.2	Inference comes first . . . . .	22
3.3	Bayesian learning . . . . .	22
3.4	No objective priors . . . . .	23
3.5	Independence is subjective . . . . .	23
3.6	No distinction between risk and uncertainty . . . . .	24
3.7	The likelihood function (i.e. model) . . . . .	24
3.8	Sufficiency and the likelihood principle . . . . .	25
3.9	Coherence . . . . .	26
3.10	Coherent means no “Dutch book” . . . . .	27
3.11	Coherent is not necessarily accurate . . . . .	27
3.12	Accuracy is relative . . . . .	27
3.13	Odds form of Bayes theorem . . . . .	28

3.14	Data can't speak for itself . . . . .	29
3.15	Ancillary information . . . . .	29
3.16	Nuisance parameters "integrate out" . . . . .	30
3.17	"Randomness" is subjective . . . . .	30
3.18	"Exchangeable" samples . . . . .	32
3.19	The Bayes factor . . . . .	33
3.20	Conditioning on all evidence . . . . .	34
3.21	Bayesian versus conventional inference . . . . .	34
3.22	Simpson's paradox . . . . .	42
3.23	Data swamps prior . . . . .	44
3.24	Stable estimation . . . . .	45
3.25	Cromwell's rule . . . . .	45
3.26	Decisions follow inference . . . . .	45
3.27	Inference, not estimation . . . . .	46
3.28	Calibration . . . . .	47
3.29	Economic scoring rules . . . . .	48
3.30	Market scoring rules . . . . .	49
3.31	Measures of information . . . . .	49
3.32	Ex ante versus ex post accuracy . . . . .	50
3.33	Sampling to forgone conclusion . . . . .	51
3.34	Predictive distributions . . . . .	53
3.35	Model averaging . . . . .	53
3.36	Definition of a subjectivist Bayesian . . . . .	54
3.37	What makes a Bayesian? . . . . .	54
3.38	Rise of Bayesianism in data science . . . . .	57
<b>4</b>	<b>Case Study: Using All the Evidence</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1	Interpreting " $p\text{-level} \leq \alpha$ " . . . . .	64
4.2	Bayesian interpretation of frequentist reports . . . . .	67
4.3	A generic inference problem . . . . .	67
<b>5</b>	<b>Is Accounting Bayesian or Frequentist?</b>	<b>69</b>
5.1	Two Bayesian schools in accounting . . . . .	72
5.2	Markowitz, subjectivist Bayesian . . . . .	76
5.3	Characterization of information in accounting . . . . .	76
5.4	Why accounting literature emphasizes "precision" . . . . .	80



5.5	Bayesian description of information quality . . . . .	85
5.6	Likelihood function of earnings . . . . .	86
5.7	Capturing conditional conservatism . . . . .	90
<b>6</b>	<b>Decision Support Role of Accounting Information</b>	<b>93</b>
6.1	A formal Bayesian model . . . . .	95
6.2	Parallels with meteorology . . . . .	96
6.3	Bayesian fundamental analysis . . . . .	98
<b>7</b>	<b>Demski's (1973) Impossibility Result</b>	<b>100</b>
7.1	Example: binary accounting signals . . . . .	101
7.2	Conservatism and the user's risk aversion . . . . .	118
<b>8</b>	<b>Does Information Reduce Uncertainty</b>	<b>119</b>
8.1	Beaver's (1968) prescription . . . . .	119
8.2	Bayesian basics . . . . .	120
8.3	Contrary views in accounting . . . . .	122
8.4	Bayesian roots in finance . . . . .	123
8.5	The general Bayesian law . . . . .	128
8.6	Rogers <i>et al.</i> (2009) . . . . .	130
8.7	Dye and Hughes (2018) . . . . .	131
8.8	Why a Predictive Distribution? . . . . .	142
8.9	Limits to certainty . . . . .	143
8.10	Lewellen and Shanken (2002) . . . . .	147
8.11	Neururer <i>et al.</i> (2016) . . . . .	148
8.12	Veronesi (1999) . . . . .	150
<b>9</b>	<b>How Information Combines</b>	<b>151</b>
9.1	Combining two risky signals . . . . .	152
<b>10</b>	<b>Ex Ante Effect of Greater Risk/Uncertainty</b>	<b>156</b>
10.1	Risk adds to ex ante expected utility . . . . .	157
10.2	Implications for Bayesian decision analysis . . . . .	161
10.3	Volatility pumping . . . . .	163

<b>11 Ex Post Decision Outcomes</b>	<b>164</b>
11.1 Practical investment . . . . .	166
11.2 Economic Darwinism . . . . .	168
11.3 Bayesian Darwinian selection . . . . .	170
11.4 Good probability assessments . . . . .	173
11.5 Implications for accounting information . . . . .	174
<b>12 Information Uncertainty</b>	<b>176</b>
12.1 Bayesian definition of information uncertainty . . . . .	181
12.2 Bayesian treatment of information uncertainty . . . . .	182
12.3 Model risk as information risk . . . . .	184
<b>13 Conditioning Beliefs and the Cost of Capital</b>	<b>186</b>
13.1 Numerical example . . . . .	188
13.2 Interpretation . . . . .	190
<b>14 Reliance on the Normal-Normal Model</b>	<b>192</b>
14.1 Intuitive counter-example . . . . .	193
14.2 Appeal to the normal-normal model in accounting . . . . .	195
14.3 Unknown variance, increasing after observation . . . . .	198
14.4 Beyer (2009) . . . . .	199
14.5 Armstrong <i>et al.</i> (2016) . . . . .	202
<b>15 Bayesian Subjective Beta</b>	<b>206</b>
15.1 Core <i>et al.</i> (2015) . . . . .	210
15.2 Verrecchia (2001): Understated influence of the mean . . . . .	213
15.3 Decision analysis effect of the mean . . . . .	221
<b>16 Other Bayesian Points of Interest</b>	<b>224</b>
16.1 Accounting input in prediction models . . . . .	224
16.2 Earnings quality and accurate probability assessments . . . . .	225
16.3 Expected variance as a measure of information . . . . .	226
16.4 Information stays relevant . . . . .	226
16.5 Bayesian view of earnings management . . . . .	227
16.6 Numerator versus denominator news . . . . .	228
16.7 Mixtures of normals . . . . .	230
16.8 Information content . . . . .	231

16.9	Fundamental versus information risk . . . . .	232
16.10	When information adds to information asymmetry . . . . .	233
16.11	Value of independent information sources . . . . .	234
16.12	How might market probabilities behave? . . . . .	236
16.13	“Idiosyncratic” versus “undiversifiable” information . . . . .	238
<b>17</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>239</b>
	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>246</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>247</b>

# Accounting Theory as a Bayesian Discipline

David Johnstone

*Professor of Accounting, University of Wollongong*

*Honorary Professor of Finance, University of Sydney*

---

## ABSTRACT

The Bayesian logic of probability, evidence and decision is the presumed rule of reasoning in analytical models of accounting disclosure. Any rational explication of the decades-old accounting notions of “information content”, “value relevance”, “decision useful”, and possibly conservatism, is inevitably Bayesian. By raising some of the probability principles, paradoxes and surprises in Bayesian theory, intuition in accounting theory about information, and its value, can be tested and enhanced. Of all the branches of the social sciences, accounting information theory begs Bayesian insights. This monograph lays out the main logical constructs and principles of Bayesianism, and relates them to important contributions in the theoretical accounting literature. The approach taken is essentially “old-fashioned” normative statistics, building on the expositions of Demski, Ijiri, Feltham and other early accounting theorists who brought Bayesian theory to accounting theory. Some history of this nexus, and the role of business schools in the development of Bayesian statistics in the 1950–1970s, is described. Later developments in accounting, especially noisy rational expectations models under which the information reported by firms is endogenous, rather than unaffected or “drawn from nature”, make the task of Bayesian inference more

difficult yet no different in principle. The information user must still revise beliefs based on what is reported. The extra complexity is that users must allow for the firm's perceived disclosure motives and other relevant background knowledge in their Bayesian models. A known strength of Bayesian modelling is that subjective considerations are admitted and formally incorporated. Allowances for perceived self-interest or biased reporting, along with any other apparent signal defects or "information uncertainty", are part and parcel of Bayesian information theory.

---

# 1

---

## Introduction

---

This monograph introduces Bayesian theory and its role in statistical accounting information theory. Its intended audience includes accounting PhD students and researchers. The Bayesian statistical logic of probability, evidence and decision lies at the historical and modern epicenter of accounting thought and research. It is not only the presumed rule of reasoning in analytical models of accounting disclosure but also the default position for empiricists when hypothesizing about how the users of financial statements think:

Based on Bayesian decision theory research (e.g. DeGroot, 1970) that shows that loss-minimizing investors place less weight on noisier (i.e. more uncertain) information, we expect to observe more muted initial market reactions to unexpected earnings signals that have higher information uncertainty. (Francis *et al.*, 2007, p. 408)

Bayesian logic comes to light throughout accounting research. It is the soul of most strategic disclosure models, for the reason that any other model of investor behavior implies an incoherence or inconsistency in beliefs and actions by which the investor will overall surely lose to a more coherent market or opponent:

In theory-based, economic analyses, reliance on Bayes rule is so routinized an assumption as rarely to warrant any justification. The compelling feature of Bayes rule is that it implies the most efficient use of information. Consequently, in market settings, investors who use information more efficiently (i.e. Bayesians) should be able to exploit and dominate their less efficient counterparts. (Verrecchia, 2001, p. 123)

Bayesianism is similarly a large part of the stated and unstated motivation of empirical studies of how market prices and their implied costs of capital react to better financial disclosure. Investors are taken to impose discount rates or costs of capital consistent with their best possible (i.e. most rational) probability assessments.

Summarizing their philosophical position, Chen and Schipper (2016) argued for theory to play a greater part in accounting PhD programs and in empirical research designs. Their view of accounting is overtly Bayesian. They highlight the role of accounting measurements as information for fundamental analysis, which is understood as the formation of beliefs about the firm's cash flows and risks, culminating in financial investment decisions:

Analyses of different accounting measurement attributes (for example, fair value and historical cost) illustrate the potential benefit of using theory to discipline empirical analysis. A general question that accounting researchers are interested in is whether accounting measurements matter, in the sense of whether different accounting measurement attributes for the same item lead to differences in investors' assessment of firms' fundamentals and therefore affect investors' decision-making. (Chen and Schipper, 2016)

Similarly, Barth (2006b) notes an array of market effects that are indicative of accounting information having met its objective, namely to alter investors' beliefs and thus actions:

Some [empirical research] designs use capital market metrics, other than equity market value, such as trading volume,

cost of capital estimates, and bond ratings. These studies help to provide insights into the role of accounting in capital markets. Beaver (1968) is the seminal paper in this literature and shows that accounting information changes investors' beliefs by showing that trading volume increases at earnings announcement dates. (Barth, 2006b, p. 95)

It could be argued that using information for decision-making — and hence logical (i.e. Bayesian) reasoning — all goes without saying. The retrospective provided by Chen and Schipper suggests otherwise. They explain that even theoretically formal and rigorous valuation models, like the Ohlson residual income model, are essentially non-Bayesian, because they feed accounting information into a finance-based valuation model rather than feeding Bayes theorem. Any implicit belief revision upon the Ohlson framework is not brought to light:

This valuation approach does not model how investors use accounting information to update their beliefs about firms' future dividends. Therefore, the value relevance literature circumvents what some might view as a basic question to be asked about differences in accounting measurement attributes, namely, do the different measurements indeed result in differences in information used by investors. Furthermore, because the valuation model is silent on what “information content” and “value relevance” mean and how they are affected by different measurements, it has limited ability to guide research designs and to help researchers draw meaningful inferences. Consequently, much of the existing literature has relied on ad hoc specifications, and focused on assessments of explanatory power and assessments of regression coefficients linking accounting outcomes such as earnings to market outcomes such as price or return. Absent a theory or at least an analytical structure explicitly considering investors' use of information (e.g., investors' prior, Bayes updating), the interpretations of these results must of necessity be ad hoc. . . . We are not implying that the residual



income frameworks revived by Ohlson (1995) and others have no value. In fact, we believe this research provides useful insights on the role of accounting measurement. Our point is that this research is not suitable to answer questions related to how investors use accounting data to update their assessments of estimates of future cash flows. (Chen and Schipper, 2016)

Any attempt to explicate the decades-old accounting notions of “information content”, “value relevance”, “decision useful” and the like, is inevitably a Bayesian task. It is fair to say that in the human logic of reasoning under uncertainty, probability theory (and thus Bayes’ theorem) is the only candidate (we would not draw balls from an urn, and make inferences about its contents, on any formal understanding other than the laws of probability).

Frequentist or “classical” statistics, which we have probably all studied, refuses to play that game. It is not permitted under frequentist statistical theory to put a probability of any description on a proposition or “hypothesis”. We can write  $f(\text{data}|\text{hypothesis})$ , provided that we interpret  $f$  as frequency, but we cannot write  $f(\text{hypothesis}|\text{data})$  on any interpretation of  $f$ . So, for example, we cannot use accounting data to come to an assessed probability of a firm going bankrupt, which of course means that we cannot revise that probability when new accounting data arrives.

Subjectivist Bayesian inference supports inferences drawn from accounting “measurements” or “numbers” and does not need input observations/signals to have any substantive meaning other than as merely a “signal”. Just as we can use a barometer to give an “indicator” of what weather to expect, while not necessarily giving that reading of barometric pressure any deeper scientific interpretation, Bayesian theory shows that extensively “meaning-free” or merely “hard to interpret” accounting disclosures (and non-disclosures) can be decision-useful indicators of economic fundamentals. That understanding of Bayesian belief revision and decision-making was brought to accounting theory by Feltham, Demski and others in the 1960s and 1970s, and mirrored the rise of neo-Bayesianism in other fields in the 1950s–1960s, which in

turn followed a burst of statistical work in decision theory, operations research and code breaking during WWII.

The approach taken in this monograph is a Demski-like treatment of “accounting numbers” as “signals” rather than as “measurements”. It should be of course that “good” measurements like “quality earnings” reports make generally better signals. However, to be useful for decision-making under uncertainty, accounting measurements need to have more than established accounting measurement virtues, of the types that early theorists like Paton, Bell and Sterling might have advocated, and which recently resurfaced in the 1960s/1970s-like normative discussion in Hodder *et al.* (2014) and Dechow *et al.* (2010). Chen and Schipper’s view is that accounting measurements need to possess enough technical Bayesian information attributes to materially influence users’ beliefs and consequent investments. This monograph is really about explaining what those Bayesian information attributes are, where they come from in Bayesian theory, and how they apply in statistical accounting information theory.

## References

---

- Aitkin, M. (2010). *Statistical Inference: An Integrated Likelihood/Bayesian Approach*. Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall.
- Alchain, A. (1950). “Uncertainty, evolution and economic theory”. *Journal of Political Economy*. 58: 211–221.
- Amiram, D., W. R. Landsman, E. L. Owens, and S. R. Stubben (2018). “How are analysts’ forecasts affected by high uncertainty?” *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*. 45: 295–318.
- Armstrong, C. S., D. J. Taylor, and R. E. Verrecchia (2016). “Asymmetric reporting”. *Journal of Financial Reporting*. 1: 15–32.
- Artiach, T. C. and P. M. Clarkson (2011). “Disclosure, conservatism and the cost of capital: A review of the foundational literature”. *Accounting and Finance*. 51: 2–49.
- Avramov, D. (2002). “Stock return predictability and model uncertainty”. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 64: 423–458.
- Avramov, D. and G. Zhou (2010). “Bayesian portfolio analysis”. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*. 2: 25–47.
- Banks, D. L. (1996). “A conversation with I. J. Good”. *Statistical Science*. 11: 1–19.
- Baron, D. P. (1977). “On the utility theoretic foundations of mean-variance analysis”. *Journal of Finance*. 32: 1683–1697.

- Barry, C. B. (1978). "Effects of uncertain and nonstationary parameters upon capital market equilibrium". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 13: 419–433.
- Barry, C. B. and S. J. Brown (1985). "Differential information and security market equilibrium". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 20: 4-7-422.
- Barry, C. B. and R. L. Winkler (1976). "Nonstationarity and portfolio choice". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 11: 217–235.
- Barth, M. E. (2006a). "Including estimates of the future in today's financial statements". *Accounting Horizons*. 20: 271–285.
- Barth, M. E. (2006b). "Research, standard setting, and global financial reporting". *Foundations and Trends in Accounting*. 1: 71–165.
- Barth, M. E. and D. J. Taylor (2010). "In defense of fair value: Weighing the evidence on earnings management and asset securitizations". University of Pennsylvania. Wharton Faculty Research Paper 2-2010.
- Barth, M., W. H. Beaver, and W. R. Landsman (2001). "The relevance of the value relevance literature for financial accounting standard setting: Another view". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 31: 77–104.
- Basu, S. (1997). "The conservatism principle and the asymmetric timeliness of earnings". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 24: 3–37.
- Basu, S. (2013). "Devil's advocate: The most incorrect beliefs of accounting experts". *Accounting Horizons*. 27: 841–846.
- Basu, S. (2015). "Is there a scientific basis for accounting? Implications for practice, research, and education". *Journal of International Accounting Research*. 14: 235–265.
- Bawa, V. S., S. J. Brown, and R. W. Klein (1979). *Estimation Risk and Optimal Portfolio Choice*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Beaver, W. (1968). "The Information content of annual earnings announcements". *Journal of Accounting Research. Supplement*. 6: 67–92.
- Beck, K., B. Niendorf, and P. Peterson (2012). "The use of Bayesian methods in financial research". *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*. 9: 68–75.

- Bedford, N. M. and V. Baladouni (1962). “A communication theory approach to accountancy”. *The Accounting Review*. 37: 650–659.
- Benjamin, D. J., J. O. Berger, and V. E. Johnson (2018). “Redefine statistical significance”. *Nature Human Behaviour*. 2: 6–10.
- Berger, J. O. (1985). *Statistical Decision Theory and Bayesian Analysis*. 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Berger, J. O. (2003). “Could Fisher, Jeffreys and Neyman have agreed on testing?” *Statistical Science*. 18: 1–32.
- Berger, J. O. and T. Sellke (1987). “Testing a point null hypothesis: The irreconcilability of  $p$ -values and evidence”. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 82: 112–122.
- Berger, J. O. and R. L. Wolpert (1988). *The Likelihood Principle*. 2nd ed. Haywood, California: IMS Lecture Notes — Monograph Series.
- Bernardo, J. M. and A. F. M. Smith (1994). *Bayesian Theory*. New York: Wiley.
- Bertomeu, J. (2013). “Discussion of earnings manipulation and the cost of capital”. *Journal of Accounting Research*. 51: 475–493.
- Bertomeu, J., A. Beyer, and R. A. Dye (2011). “Capital structure, cost of capital, and voluntary disclosures”. *The Accounting Review*. 86: 857–886.
- Bertomeu, J., M. N. Darrrough, and W. Xue (2017). “Optimal conservatism with earnings manipulation”. *Contemporary Accounting Research*. 34: 252–284.
- Best, M. J. and R. R. Grauer (1991). “On the sensitivity of mean-variance efficient portfolios to changes in asset means: Some analytical and computational results”. *The Review of Financial Studies*. 4: 315–342.
- Beyer, A. (2009). “Capital market prices, management forecasts, and earnings management”. *The Accounting Review*. 84: 1713–1747.
- Beyer, A., D. A. Cohen, T. Z. Lys, and B. R. Walther (2010). “The financial reporting environment: Review of the recent literature”. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 50: 296–343.

- Beyer, A., D. A. Cohen, T. Z. Lys, and B. R. Walther (2013). “Conservatism and aggregation: The effect on cost of equity capital and the efficiency of debt contracts”. *Rock Center for Corporate Governance at Stanford University Working Paper No. 120*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2103776> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2103776>.
- Bhattacharya, N., F. Ecker, P. Olsson, and K. Schipper (2012). “Direct and mediated associations among earnings quality, information asymmetry, and the cost of equity”. *The Accounting Review*. In press.
- Bickel, J. E. (2007). “Some comparisons among quadratic, spherical, and logarithmic scoring rules”. *Decision Analysis*. 4: 49–65.
- Bickel, J. E. and J. E. Smith (2006). “Optimal sequential exploration: A binary learning model”. *Decision Analysis*. 5: 116–128.
- Birchler, U. and M. M. Büttler (2007). *Information Economics*. London: Routledge.
- Blackwell, D. (1969). *Basic Statistics*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Blume, L. and D. Easley (2006). “If you’re so smart, why aren’t you rich? Belief selection in complete and incomplete markets”. *Econometrica*. 74: 929–966.
- Botosan, C. (1997). “Disclosure level and the cost of equity capital”. *The Accounting Review*. 72: 323–349.
- Botosan, C. A. and M. A. Plumlee (2002). “A re-examination of disclosure level and the expected cost of equity capital”. *Journal of Accounting Research*. 40: 21–40.
- Botosan, C. A. and M. A. Plumlee (2013). “Are information attributes priced?” *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*. 40: 1045–1067.
- Brown, S. J. (1979). “The effect of estimation risk on capital equilibrium”. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 14: 215–220.
- Burgstahler, D. (1987). “Inference from empirical research”. *Accounting Review*. 62: 203–214.
- Butterworth, J. E. (1972). “The accounting system as information function”. *Journal of Accounting Research*. 10: 1–27.
- Cabrales, A., O. Gossner, and R. Serrano (2013). “Entropy and the value of information for investors”. *American Economic Review*. 103: 360–377.

- Chen, Q., T. Hemmer, and Y. Zhang (2007). "On the relation between conservatism in accounting standards and incentives for earnings management". *Journal of Accounting Research*. 45: 541–565.
- Chen, Q. and K. Schipper (2016). "Comments and observations regarding the relation between theory and empirical research in contemporary accounting research". *Foundations and Trends in Accounting*. 10: 314–360.
- Cheng, M., D. S. Dhaliwal, and M. Neamtiu (2011). "Asset securitization, securitization recourse, and information uncertainty". *The Accounting Review*. 86: 541–568.
- Cheynel, E. (2013). "A theory of voluntary disclosures and cost of capital". *Review of Accounting Studies*. 18: 987–1020.
- Chopra, V. K. and W. T. Ziemba (1993). "The effect of errors in means, variances, and covariances on optimal portfolio choice". *Journal of Portfolio Management*. 19: 6–11.
- Christensen, J. A. and J. S. Demski (2003). *Accounting Theory: An Information Content Perspective*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Christensen, J. A. and J. S. Demski (2007). "Anticipatory reporting standards". *Accounting Horizons*. 21: 351–370.
- Christensen, P. O., L. E. de la Rosa, and G. A. Feltham (2010). "Information and the cost of capital: An ex ante perspective". *Accounting Review*. 85: 817–848.
- Christensen, P. O. and G. Feltham (2003). *Economics of Information, Volume 1: Information in markets*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Clarkson, P., J. Guedes, and R. Thompson (1996). "On the diversification, observability, and measurement of estimation risk". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 31: 69–84.
- Clemen, R. T. and R. L. Winkler (1987). "Calibrating and Combining Precipitation Probability Forecasts". In: *Probability and Bayesian Statistics*. Ed. by R. Viertl. Springer: Boston, MA.
- Clemen, R. T. and R. L. Winkler (2007). "Aggregating Probability Distributions". In: *Advances in Decision Analysis: From Foundations to Applications*. Ed. by E. Ward, R. F. Miles, and D. von Winterfeldt. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 154–176.

- Coles, J. L. and U. Loewenstein (1988). "Equilibrium pricing and portfolio composition in the presence of uncertain parameters". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 22: 279–303.
- Coles, J., U. Loewenstein, and J. Suay (1995). "On equilibrium pricing under parameter uncertainty". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 30: 347–364.
- Core, J. E., W. R. Guay, and R. S. Verdi (2008). "Is accruals quality a priced risk factor?" *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 46: 2–22.
- Core, J. E., L. Hail, and R. S. Verdi (2015). "Mandatory disclosure quality, inside ownership and cost of capital". *European Accounting Review*. 24: 1–29.
- Cremers, J.-H., M. Kritzman, and S. Page (2005). "Optimal hedge fund allocations: Do higher moments matter?" *The Journal of Portfolio Management*. 31: 70–81.
- Dawid, A. P. (1982). "The well-calibrated Bayesian". *Journal of the American statistical Association*. 77: 605–610.
- de Finetti, B. (1937/1964). "La prevision: ses lois logiques, ses sources subjectives". *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré*. 7: 1–68. Translated in Kyburg, H. E. and Smokler, H. E. (eds.) 1964 *Studies in Subjective Probability*. Wiley: New York.
- Dechow, P. M. (1994). "Accounting earnings and cash flows as measures of firm performance: The role of accounting accruals". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 18: 3–42.
- Dechow, P. M., W. Ge, and C. M. Schrand (2010). "Understanding earnings quality: A review of the proxies, their determinants and their consequences". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 50: 344–401.
- Dechow, P. M. and C. M. Schrand (2004). *Earnings Quality*. Research Foundation of CFA Institute. 2004.
- DeFond, M. L. (2010). "Earnings quality research: Advances, challenges and future research". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 50: 402–409.
- DeGroot, M. H. (1970). *Optimal Statistical Decisions*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- DeGroot, M. H. (1986). "A conversation with David Blackwell". *Statistical Science*. 1: 40–53.



- DeGroot, M. H. and S. E. Fienberg (1982). "Assessing probability assessors: Calibration and refinement". In: *Statistical Decision Theory and Related Topics III*. Ed. by S. S. Gupta and J. O. Berger. New York: Academic Press. 291–314.
- DeGroot, M. H. and S. E. Fienberg (1983). "The comparison and evaluation of forecasters". *The Statistician*. 32: 14–22.
- Demski, J. S. (1969). "Predictive ability of alternative performance measurement models". *Journal of Accounting Research*: 96–115.
- Demski, J. S. (1973). "The general impossibility of normative accounting standards". *The Accounting Review*. 48: 718–723.
- Demski, J. S. (1980). *Information Analysis*. 2nd ed. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Demski, J. S. and G. A. Feltham (1972). "Forecast evaluation". *The Accounting Review*. 47: 533–548.
- Dichev, I. D., J. R. Graham, C. R. Harvey, and S. Rajgopal (2013). "Earnings quality: Evidence from the field". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 56: 1–33.
- Du, K. and S. Huddart (2017). "Reporting system, Investor learning, and stock regularities". URL: [www.personal.psu.edu/sjh11/Papers/regularities.pdf](http://www.personal.psu.edu/sjh11/Papers/regularities.pdf).
- Dyckman, T. R. (2016). "Significance testing: We can do better". *Abacus*. 52: 319–342.
- Dye, R. A. (1985). "Disclosure of non-proprietary information". *Journal of Accounting Research*. 23: 123–145.
- Dye, R. A. (1990). "Mandatory versus voluntary disclosures: The cases of financial and real externalities". *The Accounting Review*. 65: 1–24.
- Dye, R. A. (2001). "An evaluation of "essays on disclosure" and the disclosure literature in accounting". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 32: 181–235.
- Dye, R. A. (2017). "Some recent advances in the theory of financial reporting and disclosures". *Accounting Horizons*. 31: 39–54.
- Dye, R. A. and J. S. Hughes (2018). "Equilibrium voluntary disclosures, asset pricing, and information transfers". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. In press.

- Dye, R. A. and S. S. Sridhar (2002). "Resource allocation effects of price reactions to disclosures". *Contemporary Accounting Research*. 19: 385–410.
- Dye, R. A. and S. S. Sridhar (2007). "The allocational effects of the precision of accounting estimates". *Journal of Accounting Research*. 45: 731–769.
- Ewart, R. and A. Wagenhofer (2011). "Earnings management, conservatism, and earnings quality". *Foundations and Trends in Accounting*. 6: 65–186.
- Ewart, R. and A. Wagenhofer (2017). "Increasing internal controls incentives and welfare through conservative accounting. MEAFA 2017". URL: <http://sydney.edu.au/business/research/meafa/meeting/2017>.
- Fama, E. F. (1977). "Risk adjusted discount rates and capital budgeting under uncertainty". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 5: 3–24.
- Fama, E. F. and M. Miller (1972). *The Theory of Finance*. Hinsdale, Illinois: Dryden Press.
- Feltham, G. A. (1968). "The value of information". *The Accounting Review*. 43: 684–696.
- Feltham, G. A. (2007). "Joel S. Demski: A leader in accounting scholarship". In: *Essays in Accounting Theory in Honour of Joel S. Demski*. Ed. by R. Antel, F. Gjesdal, and P. Jinghong Liang. New York: Springer. 1–32.
- Feltham, G. and J. Demski (1970). "The use of models in information evaluation". *The Accounting Review*. 45: 623–640.
- Fenton, N., M. Neil, and D. Berger (2016). "Bayes and the law". *Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application*. 3: 51–77.
- Fienberg, S. E. (2006). "When did Bayesian inference become Bayesian?" *Bayesian Analysis*. 1: 1–40.
- Fienberg, S. E. (2008). "The early statistical years: 1947–1967. A conversation with Howard Raiffa". *Statistical Science*. 23: 136–149.
- Fischer, P. E. and P. C. Stocken (2001). "Imperfect information and credible communication". *Journal of Accounting Research*. 39: 119–134.
- Fischer, P. E. and R. E. Verrecchia (2000). "Reporting bias". *The Accounting Review*. 75: 229–245.

- Fishburn, P. C. (1986). "The axioms of subjective probability". *Statistical Science*. 1: 335–345.
- Foster, G. (1978). *Financial Statement Analysis*. 1st ed. Englewood Cliffs, N.J: Prentice-Hall.
- Foster, N. (2003). *The FASB and the Capital Markets*. The FASB Report. Norwalk, CT.: FASB.
- Francis, J., R. LaFond, P. Olsson, and K. Schipper (2004). "Costs of equity and earnings attributes". *The Accounting Review*. 79: 967–1010.
- Francis, J., R. LaFond, P. Olsson, and K. Schipper (2005). "The market pricing of accruals quality". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 39: 295–327.
- Francis, J., R. LaFond, P. Olsson, and K. Schipper (2007). "Information uncertainty and post-earnings announcement drift". *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*. 34: 403–433.
- Francis, J., P. Olsson, and D. J. Nanda (2008). "Voluntary disclosure, earnings quality, and costs of capital". *Journal of Accounting Research*. 46: 53–99.
- Francis, J., P. Olsson, and K. Schipper (2006). "Earnings quality". *Foundations and Trends in Accounting*. 1: 259–340.
- Gao, P. (2010). "Disclosure quality, cost of capital and investor welfare". *The Accounting Review*. 85: 1–29.
- Gao, P. (2013a). "A measurement approach to conservatism and earnings management". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 55: 251–268.
- Gao, P. (2013b). "A two-step representation of accounting measurement". *Accounting Horizons*. 27: 861–866.
- Gao, P. (2015). "Optimal thresholds in accounting recognition standards". Chicago Booth Research Paper No. 15-50.
- Gao, P. and R. E. Verrecchia (2012). "Economic consequences of idiosyncratic information in diversified markets". Chicago Booth Research Paper No. 12-09.
- Gao, P. and G. Zhang (2018). "Accounting manipulation, peer pressure, and internal control". *The Accounting Review*. Forthcoming.
- Gelman, A., J. B. Carlin, H. S. Stern, and D. B. Rubin (2004). *Bayesian Data Analysis*. 2nd ed. Boca Raton, FL.: CRC Press.

- Gigler, F. B. and T. Hemmer (2001). “Conservatism, optimal disclosure policy, and the timeliness of financial reports”. *The Accounting Review*. 76: 471–493.
- Giocoli, N. (2013). “From Wald to Savage: Homo economicus becomes a Bayesian statistician”. *Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences*. 49: 63–95.
- Gneiting, T., F. Balabdaoui, and A. E. Raftery (2007). “Probabilistic forecasts, calibration and sharpness”. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*. 69: 243–268.
- Gneiting, T. and A. E. Raftery (2007). “Strictly proper scoring rules, prediction, and estimation”. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 102: 359–378.
- Gonedes, N. J. (1975). “Information production and the capital market”. *Journal of Finance*. 30: 841–864.
- Gonedes, N. J. (1976). “The capital market, the market for information, and external accounting”. *Journal of Finance*. 31: 611–630.
- Gonedes, N. and Y. Ijiri (1974). “Improving subjective probability assessment for planning and control in team-like organizations”. *Journal of Accounting Research*. 12: 251–269.
- Good, I. J. (1950). *Probability and the Weighing of Evidence*. Griffin: London.
- Good, I. J. (1952). “Rational decisions”. *Journal of the Royal. Statistical Society. Series B*. 14: 107–114.
- Good, I. J. (1965). *The Estimation of Probabilities: An Essay on Modern Bayesian Methods*. MIT Press.
- Gox, R. and A. Wagenhofer (2010). “Optimal precision of accounting information in debt financing”. *European Accounting Review*. 19: 579–602.
- Grushka-Cockayne, Y., V. R. J. Jose, and K. C. Lichtendahl (2017). “Ensembles of overfit and overconfident forecasts”. *Management Science*. 63: 1110–1130.
- Guay, W. and R. Verrecchia (2006). “Discussion of an economic framework for conservative accounting and Bushman and Piotroski (2006)”. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 42: 149–165.

- Hakansson, N. and W. Ziemba (1995). "Capital Growth Theory". In: *Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science: Finance*. Ed. by R. Jarrow, V. Maksimovic, and W. Ziemba. Elsevier. 65–86.
- Harvey, C. R. (2017). "Presidential address: The scientific outlook in financial economics". *Journal of Finance*. 72: 1399–1440.
- Harvey, C. and G. Zhou (1990). "Bayesian inference in asset pricing tests". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 26: 221–254.
- Healy, P. M. and K. G. Palepu (2001). "Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 31: 405–440.
- Heinle, M. S. and K. C. Smith (2015). "A theory of risk disclosure". *Review of Accounting Studies*: In press.
- Heinle, M. S., K. C. Smith, and R. E. Verrecchia (2018). "Risk-factor disclosure and asset prices". *The Accounting Review*. 93: 191–208.
- Hirshleifer, J. and J. G. Riley (1992). *The Analytics of Uncertainty and Information*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hitz, J.-M. (2007). "The decision usefulness of fair value accounting — A theoretical perspective". *European Accounting Review*. 16: 323–362.
- Hodder, L., P. Hopkins, and K. Schipper (2014). "Fair value measurement in financial reporting". *Foundations and Trends in Accounting*. 8: 143–270.
- Holthausen, R. W. and R. L. Watts (2001). "The relevance of the value-relevance literature for financial accounting standard setting". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 31: 3–75.
- Howard, R. A. (1966). "Information value theory". *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Science and Cybernetics*. 2(1): 22–26.
- Howson, C. and P. Urbach (2005). *Scientific Reasoning: The Bayesian Approach*. 3rd ed. Chicago: Open Court.
- Hribar, P. and N. T. Jenkins (2004). "The effect of accounting restatements on earnings Revisions and the estimated cost of capital". *Review of Accounting Studies*. 9: 337–356.
- Hughes, J. S., J. Liu, and J. Liu (2007). "Information asymmetry, diversification, and the cost of capital". *The Accounting Review*. 82: 705–729.

- Hull, J. C. (1986). "A note on the risk-adjusted discount rate method". *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*. 13: 445–450.
- Ijiri, Y. and R. Jaedicke (1966). "Reliability and objectivity of accounting measurements". *The Accounting Review*. 41: 474–483.
- Jiang, G., C. M. Lee, and Y. Zhang (2005). "Information uncertainty and expected returns". *Review of Accounting Studies*. 10: 185–221.
- Johnstone, D. J. (1986). "Tests of significance in theory and practice (with discussion by D.V Lindley and G. Barnard)". *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series D (The Statistician)*. 35: 491–504.
- Johnstone, D. J. (1987a). "On the interpretation of hypothesis tests following Neyman and Pearson". In: *Probability and Bayesian Statistics*. Ed. by R. Viertl. Boston, MA: Springer. 267–277.
- Johnstone, D. J. (1987b). "Tests of significance following R. A. Fisher". *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*. 38: 481–499.
- Johnstone, D. J. (1997). "Comparative classical and Bayesian interpretations of statistical compliance tests in auditing". *Accounting and Business Research*. 28: 53–82.
- Johnstone, D. J. (2011). "Economic interpretation of probabilities estimated by maximum likelihood or score". *Management Science*. 57: 308–314.
- Johnstone, D. J. (2015). "Information and the cost of capital in a mean-variance efficient market". *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*. 42: 79–100.
- Johnstone, D. J. (2016). "The effect of information on uncertainty and the cost of capital". *Contemporary Accounting Research*. 33: 752–774.
- Johnstone, D. J. (2017). "Sensitivity of the discount rate to the expected payoff in project valuation". *Decision Analysis*. 14: 126–136.
- Johnstone, D. J. and D. V. Lindley (1995). "Bayesian inference given data 'significant at  $\alpha$ ': Tests of point hypotheses". *Theory and Decision*. 38: 51–60.
- Johnstone, D. J. and D. V. Lindley (2013). "Mean–variance and expected utility: The Borch paradox". *Statistical Science*. 28: 223–237.
- Jose, V. R., R. F. Nau, and R. L. Winkler (2008). "Scoring rules, generalized entropy, and utility maximization". *Operations Research*. 56: 1146–1157.

- Kadane, J. B. (2011). "Partial-Kelly strategies and expected utility: Small-edge asymptotics". *Decision Analysis*. 8: 4–9.
- Kalymon, B. A. (1971). "Estimation risk in the portfolio selection model". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 6: 559–582.
- Kelly, J. L. (1956). "A new interpretation of information rate". *Bell System Technical Journal*. 35: 917–926.
- Kim, D. and Y. Qi (2010). "Accrual quality, stock returns, and macroeconomic conditions". *The Accounting Review*. 85: 937–978.
- Klein, R. W. and V. S. Bawa (1976). "The effect of estimation risk on optimal portfolio choice". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 3: 215–231.
- Klein, R. W. and V. S. Bawa (1977). "The effect of limited information and estimation risk on optimal portfolio diversification". *Journal of Financial Economics*. 5: 89–111.
- Kothari, S. P. (2001). "Capital markets research in accounting". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 31: 105–231.
- Kravet, T. and T. Shevlin (2010). "Accounting restatements and information risk". *Review of Accounting Studies*. 15: 264–294.
- Lambert, R. (2010). "Discussion of implications for GAAP from an analysis of positive research in accounting". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 50: 287–295.
- Lambert, R. A. and R. E. Verrecchia (2010). "Cost of capital in imperfect competition settings". URL: <https://www8.gsb.columbia.edu/faculty-research/sites/faculty-research/files/accounting/RICHARDLAMBERT.pdf>.
- Lambert, R., C. Leuz, and R. E. Verrecchia (2007). "Accounting information, disclosure, and the cost of capital". *Journal of Accounting Research*. 45: 385–420.
- Larson, C. R. and R. J. Resutek (2017). "Types of investor uncertainty and the cost of equity capital". *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*. 44: 1169–1193.
- Laux, V. and P. C. Stocken (2017). "Accounting standards, regulatory enforcement, and innovation". URL: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2713170>.
- Lee, L. C. and N. M. Bedford (1969). "An information theory analysis of the accounting process". *Accounting Review*. 44: 256–275.

- Leuz, C. and P. Wysocki (2008). “Economic consequences of financial reporting and disclosure regulation: A review and suggestions for future research”. URL: [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1105398](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1105398).
- Leuz, C. and P. Wysocki (2016). “The economics of disclosure and financial reporting regulation: Evidence and suggestions for future research”. *Journal of Accounting Research*. 54: 525–622.
- Lewellen, J. and J. Shanken (2002). “Learning, asset-pricing tests, and market efficiency”. *Journal of Finance*. 57: 1113–1145.
- Lindley, D. V. (1957). “A statistical paradox”. *Biometrika*. 44: 187–192.
- Lindley, D. V. (1965). *Introduction to Probability and Statistics*. Parts 1 and 2. Cambridge University Press.
- Lindley, D. V. (1980). “L. J. Savage — his work in probability and statistics”. *Annals of Statistics*. 8: 1–24.
- Lindley, D. V. (1982a). “Scoring rules and the inevitability of probability”. *International Statistical Review*. 50: 1–26. (with discussion).
- Lindley, D. V. (1982b). “The improvement of probability judgements”. *Journal of Royal Statistical Society Series A*. 145: 117–126.
- Lindley, D. V. (1983). “Reconciliation of probability distributions”. *Operations Research*. 31: 866–880.
- Lindley, D. V. (1984). “A Bayesian lady tasting tea”. In: *Statistics An Appraisal*. Ed. by H. A. David and H. T. David. Ames: Iowa State University Press. 455–485.
- Lindley, D. V. (2014). *Understanding Uncertainty*. New York: John Wiley.
- Lindley, D. V. and L. D. Phillips (1976). “Inference for a Bernoulli process (a Bayesian view)”. *American Statistician*. 30: 112–119.
- Lindsay, R. M. (1995). “Reconsidering the status of tests of significance: An alternative criterion of adequacy”. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 20: 35–53.
- Liu, Y. (2004). “A new foundation for the mean-variance analysis”. *European Journal of Operations Research*. 158: 229–242.
- Lo, K. (2008). “Earnings management and earnings quality”. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 45: 350–357.
- Luenberger, D. (1998). *Investment Science*. New York: Oxford University Press.



- MacLean, L. C., R. Sanegre, Y. Zao, and W. T. Ziemba (2004). "Capital growth with security". *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*. 28: 937–954.
- MacLean, L. C., W. T. Ziemba, and G. Blazenko (1992). "Growth versus security in dynamic investment analysis". *Management Science*. 38: 1562–1585.
- MacLean, L. and W. T. Ziemba (1999). "Growth versus security tradeoffs in dynamic investment analysis". *Annals of Operations Research*. 85: 193–225.
- MacLean, L., W. T. Ziemba, and Y. Li (2005). "Time to wealth goals in capital accumulation and the optimal trade-off of growth versus security". *Quantitative Finance*. 5: 343–357.
- Markowitz, H. (1991). "Foundations of portfolio theory". *Journal of Finance*. 46(2): 469–477.
- Markowitz, H. (2006). "de Finetti scoops Markowitz". *Journal of Investment Management*. 4: 5–18.
- Mattessich, R. V. (2003). "The rise and significance of modern analytical methods in accounting. Part I. A review essay of accounting theory: An information content perspective, of John A. Christensen and Joel Demski". *Energeia*. 2: 133–144.
- Mattessich, R. V. (2006). "The information economic perspective of accounting: Its coming of age". *Canadian Accounting Perspective*. 5: 209–236.
- Mcgrayne, S. B. (2011). *The Theory that Would not Die: How Bayes' Rule Cracked the Enigma Code, Hunted Down Russian Submarines, and Emerged Triumphant from Two Centuries of Controversy*. Yale University Press.
- Meyer, J. (1987). "Two-moment decision models and expected Utility". *The American Economic Review*. 77: 421–430.
- Mock, T. (1971). "Concepts of information value and accounting". *The Accounting Review*. 46: 765–778.
- Mock, T. (1973). "The value of budget information". *The Accounting Review*. 48: 520–534.
- Morrison, D. E. and R. E. Henkel (1970). *The Significance Test Controversy*. Chicago: Aldine.

- Murphy, A. H. (1966). “On expected-utility measures in cost-loss ratio decision situations”. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*. 8: 989–991.
- Murphy, K. P. (2012). *Machine Learning: A Probabilistic Perspective*. MIT Press.
- Neururer, T., G. Papadakis, and E. Riedl (2016). “Tests of investor learning models using earnings innovations and implied volatilities”. *Review of Accounting Studies*. 21: 400–437.
- Neyman, J. (1950). *First Course in Probability and Statistics*. New York: Henry Holt.
- Ng, D. S. (1978). “An information economics analysis of financial reporting and external auditing”. *The Accounting Review*. 53: 910–920.
- Ng, J. (2011). “The effect of information quality on liquidity risk”. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 52: 126–143.
- O’Hagen, A. (1994). *Kendall’s Advanced Theory of Statistics. Vol. 2B: Bayesian Inference*. London: Edward Arnold.
- Ohlson, J. A. (1980). “Financial ratios and the probabilistic prediction of bankruptcy”. *Journal of Accounting Research*. 19: 109–131.
- Ohlson, J. A. (2015). “Accounting research and common sense”. *Abacus*. 51: 525–535.
- Ohlson, J. A. (2018). “Researchers’ data analysis choices: An excess of false positives?” URL: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3089571>.
- Ottaviani, M. and P. Sorensen (2015). “Price reaction to information with heterogeneous beliefs and wealth effects: Underreaction, momentum, and reversal”. *American Economic Review*. 105: 1–34.
- Pastor, L. and P. Veronesi (2009). “Learning in financial markets”. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*. 1: 361–381.
- Pearl, J. (1999). “Simpson’s paradox: An anatomy”. *UCLA Cognitive Systems Laboratory, Technical Report*.
- Penman, S. H. (2009). “Accounting for intangible assets: There is also an income statement”. *Abacus*. 45: 358–371.
- Penman, S. H. (2010). “Financial forecasting, risk and valuation: Accounting for the future”. *Abacus*. 46(2): 211–228.
- Perezgonzalez, J. D. (2015). “Fisher, Neyman-Pearson or NHST? A tutorial for teaching data testing”. *Frontiers in Psychology*. URL: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01293>.

- Pressacco, F. and P. Serafini (2007). “The origins of the mean-variance approach in finance: Revisiting de Finetti 65 years later”. *Journal of Decisions in Economics and Finance*. 30: 19–49.
- Raiffa, H. (1968). *Decision Analysis: Introductory Lectures on Choices Under Uncertainty*. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Raiffa, H. (2002). “Decision analysis: A personal account of how it got started and evolved”. *Operations Research*. 50: 179–185.
- Rajgopal, S. and M. Venkatachalam (2011). “Financial reporting quality and idiosyncratic return volatility”. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 51: 1–20.
- Ramsey, F. P. (1926). “The foundations of mathematics”. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*. s2-25: 338–384.
- Riedl, E. J. and G. Serafeim (2011). “Information risk and fair values: An examination of equity betas”. *Journal of Accounting Research*. 49: 1083–1122.
- Robert, C. P. (2007). *The Bayesian Choice: From Decision-Theoretic Foundations to Computational Implementation*. 2nd ed. New York: Springer.
- Robert, C. P. and G. Casella (2004). *Monte Carlo Statistical Methods*. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag.
- Rogers, J. L., D. J. Skinner, and A. Van Buskirk (2009). “Earnings guidance and market uncertainty”. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 48: 90–109.
- Ross, J. F. (2016). “The information content of accounting reports: an information theory perspective”. *Information*. 7: 48.
- Royall, R. (1997). *Statistical Evidence: A Likelihood Paradigm*. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Rubinstein, M. (2006). “Bruno de Finetti and mean-variance portfolio selection”. *Journal of Investment Management*. 4: 3–4.
- Samson, D., A. Wirth, and J. Rickard (1989). “The value of information from multiple sources of uncertainty in decision analysis”. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 39: 254–260.
- Samuelson, P. A. (1965). “Proof that properly anticipated prices fluctuate randomly”. *Industrial Management Review*. 6: 41–50.
- Sandroni, A. (2000). “Do markets favor agents able to make accurate predictions?” *Econometrica*. 68: 1303–1342.

- Savage, L. J. (1954). *The Foundations of Statistics*. New York: Wiley.
- Savage, L. J. (1962). *The Foundations of Statistical Inference*. London: Methuen.
- Savage, L. J. (1971). “Elicitation of personal probabilities and expectations”. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 66: 783–801.
- Schlaifer, R. O. (1959). *Probability and Statistics for Business Decisions: An Introduction to Managerial Economics Under Uncertainty*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Scott, W. R. (1979). “Scoring rules for probabilistic reporting”. *The Journal of Accounting Research*. 17(1): 156–178. (Spring, 1979).
- Seidenfeld, T. (1979). *Philosophical Problems of Statistical Inference : Learning from R.A. Fisher*. Boston: D. Reidel.
- Seidenfeld, T. (1985). “Calibration, Coherence, and Scoring Rules”. *Philosophy of Science*. 52: 274–294.
- Shanken, J. (1987). “A Bayesian approach to testing portfolio efficiency”. *Journal of Financial Economics*. 19: 195–215.
- Smith, K. (2017). “Option prices and disclosure”. URL: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3068855>.
- Stocken, P. C. (2013). “Strategic accounting disclosure”. *Foundations and Trends in Accounting*. 7: 197–291.
- Strobl, G. (2013). “Earnings manipulation and the cost of capital”. *Journal of Accounting Research*. 51: 449–473.
- Subramanyam, K. R. (1996). “Uncertain precision and price reactions to information”. *The Accounting Review*. 2: 207–220.
- Swieringa, R., M. Gibbins, L. Larsson, and J. Sweeney (1976). “Experiments in the heuristics of human information processing”. *Journal of Accounting Research* (Supplement). 14: 159–187.
- Tang, V. W. (2011). “Isolating the effect of disclosure on information risk”. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 52: 81–99.
- Theil, H. (1969). “On the use of information theory concepts in the analysis of financial statements”. *Management Science*. 15: 459–480.
- Tobin, J. (1969). “Comment on Borch and Feldstein”. *Review of Economic Studies*. 36: 13–14.
- Verdi, R. S. (2012). “Discussion of Financial reporting frequency, information asymmetry, and the cost of equity”. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 54: 150–153.

- Veronesi, P. (1999). "Stock market overreactions to bad news in good times: A rational expectations equilibrium model". *Review of Financial Studies*. 12: 975–1007.
- Veronesi, P. (2000). "How does information quality affect stock returns?" *Journal of Finance*. 55: 807–837.
- Verrecchia, R. E. (1979). "On the theory of market efficiency". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 1: 77–90.
- Verrecchia, R. E. (1982). "The use of mathematical models in financial accounting". *Journal of Accounting Research*. 20: 1–42.
- Verrecchia, R. E. (1983). "Discretionary disclosure". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 5: 179–194.
- Verrecchia, R. E. (1990). "Information quality and discretionary disclosure". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 12: 365–380.
- Verrecchia, R. E. (2001). "Essays on disclosure". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 32: 97–180.
- Wagenhofer, A. (2011). "Towards a theory of accounting regulation: A discussion of the politics of disclosure regulation along the economic cycle". *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 52: 228–234.
- Wagenhofer, A. (2014). "Trading off costs and benefits of frequent financial reporting". *Journal of Accounting Research*. 52: 389–401.
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., M. Marsman, T. Jamil, A. Ly, J. Verhagen, J. Love, R. Selker, Q. F. Gronau, M. Šmíra, S. Epskamp, D. Matzke, J. N. Rouder, and R. D. Morey (2018). "Bayesian inference for psychology. Part I: Theoretical advantages and practical ramifications". *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*. 25: 35–57.
- Watts, R. (1976). "The capital market, the market for information, and external accounting: Discussion". *The Journal of Finance*. 31: 677–679.
- Winkler, R. L. (1973). "Bayesian models for forecasting future security prices". *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 8: 387–405.
- Winkler, R. L. (1989). "Combining forecasts: A philosophical basis and some current issues". *International Journal of Forecasting*. 5: 605–609.
- Winkler, R. L. (2003). *An Introduction to Bayesian Inference and Decision*. 2nd ed. Sugar Land, Texas: Probabilistic Publishing.

- Winkler, R. L. and C. B. Barry (1975). “A Bayesian model for portfolio selection and revision”. *The Journal of Finance*. 30: 179–192.
- Winkler, R. L., Y. Grushka-Cockayne, K. C. Lichtendahl, and V. R. J. Jose (2019). “Averaging probability forecasts: Back to the future”. *Decision Analysis*. Forthcoming.
- Yee, K. K. (2006). “Earnings quality and the equity risk premium: A benchmark model”. *Contemporary Accounting Research*. 23: 833–877.
- Zeff, S. A. (2008). “The contribution of the Harvard Business School to Management Control, 1908–1980”. *Journal of Management Accounting Research*. 20(Special Issue): 175–208.
- Zellner, A. and V. Chetty (1965). “Prediction and decision problems in regression models from the Bayesian point of view”. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 60: 608–616.
- Zhang, G. (2013). “Accounting standards, cost of capital, resource allocation, and welfare in a large economy”. *The Accounting Review*. 88: 1459–1488.
- Zhang, X. F. (2006). “Information uncertainty and stock returns”. *The Journal of Finance*. 61: 105–137.