

# (Hyper)-Graphs Inference through Convex Relaxations and Move Making Algorithms: Contributions and Applications in Artificial Vision

---

**Nikos Komodakis**

University Paris Est  
Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, France

**M. Pawan Kumar**

Department of Engineering Science  
University of Oxford, UK

**Nikos Paragios**

CentraleSupélec, INRIA  
Université Paris-Saclay, France

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Computer Graphics and Vision

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
United States  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

N. Komodakis, M. Pawan Kumar, N. Paragios. *(Hyper)-Graphs Inference through Convex Relaxations and Move Making Algorithms: Contributions and Applications in Artificial Vision*. Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Computer Graphics and Vision, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–102, 2014.

*This Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> issue was typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X using a class file designed by Neal Parikh. Printed on acid-free paper.*

ISBN: 978-1-68083-139-9

© 2016 N. Komodakis, M. Pawan Kumar, N. Paragios

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

**Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in  
Computer Graphics and Vision**  
Volume 10, Issue 1, 2014  
**Editorial Board**

**Editors-in-Chief**

**Brian Curless**  
University of Washington  
United States

**Luc Van Gool**  
KU Leuven, Belgium  
ETH Zurich, Switzerland

**William T. Freeman**  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
United States

**Editors**

Marc Alexa  
*TU Berlin*

Kavita Bala  
*Cornell University*

Ronen Basri  
*Weizmann Institute*

Peter Belhumeur  
*Columbia University*

Andrew Blake  
*Microsoft Research*

Chris Bregler  
*Facebook/Oculus*

Joachim Buhmann  
*ETH Zurich*

Michael Cohen  
*Microsoft Research*

Paul Debevec  
*USC ICT*

Julie Dorsey  
*Yale University*

Fredo Durand  
*MIT*

Richard Hartley  
*ANU*

Aaron Hertzmann  
*Adobe Research, USA*

Hugues Hoppe  
*Microsoft Research*

C. Karen Liu  
*Georgia Tech*

David Lowe  
*UBC*

Jitendra Malik  
*UC Berkeley*

Steve Marschner  
*Cornell University*

Shree Nayar  
*Columbia University*

James O'Brien  
*UC Berkeley*

Tomas Pajdla  
*Czech TU*

Pietro Perona  
*Caltech*

Marc Pollefeys  
*ETH Zurich*

Jean Ponce  
*Ecole Normale Supérieure*

Long Quan  
*HKUST*

Cordelia Schmid  
*INRIA*

Steve Seitz  
*University of Washington*

Amnon Shashua  
*Hebrew University*

Peter Shirley  
*University of Utah*

Noah Snavely  
*Cornell University*

Stefano Soatto  
*UCLA*

Richard Szeliski  
*Microsoft Research*

Joachim Weickert  
*Saarland University*

Song Chun Zhu  
*UCLA*

Andrew Zisserman  
*University of Oxford*

## Editorial Scope

### Topics

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Computer Graphics and Vision publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Rendering
- Shape
- Mesh simplification
- Animation
- Sensors and sensing
- Image restoration and enhancement
- Segmentation and grouping
- Feature detection and selection
- Color processing
- Texture analysis and synthesis
- Illumination and reflectance modeling
- Shape representation
- Tracking
- Calibration
- Structure from motion
- Motion estimation and registration
- Stereo matching and reconstruction
- 3D reconstruction and image-based modeling
- Learning and statistical methods
- Appearance-based matching
- Object and scene recognition
- Face detection and recognition
- Activity and gesture recognition
- Image and video retrieval
- Video analysis and event recognition
- Medical image analysis
- Robot localization and navigation

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Computer Graphics and Vision, 2014, Volume 10, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1572-2740. ISSN online version 1572-2759. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends® in  
Computer Graphics and Vision  
Vol. 10, No. 1 (2014) 1–102  
© 2016 N. Komodakis, M. Pawan Kumar, N. Paragios  
DOI: 10.1561/06000000063

**now**  
the essence of knowledge

# **(Hyper)-Graphs Inference through Convex Relaxations and Move Making Algorithms: Contributions and Applications in Artificial Vision**

Nikos Komodakis<sup>1</sup>  
University Paris Est  
Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, France  
[nikos.komodakis@enpc.fr](mailto:nikos.komodakis@enpc.fr)

M. Pawan Kumar<sup>1</sup>  
Department of Engineering Science  
University of Oxford, UK  
[pawan@robots.ox.ac.uk](mailto:pawan@robots.ox.ac.uk)

Nikos Paragios  
CentraleSupélec, INRIA  
Universite Paris-Saclay, France  
[nikos.paragios@ecp.fr](mailto:nikos.paragios@ecp.fr)

<sup>1</sup>The first two authors contributed equally.

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Mathematical background: basic tools for MRF inference</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Markov random fields . . . . .	5
2.2	Reparameterization . . . . .	7
2.3	Dynamic programming . . . . .	8
2.4	Message passing and belief propagation . . . . .	12
2.5	Graph cuts . . . . .	13
2.6	Linear programming . . . . .	16
<b>3</b>	<b>Move-making algorithms</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	20
3.2	Complete rounding and complete move . . . . .	23
3.3	Interval rounding and interval moves . . . . .	26
3.4	Hierarchical rounding and hierarchical moves . . . . .	31
3.5	Dense stereo correspondence . . . . .	36
3.6	Moves for high-order potentials . . . . .	37
<b>4</b>	<b>MRF inference based on the primal-dual schema</b>	<b>56</b>
4.1	Relaxations and discrete optimization . . . . .	58
4.2	The primal-dual schema for integer linear programming . . . . .	59
4.3	Primal-dual schema for discrete MRF optimization . . . . .	68

4.4	Computational efficiency of primal-dual MRF optimization	72
<b>5</b>	<b>MRF inference based on dual-decomposition</b>	<b>76</b>
5.1	The principle of dual decomposition . . . . .	76
5.2	Dual decomposition for discrete MRF optimization . . . . .	80
5.3	Applying projected subgradient to the MRF dual . . . . .	83
5.4	Choice of MRF decompositions . . . . .	87
5.5	ADMM . . . . .	89
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>91</b>
	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>93</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>94</b>

## Abstract

Computational visual perception seeks to reproduce human vision through the combination of visual sensors, artificial intelligence and computing. To this end, computer vision tasks are often reformulated as mathematical inference problems where the objective is to determine the set of parameters corresponding to the lowest potential of a task-specific objective function. Graphical models have been the most popular formulation in the field over the past two decades where the problem is viewed as a discrete assignment labeling one. Modularity, scalability and portability are the main strengths of these methods which once combined with efficient inference algorithms they could lead to state of the art results. In this tutorial we focus on the inference component of the problem and in particular we discuss in a systematic manner the most commonly used optimization principles in the context of graphical models. Our study concerns inference over low rank models (interactions between variables are constrained to pairs) as well as higher order ones (arbitrary set of variables determine hyper-cliques on which constraints are introduced) and seeks a concise, self-contained presentation of prior art as well as the presentation of the current state of the art methods in the field.



# 1

---

## Introduction

---

Graphical models (Conditional Random Fields (CRFs) or Markov Random Fields (MRFs)) have been introduced in the field of computer vision almost four decades ago. CRFs were introduced in [Fischler and Elschlager \[1973\]](#) while MRFs were introduced in [Geman and Geman \[1984\]](#) to address the problem of image restoration. The central idea is to express perception through an inference problem over graph. The process is defined using a set of nodes, a set of labels, and a neighborhood system. The graph nodes often correspond to the parameters to be determined, the labels to a quantized/discrete version of the search space and the connectivity of the graph to the constraints/interactions between variables. Graph-based methods are endowed with numerous advantages as it concerns inference when compared to their alternative that refers to continuous formulations. These methods are in general gradient-free and therefore can easily accommodate changes of the model (graph structure), changes of the objective function (perception task), or changes of the discretization space (precision).

Early approaches to address graph-based optimization in the field of computer vision were primarily based either on annealing like approaches or on local minimum update principles. Simulated annealing

was an alternative direction that provides in theory good guarantees as it concerns the optimality properties of the obtained solution. The central idea is to perform a search with a decreasing radius/temperature where at a given iteration the current state is updated to a new state with a tolerance (as it concerns the objective function) that is related to the temperature. Such meta-heuristic methods could lead to a good approximation of the optimal solution if temperature/radius are appropriately defined that in general is not that trivial. Iterated conditional modes or highest confidence first were among the first attempts exploiting local minimum iterative principles. Their underlying principle was to solve the problem progressively through a repetitive local update of the optimal solution towards a new local optimum. These methods were computationally efficient and deterministic in the expense of quite inefficient in terms of capturing the global optimum of the solution and the complete absences of guarantee as it concerns the optimality properties of the obtained solution.

Despite the elegance, modularity and scalability of MRFs/CRFs, their adoption was quite limited (over eighties and nineties) from the image processing/computer vision community and beyond due lack of efficient optimization methods to address their inference. The introduction of efficient inference algorithms inspired from the networks community, like for example the max flow/min cut principle at late nineties that is a special case of the duality theorem for linear programs as well their efficient implementations towards taking advantage of image like graphs [Boykov et al. \[1998\]](#) or message passing methods [Pearl \[1998\]](#) that are based on the calculation of the marginal for a given node given the states of the other nodes have re-introduced graphical models in the field of computer vision. During the past two decades we have witnessed a tremendous progress both on their use to address visual perception tasks [Wang et al. \[2013\]](#), [Kappes et al. \[2015\]](#), [Paragios and Komodakis \[2014\]](#), [Szeliski et al. \[2008\]](#), [Blake et al. \[2011\]](#), [Komodakis and Tziritas \[2007a\]](#) as well as it concerns their inference. This tutorial aims to provide an overview of the state of the art methods in the field for inference as well as the most recent advances in that direction using move making algorithms and convex relations. The reminder of this paper is

organized as follows; Section 2 presents briefly the context and a short review of the the most representative inference methods. Section 3 is dedicated to move making algorithms, while section 4 presents efficient linear programming-inspired principles for graph inference. The last section introduces dual decomposition, a generic, modular and scalable framework to perform (hyper) graph inference.

## References

---

- M. V. Afonso, J. M. Bioucas-Dias, and M. A. T. Figueiredo. An augmented Lagrangian approach to the constrained optimization formulation of imaging inverse problems. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 20(3):681–695, Mar. 2011.
- A. Archer, J. Fakcharoenphol, C. Harrelson, R. Krauthgamer, K. Talvar, and E. Tardos. Approximate classification via earthmover metrics. In *Proceedings of the ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 1079–1087, 2004.
- C. Arora, S. Banerjee, P. Kalra, and S. N. Maheshwari. Generic cuts: An efficient algorithm for optimal inference in higher order MRF-MAP. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 17–30, 2012.
- Y. Bartal. On approximating arbitrary metrics by tree metrics. In *Proceedings of the Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 161–168, 1998.
- D. Batra, P. Yadollahpour, A. Guzman-Rivera, and G. Shakhnarovich. Diverse  $m$ -best solutions in Markov random fields. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1–16, 2012.
- Ahmed Besbes, Nikos Komodakis, Georg Langs, and Nikos Paragios. Shape priors and discrete mrfs for knowledge-based segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1295–1302, 2009.
- A. Blake, P. Kohli, and C. Rother. *Markov Random Fields for Vision and Image Processing*. MIT Press, 2011.

- Y. Boykov and V. Kolmogorov. An experimental comparison of min-cut/max-flow algorithms for energy minimization in vision. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 26(9):1124–1137, 2004.
- Y. Boykov, O. Veksler, and R. Zabih. Fast approximate energy minimization via graph cuts. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 23(11):1222–1239, 2001.
- Yuri Boykov, Olga Veksler, and Ramin Zabih. A variable window approach to early vision. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 20(12):1283–1294, 1998.
- D. Bryant and P. F. Tupper. Hyperconvexity and tight-span theory for diversities. *Advances in Mathematics*, 231(6):3172–3198, 2012.
- C. Chekuri, S. Khanna, J. Naor, and L. Zosin. Approximation algorithms for the metric labeling problem via a new linear programming formulation. In *Proceedings of the ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 109–118, 2001.
- D. Comaniciu and P. Meer. Mean shift: A robust approach toward feature space analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 24(5):603–619, 2002.
- B. Conejo, N. Komodakis, S. Leprince, and J.-P. Avouac. Inference by learning: Speeding-up graphical model optimization via a coarse-to-fine cascade of pruning classifiers. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 2105–2113, 2014.
- A. Delong, A. Osokin, H. Isack, and Y. Boykov. Fast approximate energy minimization with label costs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2173–2180, 2010.
- A. Delong, L. Gorelick, O. Veksler, and Y. Boykov. Minimizing energies with hierarchical costs. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 100(1):38–58, 2012.
- P. Dokania and M. Pawan Kumar. Parsimonious labeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1760–1768, 2015.
- J. Fakcharoenphol, S. Rao, and K. Talwar. A tight bound on approximating arbitrary metrics by tree metrics. In *Proceedings of the Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 448–455, 2003.
- P. Felzenszwalb and D. Huttenlocher. Efficient belief propagation for early vision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 261–268, 2004.

- M. A. Fischler and R. A. Elschlager. The representation and matching of pictorial structures. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 22(1):67–92, 1973.
- A. Fix, T. Joachims, S. Park, and R. Zabih. Structured learning of sum-of-submodular higher order energy functions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3104–3111, 2013.
- A. Fix, C. Wang, and R. Zabih. A primal-dual method for higher-order multilabel Markov random fields. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 553–560, 2014.
- B. Flach and D. Schlesinger. Transforming an arbitrary minsum problem into a binary one. Technical report, TU Dresden, 2006.
- Stuart Geman and Donald Geman. Stochastic relaxation, gibbs distributions, and the bayesian restoration of images. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 6(6):721–741, 1984.
- A. Globerson and T. Jaakkola. Fixing max-product: Convergent message passing algorithms for MAP LP-relaxations. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 443–450, 2007.
- B. Glocker, N. Komodakis, G. Tziritas, N. Navab, and N. Paragios. Dense image registration through MRFs and efficient linear programming. *Medical Image Analysis*, 12(6):731–741, 2008a.
- B. Glocker, N. Paragios, N. Komodakis, G. Tziritas, and N. Navab. Optical flow estimation with uncertainties through dynamic MRFs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2008b.
- B. Glocker, A. Sotiras, N. Paragios, and N. Komodakis. Deformable medical image registration: setting the state of the art with discrete methods. *Annual Reviews Biomedical Engineering*, 13:219–244, August 2011.
- A. Gupta and E. Tardos. A constant factor approximation algorithm for a class of classification problems. In *Proceedings of the Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 652–658, 2000.
- C. Hane, C. Zach, A. Cohen, R. Angst, and M. Pollefeys. Joint 3D scene reconstruction and class segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 97–104, 2013.
- T. Hazan and A. Shashua. Norm-product belief propagation: Primal-dual message-passing for approximate inference. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 56(12):6294–6316, 2010.

- Tim Hauke Heibel, Ben Glocker, Martin Groher, Nikos Paragios, Nikos Komodakis, and Nassir Navab. Discrete tracking of parametrized curves. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1754–1761, 2009.
- D. S. Hochbaum, editor. *Approximation Algorithms for NP-hard Problems*. PWS Publishing Co., 1997.
- H. Ishikawa. Exact optimization for Markov random fields with convex priors. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 25(10):1333–1336, 2003.
- S. Jegelka, F. Bach, and S. Sra. Reflection methods for user-friendly submodular optimization. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1313–1321, 2013.
- V. Jojic, S. Gould, and D. Koller. Fast and smooth: Accelerated dual decomposition for MAP inference. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 503–510, 2010.
- F. Kahl and P. Strandmark. Generalized roof duality. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 160(16-17):2419–2434, 2012.
- J. H. Kappes, B. Andres, F. A. Hamprecht, C. Schnörr, S. Nowozin, D. Batra, S. Kim, B. X. Kausler, T. Kröger, J. Lellmann, N. Komodakis, B. Savchynskyy, and C. Rother. A comparative study of modern inference techniques for structured discrete energy minimization problems. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 115(2):155–184, 2015.
- D. Khandelwal, K. Bhatia, C. Arora, and P. Singla. Lazy generic cuts. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 143:80–91, 2016.
- J. Kleinberg and E. Tardos. Approximation algorithms for classification problems with pairwise relationships: Metric labeling and Markov random fields. In *Proceedings of the Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 14–23, 1999.
- P. Kohli, M. P. Kumar, and P. Torr. P3 and beyond: Move making algorithms for solving higher order functions. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 31(9):1645–1656, 2009a.
- P. Kohli, L. Ladicky, and P. Torr. Robust higher order potentials for enforcing label consistency. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 82(3):302–324, 2009b.
- V. Kolmogorov. Convergent tree-reweighted message passing for energy minimization. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 28(10):1568–1583, 2006.

- V. Kolmogorov. Generalized roof duality and bisubmodular functions. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1144–1152, Vancouver, Canada., 6-9 Dec. 2010.
- V. Kolmogorov. Minimizing a sum of submodular functions. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 112(1):14–29, 2012.
- V. Kolmogorov and A. Shioura. New algorithms for convex cost tension problem with application to computer vision. *Discrete Optimization*, 6(4):378–393, 2009.
- V. Kolmogorov and R. Zabih. What energy functions can be minimized via graph cuts? *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 26(2):147–159, 2004.
- N. Komodakis. Towards more efficient and effective lp-based algorithms for MRF optimization. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 520–534, 2010.
- N. Komodakis. Learning to cluster using high order graphical models with latent variables. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 73–80, 2011.
- N. Komodakis and N. Paragios. Beyond loose LP-relaxations: Optimizing MRFs by repairing cycles. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 806–820, 2008.
- N. Komodakis and N. Paragios. Beyond pairwise energies: Efficient optimization for higher-order MRFs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2985–2992, 2009.
- N. Komodakis and N. Paragios. MRF-based blind image deconvolution. In *Proceedings of the Asian Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 361–374, 2012.
- N. Komodakis and G. Tziritas. Image completion using efficient belief propagation via priority scheduling and dynamic pruning. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 16(11):2649–2661, 2007a.
- N. Komodakis and G. Tziritas. Approximate labeling via graph-cuts based on linear programming. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 29(8):1436–1453, 2007b.
- N. Komodakis, G. Tziritas, and N. Paragios. Performance vs computational efficiency for optimizing single and dynamic MRFs: Setting the state of the art with primal-dual strategies. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 112(1):14–29, 2008.



- N. Komodakis, N. Paragios, and G. Tziritas. MRF energy minimization and beyond via dual decomposition. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 33(3):531–552, 2011.
- N. Komodakis, B. Xiang, and N. Paragios. A framework for efficient structured max-margin learning of high-order MRF models. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 37(7):1425–1441, 2015.
- M. P. Kumar. Rounding-based moves for metric labeling. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 109–117, 2014.
- M. P. Kumar and D. Koller. MAP estimation of semi-metric MRFs via hierarchical graph cuts. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 313–320, 2009.
- M. P. Kumar and D. Koller. Efficiently selecting regions for scene understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3217–3224, 2010.
- M. P. Kumar and P. Torr. Improved moves for truncated convex models. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 889–896, 2008.
- M. P. Kumar, V. Kolmogorov, and P. Torr. An analysis of convex relaxations for MAP estimation. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1041–1048, 2007.
- L. Ladicky, C. Russell, P. Kohli, and P. Torr. Inference methods for CRFs with co-occurrence statistics. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 62(1):14–29, 2013.
- T. Larsson, M. Patriksson, and A. Stromberg. Ergodic primal convergence in dual subgradient schemes for convex programming. *Mathematical Programming*, 86:283–312, 1999.
- R. Manokaran, J. Naor, P. Raghavendra, and R. Schwartz. SDP gaps and UGC hardness for multiway cut, 0-extension and metric labeling. In *Proceedings of the Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 11–20, 2008.
- K. Murphy, Y. Weiss, and M. Jordan. Loopy belief propagation for approximate inference: An empirical study. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 467–475, 1999.
- A. Nedic and D. P. Bertsekas. Incremental subgradient methods for non-differentiable optimization. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 12:109–138, 2001.

- A. Nedic and A. Ozdaglar. Approximate primal solutions and rate analysis for dual subgradient methods. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 19:1757–1780, 2009.
- A. Osokin, D. Vetrov, and V. Kolmogorov. Submodular decomposition framework for inference in associative markov networks with global constraints. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1889–1896, 2011.
- C. H. Papadimitriou and K. Steiglitz. *Combinatorial Optimization: Algorithms and Complexity*. Prentice-Hall, 1982.
- N. Paragios and N. Komodakis. Discrete visual perception. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, pages 18–25, 2014.
- J. Pearl. *Probabilistic Reasoning in Intelligent Systems: Networks of Plausible Inference*. Morgan Kaufman, 1998.
- T. Pock, T. Schoenemann, G. Graber, H. Bischof, and D. Cremers. A convex formulation of continuous multi-label problems. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 792–805, 2008.
- T. Pock, A. Chambolle, D. Cremers, and H. Bischof. A convex relaxation approach for computing minimal partitions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 810–817, 2009.
- R. B. Potts. Some generalized order-disorder transformations. In *Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 1952.
- B. Savchynskyy, J. H. Kappes, S. Schmidt, and C. Schnörr. A study of Nesterov’s scheme for Lagrangian decomposition and MAP labeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1817–1823, 2011.
- B. Savchynskyy, S. Schmidt, J. H. Kappes, and C. Schnörr. Efficient MRF energy minimization via adaptive diminishing smoothing. In *Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 746–755, 2012.
- M. Schlesinger. Sintaksicheskiy analiz dvumernykh zritelnykh signalov vusloviyakh pomekh (syntactic analysis of two-dimensional visual signals in noisy conditions). *Kibernetika*, 4:113–130, 1976.
- N. N. Schraudolph. Polynomial-time exact inference in NP-hard binary MRFs via reweighted perfect matching. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 717–724, 2010.
- H. D. Sherali and G. Choi. Recovery of primal solutions when using subgradient optimization methods to solve lagrangian duals of linear programs. *Operations Research Letters*, 19:105–113, 1996.

- N. Z. Shor. *Minimization methods for nondifferentiable functions*. Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- D. Sontag, T. Meltzer, A. Globerson, Y. Weiss, and T. Jaakkola. Tightening LP relaxations for MAP using message passing. In *Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 770–777, 2008.
- A. Spyropoulos, N. Komodakis, and P. Mordohai. Learning to detect ground control points for improving the accuracy of stereo matching. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1621–1628, 2014.
- P. Strandmark, F. Kahl, and T. Schoenemann. Parallel and distributed vision algorithms using dual decomposition. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 115(12):1721–1732, 2011.
- R. Szeliski, R. Zabih, D. Scharstein, O. Veksler, V. Kolmogorov, A. Agarwala, M. Tappen, and C. Rother. A comparative study of energy minimization methods for Markov random fields with smoothness-based priors. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 30(6):1068–1080, 2008.
- L. Torresani, V. Kolmogorov, and C. Rother. A dual decomposition approach to feature correspondence. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 35(2):259–271, 2013.
- D. Tsai, M. Flagg, A. Nakazawa, and J. M. Rehg. Motion coherent tracking using multi-label MRF optimization. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 100(2):190–202, 2012.
- M. Vakalopoulou, K. Karantzalos, N. Komodakis, and N. Paragios. Simultaneous registration and change detection in multitemporal, very high resolution remote sensing data. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 61–69, 2015.
- V. V. Vazirani. *Approximation Algorithms*. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, USA, 2001. ISBN 3-540-65367-8.
- O. Veksler. *Efficient Graph-based Energy Minimization Methods in Computer Vision*. PhD thesis, Cornell University, 1999.
- O. Veksler. Graph cut based optimization for MRFs with truncated convex priors. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1–8, 2007.
- S. Vicente, V. Kolmogorov, and C. Rother. Joint optimization of segmentation and appearance models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 755–762, 2009.

- M. Wainwright, T. Jaakkola, and A. Willsky. Map estimation via agreement on trees: message-passing and linear programming. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 30:65–79, 2005.
- C. Wang, M. de La Gorce, and N. Paragios. Segmentation, ordering and multi-object tracking using graphical models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1295–1302, 2009.
- C. Wang, O. Teboul, F. Michel, S. Essafi, and N. Paragios. 3D knowledge-based segmentation using pose-invariant higher-order graphs. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention*, pages 801–809, 2010.
- C. Wang, N. Komodakis, and N. Paragios. Markov random field modeling, inference & learning in computer vision & image understanding: A survey. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 117(11):1610–1627, 2013.
- Y. Weiss and W. Freeman. On the optimality of solutions of the max-product belief propagation algorithm in arbitrary graphs. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 47(2):736–744, 2001.
- T. Werner. A linear programming approach to max-sum problem: A review. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 22:102–116, 2007.
- O. J. Woodford, C. Rother, and V. Kolmogorov. A global perspective on MAP inference for low-level vision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2319–2326, 2009.
- B. Xiang, N. Komodakis, and N. Paragios. Pose invariant deformable shape priors using L1 higher order sparse graphs. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Visual Computing*, pages 194–205, 2013.
- C. Yanover, T. Meltzer, and Y. Weiss. Linear Programming Relaxations and Belief Propagation – An Empirical Study. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 7:153–170, 2006.
- J. Yarkony, R. Morshed, A. T. Ihler, and C. Fowlkes. Tightening MRF relaxations with planar subproblems. In *Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 770–777, 2011.
- Y. Zeng, C. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Gu, D. Samaras, and N. Paragios. Intrinsic dense 3D surface tracking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1225–1232, 2011.
- Y. Zhou and N. Komodakis. A map-estimation framework for blind deblurring using high-level edge priors. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 142–157, 2014.