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Abstract

This study presents several extensions of the most familiar models for count data, the Poisson and negative binomial models. We develop an encompassing model for two well-known variants of the negative binomial model (the NB1 and NB2 forms). We then analyze some alternative approaches to the standard log gamma model for introducing heterogeneity into the loglinear conditional means for these models. The lognormal model provides a versatile alternative specification that is more flexible (and more natural) than the log gamma form, and provides a platform for several “two part” extensions, including zero inflation, hurdle, and sample selection models. (We briefly present some alternative approaches to modeling heterogeneity.) We also resolve some features in Hausman, Hall and Griliches (1984, Economic models for count data with an application to the patents–R&D relationship, Econometrica 52, 909–938) widely used panel data treatments for the Poisson and negative binomial models that appear to conflict with more familiar models of fixed and random effects. Finally, we consider a bivariate Poisson model that is also based on the lognormal heterogeneity model. Two recent applications have used this model.
We suggest that the correlation estimated in their model frameworks is an ambiguous measure of the correlation of the variables of interest, and may substantially overstate it. We conclude with a detailed application of the proposed methods using the data employed in one of the two aforementioned bivariate Poisson studies.
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Models for count data have been prominent in many branches of the recent applied literature, for example, in health economics (e.g., in numbers of visits to health facilities), management (e.g., numbers of patents), and industrial organization (e.g., numbers of entrants to markets). The foundational building block in this modeling framework is the Poisson regression model. But, because of its implicit restriction on the distribution of observed counts — in the Poisson model, the variance of the random variable is constrained to equal the mean — researchers routinely employ more general specifications, usually the negative binomial (NB) model which is the standard choice for a basic count data model. There are also many applications that extend the
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2 Hausman et al. (1984) and Wang et al. (1998).
3 Asplund and Sandin (1999).
4 Hausman et al. (1984), Cameron and Trivedi (1986, 1998), and Winkelmann (2003).
5 The NB model is by far the most common specification. See Hilbe (2007). The latent class (finite mixture) and random parameters forms have also been employed. See, e.g., Wang et al., op. cit., Deb and Trivedi (1997) and Bago d’Uva (2006).
Introduction

Poisson and NB models to accommodate special features of the data generating process, such as hurdle effects, zero inflation, and sample selection. The basic models for panel data, fixed and random effects, have also been extended to the Poisson and NB models for counts. Finally, there have been several proposals for extending the Poisson model to bivariate and multivariate settings. This list includes a substantial fraction of the received extensions of the basic Poisson and NB models. There have, however, been scores of further refinements and extensions that are documented in a huge literature and several book length treatments such as Cameron and Trivedi (CT) 1998, Winkelmann (2003), and Hilbe (2007).

This paper will survey some practical extensions of the Poisson and NB models that practitioners can employ to refine the specifications or broaden their reach into new situations. We will also resolve some apparent inconsistencies of the panel data models with other more familiar results for the linear regression model.

- There are two well known, nonnested forms of the negative binomial model, denoted NB1 and NB2 in the literature. (See CT (1986)). Researchers have typically chosen one form or the other (typically NB2), but not generally formed a preference for one or the other. We propose an encompassing model that nests both of them parametrically and allows a statistical test of the two functional forms against a more general alternative.

- The NB model arises as the result of the introduction of log gamma distributed unobserved heterogeneity into the log-linear Poisson mean. A lognormal model provides a suitable alternative specification that is more flexible than the

---

6 See, e.g., Mullaly (1986), Rose et al. (2006) and Yen and Adamowicz (1994) on separately modeling participation and usage.
9 See, again, Hausman et al. (1984) on the relationship between patents and research and development.
10 See King (1989), Munkin and Trivedi (1999) and Riphahn et al. (2003).
log gamma form, and provides a platform for several useful extensions, including hurdle, zero inflation, and sample selection models.\textsuperscript{11} We will develop this alternative to the NB model, then show how it can be used to accommodate in a natural fashion, e.g., sample selection, hurdle effects, and a new model for zero inflation.

• The most familiar panel data treatments, fixed effects (FE) and random effects (RE), for count models were proposed by Hausman et al. (HHG) (1984). The Poisson FE model is particularly simple to analyze, and has long been recognized as one of a very few known models in which the incidental parameters problem (see Neyman and Scott (1948) and Lancaster (2000)) is, in fact, not a problem. The same is not true of the NB model. Researchers are sometimes surprised to find that the HHG formulation of the FE NB model allows an overall constant — a quirk that has also been documented elsewhere. (See Allison (2000) and Allison and Waterman (2002), for example.) We resolve the source of the ambiguity, and consider the difference between the HHG FE NB model and a “true” FE NB model that appears in the familiar index function form. The true FE NB model has not been used by applied researchers, probably because of the absence of a computational method. We have developed a method of computing the true FE NB model that allows a comparison to the HHG formulation.

• The familiar RE Poisson model using a log gamma heterogeneity term produces the NB model. We consider the lognormal model as an alternative, again, as a vehicle for more interesting specifications, and compare it to the HHG formulation. The HHG RE NB model is also unlike what

might seem the natural application in which the heterogeneity term appears as an additive common effect in the conditional mean. Once again, this was a practical solution to the problem. The lognormal model provides a means of specifying the RE NB model in a natural index function form. We will develop this model, and, once again, compare it to the HHG formulation.

- Two recent applications, Munkin and Trivedi (1999) and RWM (2003), have used a form of the bivariate Poisson model in which the correlation is introduced through additive correlated variables in the conditional mean functions. Both of these studies have misinterpreted (and overstated) the correlation coefficient estimated in their model frameworks. What they have specified is correlation between the logs of the conditional mean functions. How this translates to correlation between the count variables themselves is quite unclear. We will examine this in detail.

The study is organized as follows: Section 2 will detail the basic modeling frameworks for count data, the Poisson and NB models and will propose models for observed and unobserved heterogeneity in count data. This section will suggest a parameterization of the NB model that introduces measured heterogeneity into the scaling parameter. We then develop the NBP model to encompass NB1 and NB2. Finally, we propose the lognormal model as an alternative to the log gamma model that produces the NB specification. Section 3 will extend the lognormal model to several two part models. Section 4 will examine the fixed and random effects models for panel data. Section 5 will consider applications of the Bivariate Poisson model. The various model extensions proposed are applied to the RWM panel data on health care utilization in Section 6. Some conclusions are drawn in Section 7.

As documented in a vast literature, there are many aspects of modeling count data. This study is focused on two large issues, first, the accommodation of overdispersion and heterogeneity in the basic count framework and, second, the functional form of the conditional mean and the extension of models of heterogeneity to models for panel
data and sources of correlation across outcomes. The first of these is more straightforward. In principle, these are elements of the conditional variance of the distribution of counts that can be analyzed apart from the conditional mean. Robust inference methods for basic models can be relied upon to preserve the validity of estimation and inference procedures. The second feature motivates the development of more intricate models such as the two part, panel and bivariate models presented in what follows.
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