Contextual Entrepreneurship: An Interdisciplinary Perspective
Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship

Corporate Entrepreneurship 2.0: Research Development and Future Directions
Donald F. Kuratko

Angel Investing: A Literature Review
Linda F. Edelman, Tatiana S. Manolova and Candida G. Brush
ISBN: 978-1-68083-298-3

Entrepreneurship and Institutions: A Bidirectional Relationship
Niklas Elert and Magnus Henrekson
ISBN: 978-1-68083-320-1

Households as a Site of Entrepreneurial Activity
Sara Carter, Aniela Kuhl, Susan Marlow and Samuel Mwaura

Agglomeration, Industrial Districts and Industry Clusters: Trends of the 21st Century Literature
Brett Anitra Gilbert
Contextual Entrepreneurship: An Interdisciplinary Perspective

Ted Baker
Rutgers University, USA

Friederike Welter
Institut für Mittelstandsforschung (IfM) Bonn and University of Siegen, Germany
Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Nascent and start-up entrepreneurs
- Opportunity recognition
- New venture creation process
- Business formation
- Firm ownership
- Market value and firm growth
- Franchising
- Managerial characteristics and behavior of entrepreneurs
- Strategic alliances and networks
- Government programs and public policy
- Gender and ethnicity
- New business financing:
  - Business angels
  - Bank financing, debt, and trade credit
  - Venture capital and private equity capital
  - Public equity and IPOs
- Family-owned firms
- Management structure, governance and performance
- Corporate entrepreneurship
- High technology:
  - Technology-based new firms
  - High-tech clusters
- Small business and economic growth

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship, 2018, Volume 14, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3114. ISSN online version 1551-3122. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.
## Contents

1. The Goldilocks Problem in Contextualizing Research: Too Much, Too Little, Just Right? 2

2. Challenges and Promise 4
   2.1 Context Unbounded? 4
   2.2 Contextualizing Entrepreneurship Research – Self-Reflections and Advice from the Field 9

3. Progress in Contextualizing Entrepreneurship Research 11
   3.1 Who and Why Contexts 13
   3.2 Where Contexts 18
   3.3 When Contexts 20
   3.4 Incipient Theory of Entrepreneurship Contexts 23

4. Progress in Empirically Studying Contextual Entrepreneurship 28
   4.1 Challenges for Contextualized Research Approaches 29
   4.2 How to Contextualize Research Approaches 33
   4.3 How to Operationalize Contexts? 39

5. Outlook and Agenda for Future Research 41
   5.1 Contextualizing as Problematizing the Taken-for-granted 42
5.2 Toward a Critical Process Approach

References
Contextual Entrepreneurship: An Interdisciplinary Perspective

Ted Baker¹ and Friederike Welter²

¹Rutgers University, USA; tbaker@business.rutgers.edu
²IfM Bonn and University of Siegen, Germany; welter@uni-siegen.de

ABSTRACT

The need to contextualize research in entrepreneurship has become an important theme during the last decade. In this monograph we position the increasing prominence of “contextual entrepreneurship” research as part of a broader scholarly wave that has previously washed across other fields. The challenges and promises we face as this wave carries us forward are similar in many ways to the challenges faced by researchers in other fields. Based on a review of the current context debate among entrepreneurship scholars and a selective review of other disciplines, we outline and discuss issues in theorizing, operationalising and empirically studying contexts in entrepreneurship research. Researchers have made rapid and substantial – though uneven – progress in contextualizing their work. Unsurprisingly, there is healthy disagreement over what it means to contextualize research and how it should be done, which we see as expressions of competing implicit theories of context. We argue that no overarching theory of what context is or what it means is likely to be very successful. Instead, we suggest briefly that it may be useful to adopt and develop what we label a “critical process approach” to contextualizing entrepreneurship research.
To claim that something has been “taken out of context” is to suggest that it is fallacious. Who would claim that our research is better when it ignores or otherwise misrepresents the effects of context on the inferences we put forth? Consistent with this logic, there has been growing interest in contextualizing research, with authors across many disciplines promoting the benefits of grounding our theoretical inferences more thoroughly in the places and circumstances of our empirical observations (e.g., Akman, 2000; Akman and Bazzanella, 2003; Bamberger, 2008; Bates, 1976; Dilley, 1999a; Duranti and Goodwin, 1992; Johns, 2001; 2006; 2017; 2018; Scharfstein, 1988; Scharfstein, 1989; Schegloff, 1997; Turner et al., 1994; Van Dijk, 2008; Wyer and Srull, 1986). Over the last decade or so, this wave of interest has washed across the field of entrepreneurship (e.g., Boettke and Coyne, 2009; Hjorth et al., 2008; Ucbasaran et al., 2001; Welter, 2011; Welter and Gartner, 2016; Zahra, 2007; 2011; 2014).

In the current monograph, we expand on this interest in hopes of generating some new insights about why and how we might go about contextualizing entrepreneurship research. While we have few quarrels with the prior work, here we attempt to frame the issues, the progress
that has been made and the substantial challenges that remain with a view toward calling for future work that takes more of what we call a critical process approach to contextualizing entrepreneurship research.
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