# Collaborative Filtering Recommender Systems

# Collaborative Filtering Recommender Systems

## Michael D. Ekstrand

University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA ekstrand@cs.umn.edu

## John T. Riedl

University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA riedl@cs.umn.edu

## Joseph A. Konstan

University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA konstan@cs.umn.edu



Boston - Delft

## Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction

Published, sold and distributed by: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 1024 Hanover, MA 02339 USA Tel. +1-781-985-4510 www.nowpublishers.com sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 179 2600 AD Delft The Netherlands Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is M. D. Ekstrand, J. T. Riedl and J. A. Konstan, Collaborative Filtering Recommender Systems, Foundations and Trends in Human–Computer Interaction, vol 4, no 2, pp 81–173, 2010

ISBN: 978-1-60198-442-5  $\stackrel{\frown}{(c)}$  2011 M. D. Ekstrand, J. T. Riedl and J. A. Konstan

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction

Volume 4 Issue 2, 2010

## **Editorial Board**

## ${\bf Editor\text{-}in\text{-}Chief:}$

#### Ben Bederson

Human-Computer Interaction Lab University of Maryland 3171 A. V. Williams Bldg 20742, College Park, MD

## **Editors**

Gregory Abowd (Georgia Institute of Technology)

Jonathan Grudin (Microsoft Research)

Clayton Lewis (University of Colorado)

Jakob Nielsen (Nielsen Norman Group)

Don Norman (Nielsen Norman Group and Northwestern University)

Dan Olsen (Brigham Young University)

Gary Olson (UC Irvine)

## **Editorial Scope**

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- History of the research Community
- Design and Evaluation
- Ergonomics/Human Factors
- Cognitive engineering and performance models
- Predictive models of interaction
- User-centered design processes
- Participatory design
- Graphic design
- Discount evaluation techniques
- Design and interaction
- Ethnography
- Theory
- Models of cognition
- Empirical methods of evaluation
- Qualitative methods of design and evaluation
- Technology
- Programming the graphical user interface
- Input technologies
- ullet Output technologies
- Computer supported cooperative work
- History of CSCW in HCI
- Organizational issues

- Online communities
- Games
- Communication technologies
- Interdisciplinary influence
- The role of the social sciences in HCI
- MIS and HCI
- Graphic design
- Artificial intelligence and the user interface
- Architecture and the role of the physical environment
- Advanced topics and tends
- Information visualization
- Web design
- Assistive technologies
- Multimodal interaction
- Perception and the user interface
- Specific user groups (children, elders, etc.)
- Sensor-based or tangible interaction
- Ubiquitous computing
- Virtual reality
- Augmented reality
- Wearable computing
- Design and fashion
- Privacy and social implications

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction, 2010, Volume 4, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3955. ISSN online version 1551-3963. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction Vol. 4, No. 2 (2010) 81–173 © 2011 M. D. Ekstrand, J. T. Riedl and J. A. Konstan DOI: 10.1561/1100000009



## **Collaborative Filtering Recommender Systems**

## Michael D. Ekstrand<sup>1</sup>, John T. Riedl<sup>2</sup> and Joseph A. Konstan<sup>3</sup>

University of Minnesota, 4-192 Keller Hall, 200 Union St., Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

<sup>1</sup> ekstrand@cs.umn.edu; <sup>2</sup> riedl@cs.umn.edu; <sup>3</sup> konstan@cs.umn.edu

#### **Abstract**

Recommender systems are an important part of the information and e-commerce ecosystem. They represent a powerful method for enabling users to filter through large information and product spaces. Nearly two decades of research on collaborative filtering have led to a varied set of algorithms and a rich collection of tools for evaluating their performance. Research in the field is moving in the direction of a richer understanding of how recommender technology may be embedded in specific domains. The differing personalities exhibited by different recommender algorithms show that recommendation is not a one-sizefits-all problem. Specific tasks, information needs, and item domains represent unique problems for recommenders, and design and evaluation of recommenders needs to be done based on the user tasks to be supported. Effective deployments must begin with careful analysis of prospective users and their goals. Based on this analysis, system designers have a host of options for the choice of algorithm and for its embedding in the surrounding user experience. This paper discusses a wide variety of the choices available and their implications, aiming to provide both practicioners and researchers with an introduction to the important issues underlying recommenders and current best practices for addressing these issues.

## Contents

1 ]	Introduction	1	
1.1	History of Recommender Systems	3	
1.2	Core Concepts, Vocabulary, and Notation	4	
1.3	Overview	6	
2 (	Collaborative Filtering Methods	7	
2.1	Baseline Predictors	8	
2.2	User-User Collaborative Filtering	10	
2.3	Item-Item Collaborative Filtering	14	
2.4	Dimensionality Reduction	20	
2.5	Probabilistic Methods	26	
2.6	Hybrid Recommenders	30	
2.7	Selecting an Algorithm	31	
3 ]	Evaluating Recommender Systems	33	
3.1	Data Sets	34	
3.2	Offline Evaluation Structure	35	
3.3	Prediction Accuracy	36	
3.4	Accuracy Over Time	38	
3.5	Ranking Accuracy	39	
3.6	Decision Support Metrics	41	
3.7	Online Evaluation	44	

## Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/1100000009

4 ]	Building the Data Set	47
4.1	Sources of Preference Data	48
4.2	Rating Scales	51
4.3	Soliciting Ratings	53
4.4	Dealing with Noise	55
5	User Information Needs	57
5.1	User Tasks	58
5.2	Needs for Individual Items	59
5.3	Needs for Sets of Items	60
5.4	Systemic Needs	63
5.5	Summary	68
6	User Experience	69
6.1	Soliciting Ratings	69
6.2	Presenting Recommendations	70
6.3	Recommending in Conversation	72
6.4	Recommending in Social Context	73
6.5	Shaping User Experience with Recommendations	76
7	Conclusion and Resources	79
7.1	Resources	82
Ref	erences	85

## 1

## Introduction

Every day, we are inundated with choices and options. What to wear? What movie to rent? What stock to buy? What blog post to read? The sizes of these decision domains are frequently massive: Netflix has over 17,000 movies in its selection [15], and Amazon.com has over 410,000 titles in its Kindle store alone [7]. Supporting discovery in information spaces of this magnitude is a significant challenge. Even simple decisions — what movie should I see this weekend? — can be difficult without prior direct knowledge of the candidates.

Historically, people have relied on recommendations and mentions from their peers or the advice of experts to support decisions and discover new material. They discuss the week's blockbuster over the water cooler, they read reviews in the newspaper's entertainment section, or they ask a librarian to suggest a book. They may trust their local theater manager or news stand to narrow down their choices, or turn on the TV and watch whatever happens to be playing.

These methods of recommending new things have their limits, particularly for information discovery. There may be an independent film or book that a person would enjoy, but no one in their circle of acquaintances has heard of it yet. There may be a new indie band in another city whose music will likely never cross the local critic's

#### 2 Introduction

radar. Computer-based systems provide the opportunity to expand the set of people from whom users can obtain recommendations. They also enable us to mine users' history and stated preferences for patterns that neither they nor their acquaintances identify, potentially providing a more finely-tuned selection experience.

There has been a good deal of research over the last 20 years on how to automatically recommend things to people and a wide variety of methods have been proposed [1, 140]. Recently, the *Recommender Systems Handbook* [122] was published, providing in-depth discussions of a variety of recommender methods and topics. This survey, however, is focused primarily on *collaborative filtering*, a class of methods that recommend items to users based on the preferences other users have expressed for those items.

In addition to academic interest, recommendation systems are seeing significant interest from industry. Amazon.com has been using collaborative filtering for a decade to recommend products to their customers, and Netflix valued improvements to the recommender technology underlying their movie rental service at \$1M via the widely-publicized Netflix Prize [15].

There is also a growing interest in problems surrounding recommendation. Algorithms for understanding and predicting user preferences do not exist in a vacuum — they are merely one piece of a broader user experience. A recommender system must interact with the user, both to learn the user's preferences and provide recommendations; these concerns pose challenges for user interface and interaction design. Systems must have accurate data from which to compute their recommendations and preferences, leading to work on how to collect reliable data and reduce the noise in user preference data sets. Users also have many different goals and needs when they approach systems, from basic needs for information to more complex desires for privacy with regards to their preferences.

In his keynote address at the 2009 ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, Martin [90] argued that the algorithms themselves are only a small part of the problem of providing recommendations to users. We have a number of algorithms that work fairly well, and while there is room to refine them, there is much work to be done on

user experience, data collection, and other problems which make up the whole of the recommender experience.

#### 1.1 **History of Recommender Systems**

The capacity of computers to provide recommendations was recognized fairly early in the history of computing. Grundy [123], a computerbased librarian, was an early step towards automatic recommender systems. It was fairly primitive, grouping users into "stereotypes" based on a short interview and using hard-coded information about various sterotypes' book preferences to generate recommendations, but it represents an important early entry in the recommender systems space.

In the early 1990s, collaborative filtering began to arise as a solution for dealing with overload in online information spaces. Tapestry [49] was a manual collaborative filtering system: it allowed the user to query for items in an information domain, such as corporate e-mail, based on other users' opinions or actions ("give me all the messages forwarded by John"). It required effort on the part of its users, but allowed them to harness the reactions of previous readers of a piece of correspondence to determine its relevance to them.

Automated collaborative filtering systems soon followed, automatically locating relevant opinions and aggregating them to provide recommendations. GroupLens [119] used this technique to identify Usenet articles which are likely to be interesting to a particular user. Users only needed to provide ratings or perform other observable actions; the system combined these with the ratings or actions of other users to provide personalized results. With these systems, users do not obtain any direct knowledge of other users' opinions, nor do they need to know what other users or items are in the system in order to receive recommendations.

During this time, recommender systems and collaborative filtering became an topic of increasing interest among human-computer interaction, machine learning, and information retrieval researchers. This interest produced a number of recommender systems for various domains, such as Ringo [137] for music, the BellCore Video Recommender [62] for movies, and Jester [50] for jokes. Outside of computer

#### 4 Introduction

science, the marketing literature has analyzed recommendation for its ability to increase sales and improve customer experience [10, 151].

In the late 1990s, commercial deployments of recommender technology began to emerge. Perhaps the most widely-known application of recommender system technologies is Amazon.com. Based on purchase history, browsing history, and the item a user is currently viewing, they recommend items for the user to consider purchasing.

Since Amazon's adoption, recommender technology, often based on collaborative filtering, has been integrated into many e-commerce and online systems. A significant motivation for doing this is to increase sales volume — customers may purchase an item if it is suggested to them but might not seek it out otherwise. Several companies, such as NetPerceptions and Strands, have been built around providing recommendation technology and services to online retailers.

The toolbox of recommender techniques has also grown beyond collaborative filtering to include content-based approaches based on information retrieval, bayesian inference, and case-based reasoning methods [132, 139]. These methods consider the actual content or attributes of the items to be recommended instead of or in addition to user rating patterns. Hybrid recommender systems [24] have also emerged as various recommender strategies have matured, combining multiple algorithms into composite systems that ideally build on the strengths of their component algorithms. Collaborative filtering, however, has remained an effective approach, both alone and hybridized with content-based approaches.

Research on recommender algorithms garnered significant attention in 2006 when Netflix launched the Netflix Prize to improve the state of movie recommendation. The objective of this competition was to build a recommender algorithm that could beat their internal CineMatch algorithm in offline tests by 10%. It sparked a flurry of activity, both in academia and amongst hobbyists. The \$1 M prize demonstrates the value that vendors place on accurate recommendations.

#### 1.2 Core Concepts, Vocabulary, and Notation

Collaborative filtering techniques depend on several concepts to describe the problem domain and the particular requirements placed on the system. Many of these concepts are also shared by other recommendation methods.

The information domain for a collaborative filtering system consists of users which have expressed preferences for various items. A preference expressed by a user for an item is called a rating and is frequently represented as a (*User*, *Item*, *Rating*) triple. These ratings can take many forms, depending on the system in question. Some systems use real- or integer-valued rating scales such as 0–5 stars, while others use binary or ternary (like/dislike) scales. Unary ratings, such as "has purchased", are particularly common in e-commerce deployments as they express well the user's purchasing history absent ratings data. When discussing unary ratings, we will use "purchased" to mean that an item is in the user's history, even for non-commerce settings such as web page views.

The set of all rating triples forms a sparse matrix referred to as the ratings matrix. (User, Item) pairs where the user has not expressed a preference for (rated) the item are unknown values in this matrix. Figure 1.1 shows an example ratings matrix for three users and four movies in a movie recommender system; cells marked '?' indicate unknown values (the user has not rated that movie).

In describing use and evaluation of recommender systems, including collaborative filtering systems, we typically focus on two tasks. The first is the *predict* task: given a user and an item, what is the user's likely preference for the item? If the ratings matrix is viewed as a sampling of values from a complete user-item preference matrix, than the predict task for a recommender is equivalent to the matrix missingvalues problem.

	Batman Begins	$\begin{array}{c} Alice \ in \\ Wonderland \end{array}$	Dumb and Dumber	Equilibrium
User A	4	?	3	5
User B	?	5	4	?
User C	5	4	2	?

Fig. 1.1 Sample ratings matrix (on a 5-star scale).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The scale is ternary if "seen but no expressed preference" is considered distinct from "unseen".

#### 6 Introduction

The second task is the recommend task: given a user, produce the best ranked list of n items for the user's need. An n-item recommendation list is not guaranteed to contain the n items with the highest predicted preferences, as predicted preference may not be the only criteria used to produce the recommendation list.

In this survey, we use a consistent mathematical notation for referencing various elements of the recommender system model. The universe consists of a set U of users and a set I of items.  $I_u$  is the set of items rated or purchased by user u, and  $U_i$  is the set of users who have rated or purchased i. The rating matrix is denoted by  $\mathbf{R}$ , with  $r_{u,i}$  being the rating user u provided for item i,  $\mathbf{r}_u$  being the vector of all ratings provided for item i (the distinction will be apparent from context).  $\bar{r}_u$  and  $\bar{r}_i$  are the average of a user u or an item i's ratings, respectively. A user u's preference for an item i, of which the rating is assumed to be a reflection, is  $\pi_{u,i}$  (elements of the user-item preference matrix  $\mathbf{\Pi}$ ). It is assumed that  $r_{u,i} \approx \pi_{u,i}$ ; specifically,  $\mathbf{R}$  is expected to be a sparse sample of  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  with the possible addition of noise. The recommender's prediction of  $\pi_{u,i}$  is denoted by  $p_{u,i}$ .

### 1.3 Overview

This survey aims to provide a broad overview of the current state of collaborative filtering research. In the next two sections, we discuss the core algorithms for collaborative filtering and traditional means of measuring their performance against user rating data sets. We will then move on to discuss building reliable, accurate data sets; understanding recommender systems in the broader context of user information needs and task support; and the interaction between users and recommender systems.

- [1] G. Adomavicius and A. Tuzhilin, "Toward the next generation of recommender systems: A survey of the state-of-the-art and possible extensions," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 734–749, 2005
- [2] E. Agichtein, E. Brill, S. Dumais, and R. Ragno, "Learning user interaction models for predicting web search result preferences," in *ACM SIGIR '06*, pp. 3–10, ACM, 2006.
- [3] D. Aha and L. Breslow, "Refining conversational case libraries," in *Case-Based Reasoning Research and Development*, vol. 1266 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 267–278, Springer, 1997.
- [4] K. Ali and W. van Stam, "TiVo: Making show recommendations using a distributed collaborative filtering architecture," in ACM KDD '04, pp. 394–401, ACM, 2004.
- [5] X. Amatriain, J. Pujol, and N. Oliver, "I like it... I like it not: Evaluating user ratings noise in recommender systems," in *UMAP 2009*, vol. 5535 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 247–258, Springer, 2009.
- [6] X. Amatriain, J. M. Pujol, N. Tintarev, and N. Oliver, "Rate it again: Increasing recommendation accuracy by user re-rating," in ACM RecSys '09, pp. 173–180, ACM, 2009.
- [7] Amazon.com, "Q4 2009 Financial Results," Earnings Report Q4-2009, January 2010.
- [8] E. Ameur, G. Brassard, J. Fernandez, and F. M. Onana, "Alambic: A privacy-preserving recommender system for electronic commerce," *Interna*tional Journal of Information Security, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 307–334, October 2008.

- [9] T. Amoo and H. H. Friedman, "Do numeric values influence subjects' responses to rating scales?," *Journal of International Marketing and Marketing Research*, vol. 26, pp. 41–46, February 2001.
- [10] A. Ansari, S. Essegaier, and R. Kohli, "Internet recommendation systems," Journal of Marketing Research, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 363–375, August 2000.
- [11] C. Avery and R. Zeckhauser, "Recommender systems for evaluating computer messages," Communications of the ACM, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 88–89, ACM ID: 245127, March 1997.
- [12] P. Avesani, P. Massa, and R. Tiella, "A trust-enhanced recommender system application: Moleskiing," in ACM SAC '05, pp. 1589–1593, ACM, 2005.
- [13] M. Balabanović and Y. Shoham, "Fab: Content-based, collaborative recommendation," Communications of the ACM, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 66–72, 1997.
- [14] R. M. Bell and Y. Koren, "Scalable collaborative filtering with jointly derived neighborhood interpolation weights," in *IEEE ICDM 2007*, pp. 43–52, 2007.
- [15] J. Bennett and S. Lanning, "The netflix prize," in KDD Cup and Workshop '07, 2007.
- [16] M. W. Berry, S. T. Dumais, and G. W. O'Brien, "Using linear algebra for intelligent information retrieval," SIAM Review, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 573–595, December 1995.
- [17] M. Bilgic and R. J. Mooney, "Explaining recommendations: Satisfaction vs. promotion," in Beyond Personalization 2005: A Workshop on the Next Stage of Recommender Systems Research, pp. 13–18, 2005.
- [18] D. Billsus and M. J. Pazzani, "Learning collaborative information filters," in AAAI 2008 Workshop on Recommender Systems, 1998.
- [19] D. Billsus and M. J. Pazzani, "A personal news agent that talks, learns and explains," in AGENTS '99, pp. 268–275, ACM, 1999.
- [20] D. M. Blei, A. Y. Ng, and M. I. Jordan, "Latent dirichlet allocation," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 3, pp. 993–1022, March 2003.
- [21] M. Brand, "Fast online svd revisions for lightweight recommender systems," in SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, pp. 37–46, SIAM, 2003.
- [22] J. S. Breese, D. Heckerman, and C. Kadie, "Empirical analysis of predictive algorithms for collaborative filtering," in *UAI 1998*, pp. 43–52, AAAI, 1998.
- [23] P. Brusilovsky, "Methods and techniques of adaptive hypermedia," User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 87–129, July 1996.
- [24] R. Burke, "Hybrid recommender systems: Survey and experiments," User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 331–370, November 2002.
- [25] R. Burke, "Evaluating the dynamic properties of recommendation algorithms," in ACM RecSys '10, pp. 225–228, ACM, 2010.
- [26] J. Canny, "Collaborative filtering with privacy," in *IEEE Symposium on Secu*rity and Privacy 2002, pp. 45–57, IEEE Computer Society, 2002.
- [27] J. Canny, "Collaborative filtering with privacy via factor analysis," in ACM SIGIR '02, pp. 238–245, ACM, 2002.
- [28] J. Chen, W. Geyer, C. Dugan, M. Muller, and I. Guy, "Make new friends, but keep the old: Recommending people on social networking sites," in *ACM CHI* '09, pp. 201–210, ACM, 2009.

- [29] L. Chen and P. Pu, "Evaluating critiquing-based recommender agents," in AAAI 2006, vol. 21, pp. 157–162, AAAI, 2006.
- [30] Y. H. Chien and E. I. George, "A bayesian model for collaborative filtering," in 7th International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, 1999.
- [31] B. H. Clark, "Marketing performance measures: History and interrelationships," *Journal of Marketing Management*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 711–732, November 1999.
- [32] R. Cook and J. Kay, "The justified user model: A viewable, explained user model," in *User Modelling* 1994, 1994.
- [33] D. Cosley, D. Frankowski, L. Terveen, and J. Riedl, "SuggestBot: using intelligent task routing to help people find work in wikipedia," in ACM IUI '07, pp. 32–41, ACM, 2007.
- [34] D. Cosley, S. K. Lam, I. Albert, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "Is seeing believing?: How recommender system interfaces affect users' opinions," in ACM CHI '03, pp. 585–592, ACM, 2003.
- [35] B. Dahlen, J. Konstan, J. Herlocker, N. Good, A. Borchers, and J. Riedl, "Jump-starting MovieLens: User benefits of starting a collaborative filtering system with 'dead data," Technical Report 98-017, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, March, 1998.
- [36] S. Deerwester, S. T. Dumais, G. W. Furnas, T. K. Landauer, and R. Harshman, "Indexing by latent semantic analysis," *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 391–407, 1990.
- [37] A. P. Dempster, N. M. Laird, and D. B. Rubin, "Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm," *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 1–38, ArticleType: research-article/Full publication date: 1977/Copyright © 1977 Royal Statistical Society, January 1977.
- [38] M. Deshpande and G. Karypis, "Item-based top-N recommendation algorithms," ACM Transactions on Information Systems, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 143–177, 2004.
- [39] M. D. Ekstrand, P. Kannan, J. A. Stemper, J. T. Butler, J. A. Konstan, and J. T. Riedl, "Automatically building research reading lists," in ACM RecSys '10, pp. 159–166, ACM, 2010.
- [40] B. Fields, C. Rhodes, and M. d'Inverno, "Using song social tags and topic models to describe and compare playlists," in Workshop on Music Recommendation and Discovery 2010, 633, CEUR, September 2010.
- [41] D. Frankowski, D. Cosley, S. Sen, L. Terveen, and J. Riedl, "You are what you say: Privacy risks of public mentions," in ACM SIGIR '06, pp. 565–572, ACM, 2006.
- [42] J. Freyne, M. Jacovi, I. Guy, and W. Geyer, "Increasing engagement through early recommender intervention," in *ACM RecSys* '09, pp. 85–92, ACM, 2009.
- [43] H. H. Friedman and T. Amoo, "Rating the rating scales," Journal of Marketing Management, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 114–123, 1999.
- [44] S. Funk, "Netflix update: Try this at home," http://sifter.org/~simon/journal/20061211.html, Archived by WebCite at http://www.webc-itation.org/5pVQphxrD, December 2006.

- [45] R. Garland, "The mid-point on a rating scale: Is it desirable?," *Marketing Bulletin*, vol. 2, pp. 66–70, May 1991.
- [46] M. Göker and C. Thompson, "Personalized conversational case-based recommendation," in Advances in Case-Based Reasoning, vol. 1898 of Leceture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 29–82, Springer, 2000.
- [47] J. Golbeck, "Generating predictive movie recommendations from trust in social networks," in *International Conference on Trust Management*, vol. 3986 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 93–104, Springer, 2006.
- [48] J. Golbeck, "Trust on the World Wide Web: A survey," Foundations and Trends® in Web Science, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 131–197, 2006.
- [49] D. Goldberg, D. Nichols, B. M. Oki, and D. Terry, "Using collaborative filtering to weave an information tapestry," Communications of the ACM, vol. 35, no. 12, pp. 61–70, 1992.
- [50] K. Goldberg, T. Roeder, D. Gupta, and C. Perkins, "Eigentaste: A constant time collaborative filtering algorithm," *Information Retrieval*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 133–151, July 2001.
- [51] G. Gorrell, "Generalized Hebbian algorithm for incremental singular value decomposition in natural language processing," in EACL 2006, pp. 97–104, ACL, 2006.
- [52] M. Grigoriev, "Intelligent multimedia management system," 2003.
- [53] A. Gunawardana and G. Shani, "A survey of accuracy evaluation metrics of recommendation tasks," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 10, pp. 2935–2962, 2009.
- [54] I. Guy, N. Zwerdling, D. Carmel, I. Ronen, E. Uziel, S. Yogev, and S. Ofek-Koifman, "Personalized recommendation of social software items based on social relations," in ACM RecSys '09, pp. 53–60, ACM, 2009.
- [55] D. L. Hansen and J. Golbeck, "Mixing it up: Recommending collections of items," in ACM CHI '09, pp. 1217–1226, ACM, 2009.
- [56] F. M. Harper, X. Li, Y. Chen, and J. A. Konstan, "An economic model of user rating in an online recommender system," in *User Modeling 2005*, vol. 3538 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 307–316, Springer, August 2005
- [57] D. A. Harrison and K. J. Klein, "What's the difference? Diversity constructs as separation, variety, or disparity in organizations," *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 1199–1228, Oct 2007.
- [58] J. Herlocker, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "An empirical analysis of design choices in neighborhood-based collaborative filtering algorithms," *Information Retrieval*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 287–310, 2002.
- [59] J. L. Herlocker, J. A. Konstan, A. Borchers, and J. Riedl, "An algorithmic framework for performing collaborative filtering," in ACM SIGIR '99, pp. 230–237, ACM, 1999.
- [60] J. L. Herlocker, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "Explaining collaborative filtering recommendations," in ACM CSCW '00, pp. 241–250, ACM, 2000.
- [61] J. L. Herlocker, J. A. Konstan, L. G. Terveen, and J. T. Riedl, "Evaluating collaborative filtering recommender systems," ACM Transactions on Information Systems, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 5–53, 2004.

- [62] W. Hill, L. Stead, M. Rosenstein, and G. Furnas, "Recommending and evaluating choices in a virtual community of use," in ACM CHI '95, pp. 194–201, ACM Press/Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1995.
- [63] W. Hill and L. Terveen, "Using frequency-of-mention in public conversations for social filtering," in ACM CSCW '96, pp. 106–112, ACM, 1996.
- [64] T. Hofmann, "Probabilistic latent semantic indexing," in ACM SIGIR '99, pp. 50–57, ACM, 1999.
- [65] T. Hofmann, "Latent semantic models for collaborative filtering," ACM Transactions on Information Systems, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 89–115, 2004.
- [66] A. Jameson, "More than the sum of its members: Challenges for group recommender systems," in Working Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces, pp. 48–54, ACM, 2004.
- [67] X. Jin, Y. Zhou, and B. Mobasher, "Web usage mining based on probabilistic latent semantic analysis," in *ACM KDD '04*, pp. 197–205, ACM, 2004.
- [68] D. Kahneman, P. P. Wakker, and R. Sarin, "Back to bentham? Explorations of experienced utility," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 375–405, ArticleType: research-article/Issue Title: In Memory of Amos Tversky (1937–1996)/Full publication date: May, 1997/Copyright© 1997 The MIT Press, May 1997.
- [69] J. Karlgren, "Newsgroup clustering based on user behavior a recommendation algebra," Technical Report, European Research Consortium for Informatics and Mathematics at SICS, 1994.
- [70] K. Karvonen, S. Shibasaki, S. Nunes, P. Kaur, and O. Immonen, "Visual nudges for enhancing the use and produce of reputation information," in Workshop on User-Centric Evaluation of Recommender Systems and Their Interfaces. September 2010.
- [71] G. Karypis, "Evaluation of item-based top-N recommendation algorithms," in ACM CIKM '01, pp. 247–254, ACM, 2001.
- [72] H. Kautz, B. Selman, and M. Shah, "Referral Web: Combining social networks and collaborative filtering," Communications of the ACM, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 63–65, 1997.
- [73] B. Kitts, D. Freed, and M. Vrieze, "Cross-sell: A fast promotion-tunable customer-item recommendation method based on conditionally independent probabilities," in ACM KDD '00, pp. 437–446, ACM, 2000.
- [74] B. P. Knijnenburg, L. Schmidt-Thieme, and D. G. Bollen, "Workshop on user-centric evaluation of recommender systems and their interfaces," in ACM RecSys '10, p. 383, ACM, 2010.
- [75] A. Kobsa, "Privacy-enhanced web personalization," in *The Adaptive Web*, pp. 628–670, Springer, 2007.
- [76] R. Kohavi, R. M. Henne, and D. Sommerfield, "Practical guide to controlled experiments on the web: Listen to your customers not to the HiPPO," in ACM KDD '07, pp. 959–967, ACM, ACM ID: 1281295, 2007.
- [77] R. Kohavi, R. Longbotham, D. Sommerfield, and R. M. Henne, "Controlled experiments on the web: Survey and practical guide," *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 140–181, 2008.

- [78] J. A. Konstan, B. N. Miller, D. Maltz, J. L. Herlocker, L. R. Gordon, and J. Riedl, "GroupLens: applying collaborative filtering to Usenet news," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 77–87, 1997.
- [79] Y. Koren, "Factorization meets the neighborhood: A multifaceted collaborative filtering model," in ACM KDD '08, pp. 426–434, ACM, 2008.
- [80] Y. Koren, "Collaborative filtering with temporal dynamics," Communications of the ACM, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 89–97, 2010.
- [81] M. Kurucz, A. A. Benczúr, and K. Csalogány, "Methods for large scale SVD with missing values," in KDD Cup and Workshop 2007, August 2007.
- [82] S. K. Lam and J. Riedl, "Shilling recommender systems for fun and profit," in ACM WWW '04, pp. 393–402, ACM, 2004.
- [83] T. Landgrebe, P. Paclik, R. Duin, and A. Bradley, "Precision-recall operating characteristic (P-ROC) curves in imprecise environments," in *ICPR* 2006, pp. 123–127, IEEE Computer Society, 2006.
- [84] N. Lathia, "Evaluating Collaborative Filtering Over Time," PhD thesis, University College London, London, UK, June, 2010.
- [85] N. Lathia, S. Hailes, and L. Capra, "Evaluating collaborative filtering over time," in SIGIR 09 Workshop on the Future of IR Evaluation, July 2009.
- [86] N. Lathia, S. Hailes, and L. Capra, "Temporal collaborative filtering with adaptive neighbourhoods," in ACM SIGIR '09, pp. 796–797, ACM, 2009.
- [87] G. Linden, B. Smith, and J. York, "Amazon.com recommendations: Itemto-item collaborative filtering," *IEEE Internet Computing*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 76–80, 2003.
- [88] B. M. Marlin and R. S. Zemel, "Collaborative prediction and ranking with non-random missing data," in *ACM RecSus* '09, pp. 5–12, ACM, 2009.
- [89] B. M. Marlin, R. S. Zemel, S. Roweis, and M. Slaney, "Collaborative filtering and the missing at random assumption," in UAI '07, pp. 50–54, AUAI, 2007.
- [90] F. J. Martin, "RecSys '09 industrial keynote: Top 10 lessons learned developing deploying and operating real-world recommender systems," in ACM RecSys '09, pp. 1–2, ACM, 2009.
- [91] P. Massa and P. Avesani, "Trust-Aware collaborative filtering for recommender systems," in On the Move to Meaningful Internet Systems 2004: CoopIS, DOA, and ODBASE, vol. 3290 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 275–301, Springer, 2004.
- [92] J. F. McCarthy and T. D. Anagnost, "MusicFX: an arbiter of group preferences for computer supported collaborative workouts," in ACM CSCW '98, pp. 363–372, ACM, 1998.
- [93] D. W. McDonald, "Evaluating expertise recommendations," in ACM GROUP '01, pp. 214–223, ACM, ACM ID: 500319, 2001.
- [94] L. McGinty and B. Smyth, "Adaptive selection: An analysis of critiquing and preference-based feedback in conversational recommender systems," *Interna*tional Journal of Electronic Commerce, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 35–57, 2006.
- [95] M. R. McLaughlin and J. L. Herlocker, "A collaborative filtering algorithm and evaluation metric that accurately model the user experience," in ACM SIGIR '04, pp. 329–336, Sheffield, United Kingdom: ACM, 2004.

- [96] S. McNee, S. Lam, J. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "Interfaces for eliciting new user preferences in recommender systems," in *User Modeling 2003*, vol. 2702, pp. 178–187, Springer, 2003.
- [97] S. M. McNee, I. Albert, D. Cosley, P. Gopalkrishnan, S. K. Lam, A. M. Rashid, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "On the recommending of citations for research papers," in ACM CSCW '02, pp. 116–125, ACM, 2002.
- [98] S. M. McNee, J. Riedl, and J. A. Konstan, "Being accurate is not enough: How accuracy metrics have hurt recommender systems," in ACM CHI '06 Extended Abstracts, pp. 1097–1101, ACM, 2006.
- [99] S. M. McNee, J. Riedl, and J. A. Konstan, "Making recommendations better: An analytic model for human-recommender interaction," in ACM CHI '06 Extended Abstracts, pp. 1103–1108, ACM, 2006.
- [100] B. N. Miller, I. Albert, S. K. Lam, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "Movie-Lens unplugged: Experiences with an occasionally connected recommender system," in ACM IUI '03, pp. 263–266, ACM, 2003.
- [101] B. N. Miller, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "PocketLens: Toward a personal recommender system," ACM Transactions on Information Systems, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 437–476, 2004.
- [102] B. Mobasher, R. Burke, R. Bhaumik, and C. Williams, "Toward trust-worthy recommender systems: An analysis of attack models and algorithm robustness," ACM Transactions on Internet Technology, vol. 7, no. 4, p. 23, 2007.
- [103] B. Mobasher, R. Burke, and J. Sandvig, "Model-based collaborative filtering as a defense against profile injection attacks," in AAAI 2006, pp. 1388–1393, AAAI, 2006.
- [104] M. Morita and Y. Shinoda, "Information filtering based on user behavior analysis and best match text retrieval," in ACM SIGIR '94, pp. 272–281, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [105] A. Narayanan and V. Shmatikov, "Robust de-anonymization of large sparse datasets," in *IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy 2008*, pp. 111–125, IEEE Computer Society, 2008.
- [106] D. Oard and J. Kim, "Implicit feedback for recommender systems," in AAAI Workshop on Recommender Systems, Madison, Wisconsin, 1998.
- [107] M. O'Connor, D. Cosley, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "PolyLens: a recommender system for groups of users," in *ECSCW 2001*, pp. 199–218, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [108] M. O'Mahony, N. Hurley, N. Kushmerick, and G. Silvestre, "Collaborative recommendation: A robustness analysis," ACM Transactions on Internet Technology, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 344–377, 2004.
- [109] M. P. O'Mahony, N. J. Hurley, and G. C. Silvestre, "Detecting noise in recommender system databases," in ACM IUI '06, pp. 109–115, ACM, 2006.
- [110] A. Paterek, "Improving regularized singular value decomposition for collaborative filtering," in KDD Cup and Workshop 2007, August 2007.
- [111] D. M. Pennock, E. Horvits, and C. L. Giles, "Social choice theory and recommender systems: Analysis of the axiomatic foundations of collaborative filtering," in AAAI 2000, AAAI, 2000.

- [112] D. M. Pennock, E. Horvitz, S. Lawrence, and C. L. Giles, "Collaborative filtering by personality diagnosis: A hybrid memory-and model-based approach," in *UAI 2000*, pp. 473–480, AUAI, 2000.
- [113] H. Polat and W. Du, "SVD-based collaborative filtering with privacy," in ACM SAC '05, pp. 791–795, ACM, 2005.
- [114] A. Popescul, L. H. Ungar, D. M. Pennock, and S. Lawrence, "Probabilistic models for unified collaborative and content-based recommendation in sparse-data environments," in *UAI 2001*, pp. 437–444, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., 2001.
- [115] G. Potter, "Putting the collaborator back into collaborative filtering," in KDD Workshop on Large-Scale Recommender Systems and the Netflix Prize Competition, pp. 1–4, ACM, 2008.
- [116] N. Ramakrishnan, B. Keller, B. Mirza, A. Grama, and G. Karypis, "Privacy risks in recommender systems," *IEEE Internet Computing*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 54–63, 2001.
- [117] A. M. Rashid, I. Albert, D. Cosley, S. K. Lam, S. M. McNee, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "Getting to know you: Learning new user preferences in recommender systems," in ACM IUI '02, pp. 127–134, ACM, 2002.
- [118] J. Reilly, J. Zhang, L. McGinty, P. Pu, and B. Smyth, "Evaluating compound critiquing recommenders: A real-user study," in ACM EC '07, pp. 114–123, ACM, ACM ID: 1250929, 2007.
- [119] P. Resnick, N. Iacovou, M. Suchak, P. Bergstrom, and J. Riedl, "GroupLens: an open architecture for collaborative filtering of netnews," in ACM CSCW '94, pp. 175–186, ACM, 1994.
- [120] P. Resnick and R. Sami, "The influence limiter: Provably manipulationresistant recommender systems," in ACM RecSys '07, pp. 25–32, ACM, 2007.
- [121] P. Resnick and R. Sami, "The information cost of manipulation-resistance in recommender systems," in ACM RecSys '08, pp. 147–154, ACM, 2008.
- [122] F. Ricci, L. Rokach, B. Shapira, and P. B. Kantor, eds., Recommender Systems Handbook. Springer, 2010.
- [123] E. Rich, "User modeling via stereotypes," Cognitive Science, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 329–354, October 1979.
- [124] C. J. V. Rijsbergen, Information Retrieval. Butterworth-Heinemann, 1979.
- [125] R. Salakhutdinov, A. Mnih, and G. Hinton, "Restricted Boltzmann machines for collaborative filtering," in ACM ICML '07, pp. 791–798, ACM, 2007.
- [126] G. Salton, "The state of retrieval system evaluation," Information Processing and Management, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 441–449, July 1992.
- [127] T. D. Sanger, "Optimal unsupervised learning in a single-layer linear feedforward neural network," Neural Networks, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 459–473, 1989.
- [128] B. M. Sarwar, G. Karypis, J. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "Analysis of recommendation algorithms for e-commerce," in ACM EC '00, pp. 158–167, ACM, ACM ID: 352887, 2000.
- [129] B. M. Sarwar, G. Karypis, J. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "Incremental SVD-based algorithms for highly scaleable recommender systems," in ICCIT 2002, 2002.
- [130] B. M. Sarwar, G. Karypis, J. A. Konstan, and J. Reidl, "Item-based collaborative filtering recommendation algorithms," in ACM WWW '01, pp. 285–295, ACM, 2001.

- [131] B. M. Sarwar, G. Karypis, J. A. Konstan, and J. T. Riedl, "Application of dimensionality reduction in recommender system — a case study," in WebKDD 2000, 2000.
- [132] J. B. Schafer, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "E-Commerce recommendation applications," *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 115–153, January 2001.
- [133] A. I. Schein, A. Popescul, L. H. Ungar, and D. M. Pennock, "Methods and metrics for cold-start recommendations," in ACM SIGIR '02, pp. 253–260, ACM, 2002.
- [134] S. Sen, F. M. Harper, A. LaPitz, and J. Riedl, "The quest for quality tags," in ACM GROUP '07, pp. 361–370, ACM, 2007.
- [135] G. Shani and A. Gunawardana, "Evaluating recommendation systems," in Recommender Systems Handbook, (F. Ricci, L. Rokach, B. Shapira, and P. B. Kantor, eds.), pp. 257–297, Springer, 2010.
- [136] G. Shani, D. Heckerman, and R. I. Brafman, "An MDP-based recommender system," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 6, pp. 1265–1295, 2005.
- [137] U. Shardanand and P. Maes, "Social information filtering: Algorithms for automating "word of mouth"," in ACM CHI '95, pp. 210–217, ACM Press/Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1995.
- [138] R. Shokri, P. Pedarsani, G. Theodorakopoulos, and J. Hubaux, "Preserving privacy in collaborative filtering through distributed aggregation of offline profiles," in ACM RecSys '09, pp. 157–164, ACM, 2009.
- [139] B. Smyth, "Case-based recommendation," in *The Adaptive Web*, vol. 4321 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, (P. Brusilovsky, A. Kobsa, and W. Nejdl, eds.), pp. 342–376, Springer, 2007.
- [140] X. Su and T. Khoshgoftaar, "A survey of collaborative filtering techniques," Advances in Artificial Intelligence, vol. 2009, p. 19, August 2009.
- [141] K. Swearingen and R. Sinha, "Interaction design for recommender systems," in DIS 2002, ACM, 2002.
- [142] J. A. Swets, "Information retrieval systems," Science, vol. 141, no. 3577, pp. 245–250, July 1963.
- [143] L. Terveen and D. W. McDonald, "Social matching: A framework and research agenda," ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 401–434, ACM ID: 1096740, September 2005.
- [144] N. Tintarev, "Explanations of recommendations," in ACM RecSys '07, pp. 203–206, ACM, 2007.
- [145] N. Tintarev and J. Masthoff, "Effective explanations of recommendations: User-centered design," in ACM RecSys '07, pp. 153–156, ACM, 2007.
- [146] R. Torres, S. M. McNee, M. Abel, J. A. Konstan, and J. Riedl, "Enhancing digital libraries with TechLens+," in ACM/IEEE JCDL '04, pp. 228–236, ACM, 2004.
- [147] M. van Alstyne and E. Brynjolfsson, "Global village or cyber-balkans? Modeling and measuring the integration of electronic communities," *Management Science*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 851–868, June 2005.
- [148] P. Viappiani and C. Boutilier, "Regret-based optimal recommendation sets in conversational recommender systems," in ACM RecSys '09, pp. 101–108, ACM, 2009.

- [149] J. von Neumann and O. Morgenstern, Theory of Games and Economic Behavior. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1944.
- [150] G. Walsh and J. Golbeck, "Curator: A game with a purpose for collection recommendation," in ACM CHI '10, pp. 2079–2082, ACM, ACM ID: 1753643, 2010
- [151] P. M. West, D. Ariely, S. Bellman, E. Bradlow, J. Huber, E. Johnson, B. Kahn, J. Little, and D. Schkade, "Agents to the Rescue?," *Marketing Letters*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 285–300, 1999.
- [152] M. Xie, L. V. S. Lakshmanan, and P. T. Wood, "Breaking out of the box of recommendations: From items to packages," in ACM RecSys '10, pp. 151–158, ACM, ACM ID: 1864739, 2010.
- [153] Y. Yang and X. Liu, "A re-examination of text categorization methods," in ACM SIGIR '99, pp. 42–49, ACM, 1999.
- [154] C. Ziegler and J. Golbeck, "Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarity," *Decision Support Systems*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 460–475, March 2007.
- [155] C. Ziegler and G. Lausen, "Propagation models for trust and distrust in social networks," *Information Systems Frontiers*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 337–358, December 2005.
- [156] C. Ziegler, S. M. McNee, J. A. Konstan, and G. Lausen, "Improving recommendation lists through topic diversification," in ACM WWW '05, pp. 22–32, ACM, 2005.
- [157] P. Zigoris and Y. Zhang, "Bayesian adaptive user profiling with explicit & implicit feedback," in ACM CIKM '06, pp. 397–404, ACM, 2006.