

---

**Foundational Issues in  
Touch-Surface Stroke  
Gesture Design — An  
Integrative Review**

---

# Foundational Issues in Touch-Surface Stroke Gesture Design — An Integrative Review

---

**Shumin Zhai**

*Google, USA  
zhai@acm.org*

**Per Ola Kristensson**

*University of St Andrews, UK  
kristensson@acm.org*

**Caroline Appert**

*University of Paris-Sud & CNRS, France  
appert@lri.fr*

**Tue Haste Andersen**

*frog design, Milan, Italy  
tuehaste@gmail.com*

**Xiang Cao**

*Microsoft Research Asia, China  
xiangc@microsoft.com*

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston – Delft

## Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
USA  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is S. Zhai, P. O. Kristensson, C. Appert, T. H. Andersen, and X. Cao, Foundational Issues in Touch-Surface Stroke Gesture Design — An Integrative Review, Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction, vol 5, no 2, pp 97–205, 2011

ISBN: 978-1-60198-606-1

© 2012 S. Zhai, P. O. Kristensson, C. Appert, T. H. Andersen, and X. Cao

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1-781-871-0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

**Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in  
Human–Computer Interaction**

Volume 5 Issue 2, 2011

**Editorial Board**

**Editor-in-Chief:**

**Ben Bederson**

*Human–Computer Interaction Lab*

*University of Maryland*

*3171 A. V. Williams Bldg*

*20742, College Park, MD*

**Editors**

Gregory Abowd (Georgia Institute of Technology)

Jonathan Grudin (Microsoft Research)

Clayton Lewis (University of Colorado)

Jakob Nielsen (Nielsen Norman Group)

Don Norman (Nielsen Norman Group and Northwestern University)

Dan Olsen (Brigham Young University)

Gary Olson (UC Irvine)

## Editorial Scope

### Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction

will publish survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- History of the research Community
- Design and Evaluation
  - Ergonomics/Human Factors
  - Cognitive engineering and performance models
  - Predictive models of interaction
  - User-centered design processes
  - Participatory design
  - Graphic design
  - Discount evaluation techniques
  - Design and interaction
  - Ethnography
- Theory
  - Models of cognition
  - Empirical methods of evaluation
  - Qualitative methods of design and evaluation
- Technology
  - Programming the graphical user interface
  - Input technologies
  - Output technologies
- Computer supported cooperative work
  - History of CSCW in HCI
  - Organizational issues
  - Online communities
- Games
- Communication technologies
- Interdisciplinary influence
  - The role of the social sciences in HCI
  - MIS and HCI
  - Graphic design
  - Artificial intelligence and the user interface
  - Architecture and the role of the physical environment
- Advanced topics and trends
  - Information visualization
  - Web design
  - Assistive technologies
  - Multimodal interaction
  - Perception and the user interface
  - Specific user groups (children, elders, etc.)
  - Sensor-based or tangible interaction
  - Ubiquitous computing
  - Virtual reality
  - Augmented reality
  - Wearable computing
  - Design and fashion
  - Privacy and social implications

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction, 2011, Volume 5, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3955. ISSN online version 1551-3963. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in  
Human–Computer Interaction  
Vol. 5, No. 2 (2011) 97–205  
© 2012 S. Zhai, P. O. Kristensson, C. Appert,  
T. H. Andersen, and X. Cao  
DOI: 10.1561/1100000012



## Foundational Issues in Touch-Surface Stroke Gesture Design — An Integrative Review

Shumin Zhai<sup>1</sup>, Per Ola Kristensson<sup>2</sup>,  
Caroline Appert<sup>3</sup>, Tue Haste Anderson<sup>4</sup>,  
and Xiang Cao<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Google, USA, zhai@acm.org*

<sup>2</sup> *University of St Andrews, UK, kristensson@acm.org*

<sup>3</sup> *University of Paris-Sud & CNRS, France, appert@lri.fr*

<sup>4</sup> *frog design, Milan, Italy, tuehaste@gmail.com*

<sup>5</sup> *Microsoft Research Asia, China, xiangc@microsoft.com*

### Abstract

The potential for using stroke gestures to enter, retrieve and select commands and text has been recently unleashed by the popularity of touchscreen devices. This monograph provides a state-of-the-art integrative review of a body of human–computer interaction research on stroke gestures. It begins with an analysis of the design dimensions of stroke gestures as an interaction medium. The analysis classifies gestures into analogue versus abstract gestures, gestures for commands versus for symbols, gestures with different orders of complexity, visual-spatial dependent and independent gestures, and finger versus stylus drawn gestures. Gesture interfaces such as the iOS interface, the Graffiti text entry method for Palm devices, marking menus, and the

SHARK/ShapeWriter word-gesture keyboard, make different choices in this multi-dimensional design space.

The main body of this work consists of reviewing and synthesizing some of the foundational studies in the literature on stroke gesture interaction, particularly those done by the authors in the last decade. The human performance factors covered include motor control complexity, visual and auditory feedback, and human memory capabilities in dealing with gestures. Based on these foundational studies this review presents a set of design principles for creating stroke gesture interfaces. These include making gestures analogous to physical effects or cultural conventions, keeping gestures simple and distinct, defining stroke gestures systematically, making them self-revealing, supporting appropriate levels of chunking, and facilitating progress from visually guided performance to recall-driven performance. The overall theme is on making learning gestures easier while designing for long-term efficiency. Important system implementation issues of stroke gesture interfaces such as gesture recognition algorithms and gesture design toolkits are also covered in this review. The monograph ends with a few call-to-action research topics.

## Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Definition, Concepts, Characteristics, and the Design Space of Stroke Gestures</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Definition	7
2.2	Analogue versus Abstract Gestures	10
2.3	Command versus Symbol Gestures	11
2.4	Order of Complexity of Stroke Gestures	12
2.5	Visual-spatial Dependency	13
2.6	Implement and Sensor Type	15
<b>3</b>	<b>Sample Gesture Systems</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	The iPhone Gesture Interface	19
3.2	Graffiti and Unistrokes	21
3.3	Crossing UI	22
3.4	Marking Menus	23
3.5	Word-gesture Keyboard	24
<b>4</b>	<b>The Usability of Stroke Gesture as an Interaction Medium: Early Research Issues</b>	<b>29</b>



<b>5 The Motor Control Complexity of Stroke Gestures</b>	<b>33</b>
5.1 Viviani's Power Law of Curvature	34
5.2 Isokoski's Line Segments Model	34
5.3 Cao and Zhai's CLC Model	35
5.4 Applications of the CLC Model	40
<b>6 Characterizing Visual Similarities of Stroke Gestures</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>7 The Role of Feedback in Gesture Interfaces</b>	<b>45</b>
7.1 Visual Gesture Feedback	45
7.2 Audio and Other Forms of Gesture Feedback	48
7.3 Feedback and the Esthetic, Subjective, and Emotional Experience of Gesture Interfaces	53
<b>8 Memory and Cognitive Aspects of Stroke Gestures</b>	<b>57</b>
8.1 Gesture's Comparative Advantage to Lexical Commands	58
8.2 Learning Stroke Gestures is Easier than Learning Keyboard Shortcuts	59
8.3 People's Ability to Learn and Memorize Stroke Gestures	64
<b>9 Gesture Design</b>	<b>69</b>
9.1 Making Gestures Analogous to Physics or Convention	69
9.2 Making Gestures Guessable and Immediately Usable by Involving End Users Input in Design	71
9.3 Making Gestures as Simple as Possible	72
9.4 Making Gestures Distinct	72
9.5 Making Gestures Systematic	73
9.6 Making Gestures Self-revealing	74
9.7 Supporting the Right Level of Chunking	75

9.8	Supporting Progression from Ease to Efficiency	76
9.9	Providing Game-based Training with Expanding Rehearsal	79
<b>10</b>	<b>The Separation of Command from Scope Selection and Stroke Gesture from Inking</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Gesture Recognition Algorithms and Design Toolkits</b>	<b>85</b>
11.1	Recognition Algorithms	85
11.2	Stroke Gesture Toolkits	89
<b>12</b>	<b>Evaluation of Stroke Gesture Interfaces</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>From Research to Adoption</b>	<b>97</b>
13.1	Qwertynomics and the “Workstation” Hardware	97
13.2	Learning	98
13.3	Backward Compatibility	98
<b>14</b>	<b>Summary and Conclusions</b>	<b>101</b>
	<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>105</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>107</b>

# 1

---

## Introduction

---

The advent of a new generation of touchscreen smartphones and tablets is rapidly transforming the everyday computing experience of the masses. It is also shaping and changing research questions and priorities within the human–computer interaction research community. One research question is how to exploit the continuous stroke gesture capabilities that had not been previously available to most users on keyboard and mouse-based desktop and laptop computers.

In fact, stroke gesture research has a long history in the human–computer interaction (HCI) research field. Sketchpad, an early project commonly recognized as one of the beginnings of HCI research, was centered on graphical human–machine communication through stroke gestures [108]. About a decade later the influential textbook by Newman and Sproull [91] prominently featured stroke gestures as an input mechanism and described in detail how to implement a rudimentary stroke gestures recognizer. Buxton offered early insights into the cognitive functions, such as “chunking,” that gestures may play in interaction [17]. Since the 1990s, stroke gestures as an interaction modality have been explored in a wide range of research prototypes for different application domains in the HCI research literature [25, 95, 128].

## 2 Introduction

While stroke gestures have been continually explored in the HCI research literature, they only played a marginal role in HCI practice during the personal computing revolution in the 1980s and 1990s. The mouse and keyboard driven point-and-click style of Graphical User Interfaces (GUI) interfaces, also referred to as WIMP (Windows, Icons, Mouse, Pointer) interfaces, have been the dominant HCI paradigm in office and home computing for decades. The vision of using stroke gestures as an alternative way of interacting with computers, however, never ceased to exist in the research literature or in industrial and commercial efforts by companies and projects such as GO, the Apple Newton, the Palm Pilot, and the Windows Tablet. The spirit of a visionary entrepreneur in this space is well captured by Jerry Kaplan's tale of GO as a start-up venture [54]. Financially backed by Silicon Valley's best known venture capitalist John Doer and his firm (KPCB), GO offered a compelling vision of changing the fundamental user experience with pen-based computing, but nonetheless failed to gain market traction. In 1984, Casio released a wristwatch, the DB-1000, with a touchscreen that enabled the user to enter names and phone numbers by drawing them on the watch's screen. Later the Palm Pilot featuring Graffiti, a single stroke Roman letter-like gesture writing system, pioneered the mobile PDA (personal digital assistant) market and made the gesture user interface (UI) more mainstream. The success of the Blackberry smartphones turned the trend toward touchscreen and gesture UIs back to physical keyboards. Before Apple's iPhone, touchscreen and stroke gesture-based products remained on the fringe of personal computing. There could be many reasons for the difficult expansion of user computing from the keyboard and pointing device paradigm. One possible factor is that hardware limitations at the time prevented ultra-mobile devices from offering a truly good user experience. The other could be that the WIMP interfaces were good enough for most users' needs at the time. The tendency for a "good enough" but sub-optimal technology to persist in society has been theorized as path dependency by economists such as Paul David [31], but debated by others [79].

Today, stroke gestures are becoming increasingly more relevant to mainstream popular products such as the touchscreen-based smartphones and tablets. This is due to both technology-push, the

development of new technology with little regard for current market demands, and market-pull, the market need guiding new technology development. Advances in hardware, including processing power, memory capacity, bandwidth, touch sensors, and battery technology have enabled handheld devices to provide a level of computing power only possible in desktop computers a few years ago. High-quality touchscreens have also begun to turn walls and tables into interactive computer media. In the marketplace, the value of directly manipulating objects with finger gestures on mobile devices and touch sensitive surfaces is suddenly being realized by consumers and manufacturers alike.

The stroke gestures used in today's popular touchscreen products tend to be relatively simple: sliding a document for panning; sliding a virtual latch to unlock; swiping across an item to delete. These simple gestures may be a solid foundation and the beginning of a new gesture interaction era. Technologies and paradigms that start with simple and incomplete functions often are more likely to succeed than those that start with complex but powerful functions. As users gain more experience with gesture-based interaction, they may be prepared for more complex and more powerful gesture functions in order to gain interaction efficiency. On the other hand, simplicity may well be the very reason for the success of the iPhone and other recent products. Researchers and designers have to consider the cost of learning and either minimize such cost or embed it in gradual use. Indeed, understanding and facilitating gesture learning is a theme of the current review.

Along with other colleagues in the HCI field, we have investigated various aspects of stroke gestures as an interaction medium. This monograph's primary goal is to provide a synthesis, summary, and interpretation of some of our research work on stroke gestures in the past decade, in a more accessible form and from a broader perspective than the original papers. Secondly, we also selectively review some of the most fundamental behavioral research on stroke gestures by other researchers in the field. This monograph is not meant to be a complete review of stroke gesture research. The vast body of literature existing today on stroke gestures means that a complete review can easily turn into a catalogue or annotations of papers with no coherence or synthesis. We have

#### 4 Introduction

limited the scope of this monograph to stroke gestures as commands and symbols. For gestures in sketching applications, Johnson and colleague's "Computational Support for Sketching in Design: A Review" in *Foundation and Trends in Human-Computer Interaction* [51] provides an overview of the area. For early work on gesture research and commercial efforts, the reader is referred to the book chapter on "Marking Interfaces" by Buxton [16]. The reader is also referred to Norman and Nielsen's critique of the "crisis" of gestural interfaces [92], in which they address the many challenges in the current generation of gesture interfaces, particularly the lack of consistency and discoverability.

The overall goal of the monograph is to provide a scientific foundation for future research and design of stroke gesture interfaces. We have aimed for a high enough level of abstraction so that researchers and designers who are not necessarily specialized in gesture research can understand the contents easily, while maintaining enough details so that the main logic behind the development of the research issues is supported.

The rest of this monograph starts with basic concepts, terminologies, and classifications of stroke gestures. We then briefly review a few sample stroke gesture user interfaces and systems, to ground the subsequent reviews of the more general and more abstract issues in stroke gesture research and design. After a brief survey of some of the early basic usability research on stroke gestures as an interaction medium, we focus the main body of the review on some of the foundational human-performance issues concerning stroke gestures in HCI including:

1. Measuring and modeling the motor control complexity of one or a set of stroke gestures;
2. Visual similarities of gestures;
3. The effects of visual and auditory feedback on gesture strokes;
4. The cognitive and memory characteristics of using stroke gestures.

After that we switch the orientation of the review from conceptual and empirical understandings of stroke gestures to the dimensions, rules, and guidelines in designing gesture systems. The focus of

these sections is on how learning new stroke gestures can be facilitated in system design. We then deal with implementation issues in stroke gesture interfaces, including the separation of commands from scope selections, separation of stroke gestures from inking, the pros and cons of different gesture recognition algorithms, and toolkits that help programmers to design and implement stroke gestures in software applications. Finally, we summarize this monograph and raise a few key open questions, unanswered or under-explored in the research literature.

## References

---

- [1] J. Accot, “Les Tâches Trajectorielles en Interaction Homme-Machine — Cas des tâches de navigation,” Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Toulouse 1, Toulouse, France, 2001.
- [2] J. Accot and S. Zhai, “Beyond Fitts’ law: Models for trajectory-based HCI tasks,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 295–302, 1997.
- [3] J. Accot and S. Zhai, “Performance evaluation of input devices in trajectory-based tasks: An application of steering law,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 466–472, 1999.
- [4] J. Accot and S. Zhai, “More than dotting the i’s — foundations for crossing-based interfaces,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 73–80, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, 2002.
- [5] T. H. Andersen and S. Zhai, “Writing with music: Exploring the use of auditory feedback in gesture interfaces,” *ACM Transactions on Applied Perception*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 1–24, 2010.
- [6] L. Anthony and J. O. Wobbrock, “A lightweight multistroke recognizer for user interface prototypes,” in *Proceedings of Graphics Interface (GI)*, pp. 245–252, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 2010.
- [7] G. Apitz and F. Guimbretière, “CrossY: A crossing-based drawing application,” in *Proceedings of the Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface and Software Technology (UIST)*, pp. 3–12, 2004.
- [8] C. Appert and O. Bau, “Scale detection for a priori gesture recognition,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 879–882, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 2010.



108 *References*

- [9] C. Appert and M. Beaudouin-Lafon, “SwingStates: Adding state machines to Java and the swing toolkit,” *Software Practice and Experience*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 1149–1182, 2008.
- [10] C. Appert and S. Zhai, “Using strokes as command shortcuts: Cognitive benefits and toolkit support,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 2289–2298, Boston, MA, USA, 2009.
- [11] J. Arvo and K. Novins, “Fluid sketches: Continuous recognition and morphing of simple hand-drawn shapes,” in *Proceedings of the Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 73–80, San Diego, California, USA, 2000.
- [12] A. Baddeley, *Human Memory — Theory and Practice*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, Revised ed., 1998.
- [13] O. Bau and W. E. Mackay, “OctoPocus: A dynamic guide for learning gesture-based command sets,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 37–46, Monterey, CA, USA, 2008.
- [14] O. Bau, I. Poupyrev, A. Israr, and C. Harrison, “TeslaTouch: Electro-vibration for touch surfaces,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 283–292, New York City, New York, USA, October 3–6 2010.
- [15] A. Bragdon, R. Zeleznik, B. Williamson, T. Miller, and J. J. LaViola, “GestureBar: Improving the approachability of gesture-based interfaces,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 2269–2278, 2009.
- [16] B. Buxton, “Marking interfaces,” Chapter 13 of “Human Input to Computer Systems: Theories, Techniques and Technology,” <http://www.billbuxton.com/inputManuscript.html>. Unpublished manuscript, 2010.
- [17] W. Buxton, “Chunking and phrasing and the design of human-computer dialogues,” in *Proceedings of the IFIP World Computer Congress*, pp. 475–480, Dublin, Ireland, 1986.
- [18] W. Buxton, “A three-state model of graphical input,” in *Proceedings of Human-Computer Interaction (INTERACT)*, pp. 449–456, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1990.
- [19] W. Buxton, R. Hill, and P. Rowley, “Issues and techniques in touch-sensitive tablet input,” *Computer graphics, Proceedings of SIGGRAPH*, vol. 19, pp. 215–224, 1985.
- [20] J. Callahan, D. Hopkins, M. Weiser, and B. Shneiderman, “An empirical comparison of pie vs. linear menus,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, Washington, D.C., USA, 1988.
- [21] X. Cao and S. Zhai, “Modeling human performance of pen stroke gestures,” Research Report No. RJ10392. San Jose, CA: IBM Research Report RJ10392, 2006.
- [22] X. Cao and S. Zhai, “Modeling human performance of pen stroke gestures,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, San Jose, CA, USA, 2007.

- [23] S. J. Castellucci and I. S. MacKenzie, "Graffiti vs. unistrokes: An empirical comparison," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 305–308, Florence, Italy, 2008.
- [24] B.-W. Chang and D. Ungar, "Animation: From cartoons to the user interface," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (USIT)*, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 1993.
- [25] S. Chatty and P. Lecoanet, "Pen computing for air traffic control," in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, 1996.
- [26] E. Clarke, "Rhythm and timing in music," in Chapter 13: *The Psychology of Music*, pp. 473–500, Academic Press, 1999.
- [27] A. Cockburn, P. O. Kristensson, J. Alexander, and S. Zhai, "Hard lessons: Effort-inducing interfaces benefit spatial learning," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, San Jose, California, USA, 2007.
- [28] F. Coulmas, *The Writing Systems of the World*. Oxford: Blackwell, 1989.
- [29] F. Craik and R. Lockhart, "Levels of processing: A framework for memory research," *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, vol. 11, pp. 671–684, 1972.
- [30] E. R. F. W. Crossman, "A theory of acquisition of speed-skill," *Ergonomics*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 153–166, 1959.
- [31] P. A. David, "Clio and the economics of QWERTY," *American Economic Review*, vol. 75, pp. 332–337, 1985.
- [32] T. L. Dimond, "Devices for reading handwritten characters," in *Proceedings of Eastern Joint Computer Conference of American Federation of Information Processing (EJCC)*, pp. 232–237, 1957.
- [33] R. O. Duda, P. E. Hart, and D. G. Stork, *Pattern Classification*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2001.
- [34] P. Ekman and W. Friesen, "Hand movements," *Journal of Communications*, vol. 22, pp. 353–374, 1972.
- [35] P. M. Fitts, "The information capacity of the human motor system in controlling the amplitude of movement," *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, vol. 47, pp. 381–391, 1954.
- [36] H. Freeman, "On the encoding of arbitrary geometric configurations," *IRE Transactions on Electronic Computers*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 260–268, 1961.
- [37] J. J. Gibson, *The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1979.
- [38] D. Goldberg and C. Richardson, "Touch-typing with a stylus," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (INTERCHI)*, pp. 80–87, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1993.
- [39] J. D. Gould and J. Salaun, "Behavioral experiments on handmarkings," *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 358–377, 1987.
- [40] S. Greenberg and B. Buxton, "Usability evaluation considered harmful (some of the time)," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 111–120, Florence, Italy, 2008.

110 *References*

- [41] T. Grossman, P. Dragicevic, and R. Balakrishnan, "Strategies for accelerating on-line learning of hotkeys," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 1591–1600, San Jose, California, USA, 2007.
- [42] T. R. Henry, S. E. Hudson, and G. L. Newell, "Integrating gesture and snapping into a user interface toolkit," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 112–122, 1990.
- [43] K. Hinckley, P. Baudisch, G. Ramos, and F. Guimbretiere, "Design and analysis of delimiters for selection-action pen gesture phrases in Scriboli," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 451–460, 2005.
- [44] K. Hinckley, K. Yatani, M. Pahud, N. Coddington, J. Rodenhouse, A. Wilson, H. Benko, and B. Buxton, "Pen + touch = new tools," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 27–36, 2010.
- [45] K. Hinckley, S. Zhao, R. Sarin, P. Baudisch, E. Cutrell, and M. Shilman et al., "InkSeine: In situ search for active note taking," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 251–260, San Jose, California, USA, 2007.
- [46] C. Holz and P. Baudisch, "Understanding touch," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 2501–2510, Vancouver, Canada, 2011.
- [47] J. I. Hong and J. A. Landay, "SATIN: A toolkit for informal ink-based applications," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 63–72, San Diego, California, USA, 2000.
- [48] D. Hopkins, "The design and implementation of pie menus," *Dr. Dobb's Journal*, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 16–26, 1991.
- [49] T. Igarashi, S. Matsuoka, and H. Tanaka, "Teddy: A sketching interface for 3D freeform design," in *Proceedings of the ACM SIGGRAPH Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*, 1999.
- [50] P. Isokoski, "Model for unistroke writing time," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 357–364, Seattle, Washington, USA, 2001.
- [51] G. Johnson, M. D. Gross, J. Hong, and E. Y.-L. Do, "Computational support for sketching in design: A review," *Foundations and Trends in Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2008.
- [52] M. Johnson, *The Body in the Mind: The Bodily Basis of Meaning, Imagination, and Reason*. University of Chicago Press, 1990.
- [53] D. Kahneman and A. Tversky, "Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk," *Econometrica*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 263–292, 1979.
- [54] J. Kaplan, *Startup: A Silicon Valley Adventure*. New York: Penguin, 1996.
- [55] R. M. Kohl and C. H. Shea, "Pew(1966) revisited: Acquisition of hierarchical control as a function of observational practice," *Journal of Motor Behavior*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 247–260, 1992.

- [56] T. Költringer and T. Grechenig, “Comparing the immediate usability of Graffiti 2 and virtual keyboard,” in *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 1175–1178, Vienna, Austria, April 24–29 2004.
- [57] P. O. Kristensson, “Discrete and continuous shape writing for text entry and control,” Ph. D. Thesis, Linköping University, Sweden, 2007.
- [58] P. O. Kristensson and L. C. Denby, “Continuous recognition and visualization of pen strokes and touch-screen gestures,” in *Proceedings of the Eighth Eurographics Symposium on Sketch-Based Interfaces and Modeling (SBIM)*, pp. 95–102, New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2011. Doi=10.1145/2021164.2021181 <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2021164.2021181>.
- [59] P. O. Kristensson and S. Zhai, “SHARK<sup>2</sup>: A large vocabulary shorthand writing system for pen-based computers,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 43–52, 2004.
- [60] P. O. Kristensson and S. Zhai, “Command strokes with and without preview: Using pen gestures on keyboard for command selection,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 1137–1146, San Jose, CA, USA, 2007.
- [61] P. O. Kristensson and S. Zhai, “Learning shape writing by game playing,” in *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 1971–1976, San Jose, CA, USA, 2007.
- [62] G. Kurtenbach and B. Buxton, “GEdit: A test bed for editing by contiguous gestures,” *SIGCHI Bulletin*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 22–26, 1991.
- [63] G. Kurtenbach and W. Buxton, “Issues in combining marking and direct manipulation techniques,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 137–144, 1991.
- [64] G. Kurtenbach and W. Buxton, “User learning and performance with marking menus,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 258–264, 1994.
- [65] G. Kurtenbach, G. W. Fitzmaurice, R. N. Owen, and T. Baudel, “The hot-box: Efficient access to a large number of menu-items,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA, 1999.
- [66] G. Kurtenbach and T. P. Moran, “Contextual animation of gestural commands,” *Eurographics Computer Graphics Forum*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 305–314, 1994.
- [67] G. Kurtenbach, A. Sellen, and W. Buxton, “An empirical evaluation of some articulatory and cognitive aspects of marking menus,” *Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–23, 1993.
- [68] T. K. Landauer and R. A. Bjork, “Optimum rehearsal patterns and name learning,” in *Practical Aspects of Memory*, (M. M. Gruneberg, P. E. Morris, and R. N. Sykes, eds.), pp. 625–632, London: Academic Press, 1978.
- [69] J. A. Landay and B. A. Myers, “Extending an existing user interface toolkit to support gesture recognition,” in *Proceedings of INTERACT '93 and CHI '93 Conference Companion on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 91–92, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1993.

112 *References*

- [70] J. A. Landay and B. A. Myers, "Interactive sketching for the early stages of user interface design," in *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 43–50, Denver, Colorado, USA, 1995.
- [71] E. Lank and E. Saund, "Sloppy selection: Providing an accurate interpretation of imprecise selection gestures," *Computers and Graphics*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 490–500, 2005.
- [72] S. Lee and S. Zhai, "The performance of touch screen soft buttons," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 309–318, 2009.
- [73] A. Leganchuk, S. Zhai, and W. Buxton, "Manual and cognitive benefits of two-handed input: An experimental study," *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 326–359, 1998.
- [74] Y. Li, "Gesture search: A tool for fast mobile data access," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 87–96, 2010.
- [75] Y. Li, "Protractor: A fast and accurate gesture recognizer," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 2169–2172, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 2010.
- [76] Y. Li, K. Hinckley, Z. Guan, and J. A. Landay, "Experimental analysis of mode switching techniques in pen-based user interfaces," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 461–470, Portland, Oregon, USA, 2005.
- [77] H. Lieberman, *The Tyranny of Evaluation, CHI Place Essay*. 2003. Retrieved 2/5, 2010 <http://web.media.mit.edu/~lieber/Misc/Tyranny-Evaluation.html>.
- [78] S. Liebowitz and S. E. Margolis, "Typing errors," *Reason Magazine*, <http://reason.com/9606/Fe.QWERTY.shtml>, 1996.
- [79] S. J. Liebowitz and S. E. Margolis, "The fable of the keys," *Journal of Law and Economics*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 1–25, 1990.
- [80] A. C. Long, J. A. Landay, and L. A. Rowe, "Implications for a gesture design tool," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 40–47, 1999.
- [81] A. C. Long, L. A. Landay, and L. A. Rowe, "Those look similar! Issues in automating gesture design advice," in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Perceptive User Interfaces (PUI)*, pp. 1–5, Orlando, Florida, USA, 2001.
- [82] A. C. Long, L. A. Landay, L. A. Rowe, and J. Michiels, "Visual similarity of pen gestures," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 360–367, 2000.
- [83] G. Lucchese, M. Field, J. Ho, R. Gutierrez-Osuna, and T. Hammond, "GestureCommander: Continuous touch-based gesture prediction," in *Extended Abstracts of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA)*, pp. 1925–1930, New York, NY, USA, 2012. DOI=10.1145/2212776.2223730 <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2212776.2223730>.
- [84] I. S. MacKenzie and S. X. Zhang, "The immediate usability of Graffiti," in *Proceedings of Graphics Interface (GI)*, pp. 129–137, Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada, 1997.

- [85] J. Mankoff and G. D. Abowd, "Cirrin: A word-level unistroke keyboard for pen input," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, Technical Note, pp. 213–214, 1998.
- [86] M. S. Mayzner and M. E. Tresselt, "Tables of single-letter and digram frequency counts for various word-length and letter-position combinations," *Psychonomic Monograph Supplements*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 13–32, 1965.
- [87] S. Mitra and T. Acharya, "Gesture recognition: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics — Part C: Applications and Reviews*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 311–324, 2007.
- [88] T. P. Moran and S. Zhai, "Beyond the desktop metaphor in seven dimensions," in *Beyond the Desktop Metaphor — Designing Integrated Digital Work Environments*, (V. Kaptelinin and M. Czerwinski, eds.), pp. 335–354, The MIT Press, 2007.
- [89] P. Morrel-Samuels, "Clarifying the distinction between lexical and gestural commands," *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 581–590, 1990.
- [90] M. W. Newman, J. Lin, J. I. Hong, and J. A. Landay, "DENIM: An informal web site design tool inspired by observations of practice," *Human-Computer Interact*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 259–324, 2003.
- [91] W. M. Newman and R. F. Sproull, *Principles of Interactive Computer Graphics*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1979.
- [92] D. Norman and J. Nielsen, "Gestural interfaces: A step backwards in usability," *Interactions*, vol. 17, pp. 46–49, 2010.
- [93] D. A. Norman, *Emotional Design: Why We Love (or Hate) Everyday Things*. Basic Books, 2003.
- [94] D. R. J. Olsen, "Evaluating user interface systems research," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 251–258, Newport, Rhode Island, USA, 2007.
- [95] E. R. Pedersen, K. McCall, T. P. Moran, and F. G. Halasz, "Tivoli: An electronic whiteboard for informal workgroup meetings," in *Proceedings of INTERACT '93 and CHI '93 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 391–398, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1993.
- [96] K. Perlin, "Quikwriting: Continuous stylus-based text entry," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, Technical Note, pp. 215–216, 1998.
- [97] A. Pirhonen, S. Brewster, and C. Holguin, "Gestural and audio metaphors as a means of control for mobile devices," in *Proceedings of the Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI): Changing Our World, Changing Ourselves*, 2002.
- [98] R. W. Proctor and A. Dutta, *Skill Acquisition and Human Performance*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 1995.
- [99] G. Ramos, M. Boulos, and R. Balakrishnan, "Pressure widgets," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 487–494, Vienna, Austria, 2004.
- [100] D. Rubine, "Specifying gestures by example," in *Proceedings of the ACM SIGGRAPH Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*, pp. 329–337, 1991.

114 *References*

- [101] S. Russell and P. Norvig, *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. Prentice Hall, 2nd ed., 2002.
- [102] R. A. Schmidt and R. Bjork, “New conceptualizations of practice: Common principles in three paradigms suggest concepts for training,” *Psychological Science*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 207–217, 1992.
- [103] R. A. Schmidt and T. D. Lee, *Motor Control & Learning: A Behavioral Emphasis*. Human Kinetics, 5th ed., 2011.
- [104] W. Schneider and R. M. Shiffrin, “Controlled and automatic human information processing: I. detection, search, and attention,” *Psychological Review*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 1–66, 1977.
- [105] W. Schneider and R. M. Shiffrin, “Controlled and automatic human information processing: II. perceptual learning, automatic attending and a general theory,” *Psychological Review*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 127–190, 1977.
- [106] B. Signer, M. C. Norrie, and U. Kurmann, “iGesture: A Java framework for the development and deployment of stroke-based online gesture recognition algorithms,” Technical Report ETH Zurich, TR561, 2007.
- [107] M. Smyth and G. Silvers, “Functions of vision in the control of handwriting,” *Acta Psychologica*, vol. 66, pp. 47–64, 1987.
- [108] I. E. Sutherland, “Sketchpad: A man-machine graphical communication system,” MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Technical Report No. 296, 1963.
- [109] C. C. Tappert, “Cursive script recognition by elastic matching,” *IBM Journal of Research & Development*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 756–771, 1982.
- [110] C. C. Tappert, C. Y. Suen, and T. Wakahara, “The state of the art in on-line handwriting recognition,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 12, no. 8, 1990.
- [111] H. Tu, X. Ren, and S. Zhai, “A comparative evaluation of finger and pen stroke gestures,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 1287–1296, 2012.
- [112] E. Tulving, “Introduction to memory,” in *The New Cognitive Sciences*, (M. S. Gazzaniga, ed.), pp. 727–732, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2nd ed., 2000.
- [113] R. van Doorn and P. Keuss, “The role of vision in the temporal and spatial control of handwriting,” *Acta Psychologica*, vol. 81, pp. 269–286, 1992.
- [114] R.-D. Vatavu, “The effect of sampling rate on the performance of template-based gesture recognizers,” in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Multimodal Interfaces*, pp. 271–278, Alicante, Spain, 2011.
- [115] D. Venolia and F. Neiberg, “T-cube: A fast, self-disclosing pen-based alphabet,” in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 265–270, 1994.
- [116] P. Viviani and T. Flash, “Minimum-jerk, two-third power law, and isochrony: Converging approaches to movement planning,” *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 32–53, 1995.
- [117] P. Viviani and C. Terzuolo, “Trajectory determines movement dynamics,” *Neuroscience*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 431–437, 1982.

- [118] F. Wang, X. Cao, X. Ren, and P. Irani, "Detecting and leveraging finger orientation for interaction with direct-touch surfaces," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, Victoria, BC, Canada, 2009.
- [119] D. B. Willingham, "A neuropsychological theory of motor skill learning," *Psychological Review*, vol. 105, pp. 558–584, 1998.
- [120] J. O. Wobbrock, M. R. Morris, and A. D. Wilson, "User-defined gestures for surface computing," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, 2009.
- [121] J. O. Wobbrock and B. A. Myers, "Gestural text entry on multiple devices," in *Proceedings of the ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*, pp. 184–185, Baltimore, MD, USA, 2005.
- [122] J. O. Wobbrock and B. A. Myers, "Trackball text entry for people with motor impairments," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 479–488, 2006.
- [123] J. O. Wobbrock, B. A. Myers, and D. H. Chau, "In-stroke word completion," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 333–336, Montreux, Switzerland, 2006.
- [124] J. O. Wobbrock, B. A. Myers, and J. A. Kembel, "EdgeWrite: A stylus-based text entry method designed for high accuracy and stability of motion," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 61–70, 2003.
- [125] J. O. Wobbrock, A. D. Wilson, and Y. Li, "Gestures without libraries, toolkits or training: A \$1 recognizer for user interface prototypes," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, pp. 159–168, Newport, Rhode Island, USA, 2007.
- [126] C. G. Wolf, "Can people use gesture commands?," *ACM SIGCHI Bulletin*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 73–74, 1986.
- [127] C. G. Wolf and P. Morrel-Samuels, "The use of hand-drawn gestures for text editing," *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 91–102, 1987.
- [128] C. G. Wolf and J. R. Rhyne, "Gesturing with shared drawing tools," in *Proceedings of INTERACT '93 and CHI '93 Conference Companion on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1993.
- [129] J. Yin, X. Ren, and S. Zhai, "Pen pressure control in trajectory-based interaction," *Behaviour & Information Technology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 137–148, 2010.
- [130] R. C. Zeleznik, K. P. Herndon, and J. F. Hughes, "SKETCH: An interface for sketching 3D scenes," in *Proceedings of the ACM SIGGRAPH Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*, 1996.
- [131] S. Zhai, "Evaluation is the worst form of HCI research except all those other forms that have been tried," *CHI Place Essay*, Retrieved 2/5, 2010 from <http://www.almaden.ibm.com/u/zhai/papers/EvaluationDemocracy.htm>, 2003.
- [132] S. Zhai, J. Accot, and R. Woltjer, "Human action laws in electronic virtual worlds — an empirical study of path steering performance in VR," *Presence*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 113–127, 2004.



116 *References*

- [133] S. Zhai, M. Hunter, and B. A. Smith, "Performance optimization of virtual keyboards," *Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 17, no. 2, 3, pp. 89–129, 2002.
- [134] S. Zhai and P.-O. Kristensson, "Shorthand writing on stylus keyboard," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 97–104, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA, 2003.
- [135] S. Zhai and P. O. Kristensson, "Introduction to shape writing," IBM Research Report RJ10393, also as Chapter 7 of I. S. MacKenzie and K. Tanaka-Ishii (eds.), *Text Entry Systems: Mobility, Accessibility, Universality*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, pp. 139–158, 2006.
- [136] S. Zhai and P. O. Kristensson, "The word-gesture keyboard: Reimagining keyboard interaction," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 91–101, 2012.
- [137] S. Zhai, P. O. Kristensson, P. Gong, M. Greiner, S. A. Peng, and L. M. Liu et al., "Shapewriter on the iPhone: From the laboratory to the real world," in *Extended Abstracts of ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI) (Design Practice)*, pp. 2667–2670, Boston, MA, USA, 2009.
- [138] S. Zhai, A. Sue, and J. Accot, "Movement model, hits distribution and learning in virtual keyboarding," in *Proceedings of the ACM conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 17–24, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, 2002.
- [139] S. Zhao and R. Balakrishnan, "Simple vs. compound mark hierarchical marking menus," in *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST)*, Santa Fe, NM, USA, 2004.
- [140] S. Zhao, P. Dragicevic, M. Chignell, R. Balakrishnan, and P. Baudisch, "Ear-pod: Eyes-free menu selection using touch input and reactive audio feedback," in *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*, pp. 1395–1404, San Jose, California, USA, 2007.