

# **Modes of Uncertainty in HCI**

**Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction**

*Designs on Transcendence: Sketches of a TX machine*

Mark Blythe and Elizabeth Buie

ISBN: 978-1-68083-846-6

*Auditing Algorithms: Understanding Algorithmic Systems from the Outside In*

Danaë Metaxa, Joon Sung Park, Ronald E. Robertson, Karrie Karahalios, Christo Wilson, Jeff Hancock and Christian Sandvig

ISBN: 978-1-68083-916-6

*Design Framework for Interactive Highlighting Techniques*

Joshua Leung and Andy Cockburn

ISBN: 978-1-68083-924-1

*Post-WIMP Interaction for Information Visualization*

Bongshin Lee, Arjun Srinivasan, Petra Isenberg and John Stasko

ISBN: 978-1-68083-826-8

*Improving HCI with Brain Input: Review, Trends, and Outlook*

Erin T. Solovey and Felix Putzer

ISBN: 978-1-68083-814-5

# Modes of Uncertainty in HCI

---

**Robert Soden**

University of Toronto  
soden@cs.toronto.edu

**Laura Devendorf**

University of Colorado Boulder  
laura.devendorf@colorado.edu

**Richmond Wong**

Georgia Tech  
rwong34@gatech.edu

**Yoko Akama**

MIT University  
yoko.akama@mit.edu.au

**Ann Light**

University of Sussex  
Ann.Light@sussex.ac.uk

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

# Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
United States  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

R. Soden *et al.*. *Modes of Uncertainty in HCI*. Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 317–426, 2022.

ISBN: 978-1-63828-055-2

© 2022 R. Soden *et al.*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The ‘services’ for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human-Computer Interaction

Volume 15, Issue 4, 2022

## Editorial Board

### Editor-in-Chief

**Youn-Kyung Lim**

*Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology*

### Editors

Ben Bederson

*University of Maryland*

Madeline Balaam

*KTH Royal Institute of Technology*

Eun Kyoung Choe

*University of Maryland, College Park*

Andy Cockburn

*University of Canterbury*

Karrie Karahalios

*University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign*

Uichin Lee

*Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology*

Florian Mueller

*Exertion Games Lab, RMIT University*

Bilge Mutlu

*University of Wisconsin-Madison*

Marianna Obrist

*University of Sussex*

Nuria Oliver

*Telefonica*

Sameer Patil

*Indiana University Bloomington*

Orit Shaer

*Wellesley College*

Desney S. Tan

*Microsoft Research*

Koji Yatani

*University of Tokyo*

## Editorial Scope

### Topics

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- History of the research community
- Theory
- Technology
- Computer Supported Cooperative Work
- Interdisciplinary influence
- Advanced topics and trends

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Human–Computer Interaction, 2022, Volume 15, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3955. ISSN online version 1551-3963. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

## Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Four Modes of Uncertainty</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Mode 1: Disciplining Uncertainty . . . . .	14
3.2	Mode 2: The Politics of Uncertainty . . . . .	22
3.3	Mode 3: Uncertainty as Generative . . . . .	27
3.4	Mode 4: Uncertainty as Affect . . . . .	34
<b>4</b>	<b>Case Studies</b>	<b>41</b>
4.1	Modes of Uncertainty in Flood Risk Modeling . . . . .	41
4.2	Approaching Uncertainty From the Perspective of a Caregiver . . . . .	50
4.3	Uncertainty in the Design of Cybersecurity Toolkits . . . . .	57
4.4	Uncertainties of Designing with and for a Community Online . . . . .	67
<b>5</b>	<b>Further Considerations</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>88</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>90</b>

## Preface

---

“We hope this finds you well during these difficult and uncertain times.”

The nature of writing about uncertainty is that it is an uncertain pursuit. I had no idea when I would get the perspective needed to write something external. Any sense of urgency to share material had given way to a concern with survival and a reassessment of priorities. And the material I had seemed old and tired now that a new crisis had come. Maybe it is more important that I spend my time helping people on my street rather than pontificating on uncertain futures, I wondered. If there is no business as usual, what should we make together and in what arenas?

So, “normality” and “certainty” were casualties and it is salutary to be forced to think what this means in one’s own life rather than just theorizing about it in a workshop, as I did at the start of lockdown, or in a book. During the pandemic and its aftermath, my world shrank and all my old usual things, like travel, eating out and theatre, disappeared from my life and a new wave of things came in. But what does it take to qualify for that familiarity, that frequency of occurrence? To be usual? When does a life lived differently tip from “rare” and “special” to normal?



If we answer that, we commit to a new way of thinking about our lives. We accept that we can change fast and flex often. If my usual is now the tiny world of my Zoom account and a few rooms, on what scale are we judging our lives now? What has happened to our temporalities? Who am I? (Light, notes, March 2020)

Though we didn't plan it this way, this monograph was produced at a time when uncertainty seemed to be at the forefront of everyone's minds (and in the "hope you are well" salutations of our emails). The rapid succession of lockdowns, conflicting public health guidance, and frenzied attempts to understand COVID-19 that so many of us experienced during the early days of the pandemic gave way to a prolonged, grinding, succession of losses. We fervently tracked infection rates, death counts, and, more recently, vaccination numbers, knowing these data didn't begin to describe the world we were living through. The urge to grab hold of something firm during such moments is a powerful one, but, as we will argue, not the only option available to us. COVID-19 will not be the last crisis, and perhaps not even the last pandemic, of the early 21st century. Expanding our set of tools for navigating and thinking with and about uncertainty will therefore be a necessary undertaking, in our personal and scholarly lives alike.

# Modes of Uncertainty in HCI

Robert Soden<sup>1</sup>, Laura Devendorf<sup>2</sup>, Richmond Wong<sup>3</sup>, Yoko Akama<sup>4</sup>  
and Ann Light<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*University of Toronto, Canada; soden@cs.toronto.edu*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Colorado Boulder, USA; laura.devendorf@colorado.edu*

<sup>3</sup>*Georgia Tech, USA; rwong34@gatech.edu*

<sup>4</sup>*RMIT University, Australia; yoko.akama@rmit.edu.au*

<sup>5</sup>*University of Sussex, UK; Ann.Light@sussex.ac.uk*

---

## ABSTRACT

This monograph examines how HCI conceptualizes, situates, and responds to uncertainty—particularly arguing that our ability to respond to such uncertainties is governed to a great extent by the concepts we use to enframe a single, encompassing, overburdened and slippery idea. We propose four distinct “modes of uncertainty” as a means to begin to draw together the varied strands of work in HCI that address uncertainty in its many forms. The first, and most common, mode is to treat uncertainty as something in need of taming or disciplining. The second mode is to treat uncertainty as generative, or as a resource that can assist in human practices. The third is to look to the politics that shape how we encounter uncertainties and the fourth mode attends to the lived experience of uncertainty through affective dimension.

Rather than focus on uncertainty as a discrete phenomenon in the world to be studied, we look to how research goals, methods, and theoretical frames used in HCI research influence the various ways in which we encounter it. By switching from uncertainty (noun) to modes of engaging uncertainty

---

Robert Soden, Laura Devendorf, Richmond Wong, Yoko Akama and Ann Light (2022), “Modes of Uncertainty in HCI”, *Human–Computer Interaction*: Vol. 15, No. 4, pp 317–426. DOI: 10.1561/1100000085.

©2022 R. Soden *et al.*

(verb), we foreground uncertainty as a relational concept. We show that it is an active and ongoing condition that designers and researchers make present in different fashions depending upon their priorities and the context in which they are working. We will show that adding modes of uncertainty to our conceptual toolbox facilitates conversation between domains as diverse as disaster risk, maternal health, cybersecurity, and community organizing and lets us draw new connections between disparate areas of research including visualization studies, critical design, feminist epistemologies, and sustainability.

---

# 1

---

## Introduction

---

Uncertainty is a prevalent characteristic of contemporary life and a central challenge of HCI. As humans, researchers, and designers we encounter uncertainty in a multitude of forms and a variety of settings. Many of our field sites and critical research areas, from big data to crisis informatics and sustainable HCI, or politics, health, cybersecurity, and cross-cultural dialogue are settings characterized by high degrees of uncertainty. The growing attention to uncertainty in HCI is due, in part, to the ever increasing expansion of the field and questions and contexts to which we seek to apply HCI research and practice. But events in the world – a global pandemic, climate change, political turmoil, the increased economic upheaval faced by many professions – are also forcing us to engage more directly with questions related to uncertainty. Apace with, or perhaps in response to these changes, society is turning more than ever to data as a means to enable or mediate our understanding of these phenomena, the episteme of which is fundamentally characterized by questions of probability, margin of error, standard deviation, and p-values, each of which is fundamentally about circumscribing or managing uncertainty.

This monograph examines how HCI conceptualizes, situates, and responds to uncertainty—particularly arguing that our ability to respond to such uncertainties is governed to a great extent by the concepts we use to enframe a single, encompassing, overburdened and slippery idea. HCI in fact has had quite a bit to say on the topic over its history. Indeed, design research methods have often been portrayed as tools for coping with uncertainty in product development processes. Elsewhere in the field, colleagues have investigated effective means for visualizing uncertainty, explored the benefits of ambiguity to user appropriation, or critiqued the precarity imposed upon workers in the so-called “sharing economy.” Despite the myriad and diverse appearances of uncertainty in HCI, we don’t currently possess the necessary conceptual apparatus to bring these varied studies into conversation. As a result, we often end up talking past each other when we might otherwise be collaborating.

In what follows, we propose four distinct “modes of uncertainty” as a means to begin to draw together the varied strands of work in HCI that address uncertainty in its many forms. The first, and most common mode is to treat uncertainty as something in need of taming or disciplining. Here, uncertainty is often encountered as a problem. This is perhaps unsurprising given our field’s close connections to computer science and engineering. Western science has traditionally formulated uncertainty as problematic, or something to be overcome through progressive advances in science and philosophy. Indeed for many scholars, an asymptotic pursuit of certainty is in fact one of the defining characteristics of Modernity (Toulmin, 1992). Despite the ways in which quantum physics has complicated the issue and increased attention to so-called “wicked problems” or “post-normal” science, the disciplining perspective continues to motivate much of HCI research on uncertainty. In contrast, a second mode is to treat uncertainty as generative, or as a resource that can assist in human practices. Here uncertainty is both inevitable and, if artfully deployed, a resource for the design of artifacts and systems. A third mode of uncertainty is to look to the politics that shape how we encounter uncertainties. Finally, the fourth mode attends to the lived experience of uncertainty through affective dimensions.

The word *mode* comes from the Latin word for “method”, and for our purposes designates a particular approach, or relationship toward uncertainty.<sup>1</sup> Rather than focus on uncertainty as a discrete phenomenon in the world to be studied, we instead look to how research goals, methods, and theoretical frames used in HCI research influence the various ways in which we encounter it. By switching from uncertainty (noun) to modes of engaging uncertainty (verb), we foreground uncertainty as a relational concept. We show that it is an active and ongoing condition that designers and researchers make present in different fashions depending upon their priorities and the context in which they are working. We will show that adding modes of uncertainty to our conceptual toolbox facilitates conversation between domains as diverse as disaster risk, maternal health, cybersecurity and community organizing and lets us draw new connections between disparate areas of research including visualization studies, critical design, feminist epistemologies, and sustainability.

---

<sup>1</sup>Well into this project, a friend recommended the edited volume *Modes of Uncertainty* by anthropologists Samimian-Darash and Rabinow (2015). Though we found the book an interesting and important contribution, our project and thus our use of the concept of “modes” is quite different.

## References

---

- Agid, S. (2014). “Making anyway: Education, designing Abolition”. *Journal of the Cultural Studies Association*.
- Agid, S. and Y. Akama (2018). “Dance of designing: Rethinking position, relation and movement in service design”. In: *ServDes2018: Proof of Concept*. Ed. by A. Meroni. Milan, Italy: Politecnico Di Milano.
- Agrafiotis, I. *et al.* (2018). “A taxonomy of cyber-harms: Defining the impacts of cyber-attacks and understanding how they propagate”. *Journal of Cybersecurity*. 4(1): 1–15.
- Agre, P. E. (1997). *Computation and Human Experience*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ahn, S. J. G., E. T. Cripe, B. Foucault Welles, S. C. McGregor, K. E. Pearce, N. Usher, and J. Vitak (2021). “Academic caregivers on organizational and community resilience in academia (fuck individual resilience)”. *Communication, Culture and Critique*.
- Akama, Y. (2015). “Being Awake to Ma: Designing in between-Ness as a way of becoming with”. *CoDesign*. 11(3–4). DOI: [10.1080/15710882.2015.1081243](https://doi.org/10.1080/15710882.2015.1081243).
- Akama, Y. (2019). “A finger pointing to the moon: Absence, emptiness and Ma in design”. In: *Undesign: Critical Practices at the Intersection of Art and Design*. Ed. by G. Coombs, A. McNamara, and G. Sade. Abingdon and New York: Routledge. 111–121.

- Akama, Y. and A. Light (2018). “Practices of readiness: Punctuation poise and the contingencies of participatory design”. In: *Proc. PDC’18*.
- Akama, Y., A. Light, and S. Bowen (2017b). “Mindfulness and technology: Traces of a middle way”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems, DIS’17*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 345–355.
- Akama, Y., A. Light, and T. Kamihira (2020). “Expanding participation to design with more-than-human concerns”. In: *PDC’20*. Vol. 1. DOI: [10.1145/3385010.3385016](https://doi.org/10.1145/3385010.3385016).
- Akama, Y., D. Evans, S. Keen, F. McMillan, M. McMillan, and P. West (2017a). “Designing digital and creative scaffolds to strengthen indigenous nations: Being Wiradjuri by practising Sovereignty”. *Journal of Digital Creativity*. (Special Issue on Digital Citizenship): 1–15. DOI: [10.1080/14626268.2017.1291525](https://doi.org/10.1080/14626268.2017.1291525).
- Akama, Y., S. Pink, and S. Sumartojo (2018). *Uncertainty and Possibility: New Approaches to Future Making in Design Anthropology*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Alkhatib, A. (2021). “To live in their utopia: Why algorithmic systems create absurd outcomes”. In: *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–9.
- Amoore, L. (2013). *The Politics of Possibility: Risk and Security Beyond Probability*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Ananny, M. and K. Crawford (2018). “Seeing without knowing: Limitations of the transparency ideal and its application to algorithmic accountability”. *New Media and Society*. 20(3): 973–989.
- Andersen, K. *et al.* (2018). “Disruptive improvisations: Making use of non-deterministic art practices in HCI”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 1–8.
- Anderson, R. and J. Kolko (2008). “On addressing wicked problems. . .”. *Interactions*. 15(5): 80. DOI: [10.1145/1390085.1390103](https://doi.org/10.1145/1390085.1390103).
- Aragon, C., S. Guha, M. Kogan, M. Muller, and G. Neff (2022). *Human-Centered Data Science: An Introduction*. MIT Press.
- Auger, J. (2013). “Speculative design: Crafting the speculation”. *Digital Creativity*. 24(1): 11–35.



- Avle, S., S. Lindtner, and K. Williams (2017). “How methods make designers”. In: *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems—Proceedings*, May. 472–483. DOI: [10.1145/3025453.3025864](https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025864).
- Bachler, B. (2020). “Slowness, Streams, and Networks in the More-than-human World: Prototyping an Internet of Things for Water”. *Journal of Science and Technology of the Arts*. 12(3): 25–44.
- Balaam, M., R. Comber, E. Jenkins, S. Sutton, and A. Garbett (2015). “FeedFinder: A location-mapping mobile application for breastfeeding women”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '15*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1709–1718.
- Balaam, M., R. Comber, R. E. Clarke, C. Windlin, A. Stahl, K. Höök, and G. Fitzpatrick (2019). “Emotion work in experience-centered design”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '19*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 602:1–602:12.
- Barabas, C., C. Doyle, J. B. Rubinovitz, and K. Dinakar (2020). “Studying up: Reorienting the study of algorithmic fairness around issues of power”. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*. January. 167–176.
- Barad, K. (2007). *Meeting the Universe Halfway: Quantum Physics and the Entanglement of Matter and Meaning*. Duke University Press.
- Barclay, L., L. Everitt, F. Rogan, V. Schmied, and A. Wyllie (1997). “Becoming a mother—an analysis of women’s experience of early motherhood”. *J. Adv. Nurs*. 25: 719–728. DOI: [10.1046/j.1365-2648.1997.t01-1-1997025719.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.1997.t01-1-1997025719.x).
- Bardzell, S. (2018). “Utopias of participation: Feminism, design, and the futures”. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. 25(1): 1–24.
- Bardzell, S. and J. Bardzell (2011). “Towards a feminist HCI methodology: social science, feminism, and HCI”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI'11)*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 675–684. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979041>.

- Bardzell, J. and S. Bardzell (2013). “What is ‘critical’ about critical design?” In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems—CHI ’13*. New York, USA: ACM Press. 3297. DOI: [10.1145/2470654.2466451](https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2466451).
- Bardzell, J. and S. Bardzell (2015). “Humanistic HCI”. *Synthesis Lectures on Human-Centered Informatics*. 8(4): 1–185.
- Barrios, R. E. (2017). *Governing Affect: Neoliberalism and Disaster Reconstruction*. U of Nebraska Press.
- Bauman, Z. (2000). *Modernity and the Holocaust*. Cornell University Press.
- Beck, E. E. (2002). “P for political: Participation is not enough”. *Scandinavian Journal of Information Systems*. 14(1).
- Beck, U. (2006). “Living in the world risk society”. *Economy and Society*. 35(3): 329–345.
- Bennett, J. (2010). *Vibrant Matter: A Political Ecology of Things*. unknown edn. Durham: Duke University Press Books.
- Bennett, C. L., B. Peil, and D. K. Rosner (2019). “Biographical prototypes: Reimagining recognition and disability in design”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 on Designing Interactive Systems Conference—DIS ’19*. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. 35–47.
- Bica, M., J. L. Demuth, J. E. Dykes, and L. Palen (2019). “Communicating hurricane risks: Multi-method examination of risk imagery diffusion”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. April. ACM. 315.
- Bidwell, N. (2010). “Ubuntu in the network: humanness in social capital in rural Africa”. *Interactions*. 17(2(March + April)): 68–71.
- Bidwell, N. J. and D. Browning (2010). “Pursuing genius loci: Interaction design and natural places”. *Personal Ubiquitous Computing*. 14: 15–30.
- Biss, E. (2015). *On Immunity: An Inoculation*. Reprint edn. Minneapolis, Minnesota: Graywolf Press.
- Black, A., D. Liu, and L. Mitchell (2020). How to flatten the curve of coronavirus, a mathematician explains. URL: <https://theconversation.com/how-to-flatten-the-curve-of-coronavirus-a-mathematician-explains-133514>.

- Blackwell, A. F. (2015). “HCI as an inter-discipline”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '15)*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 503–516.
- Blythe, M. *et al.* (2016). “Anti-Solutionist Strategies: Seriously Silly Design Fiction”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI'16)*. New York, USA: ACM Press. 4968–4978.
- Boehner, K., R. DePaula, P. Dourish, and P. Sengers (2007). “How emotion is made and measured”. *Int. J. Hum.-Comput. Stud.* 65: 275–291. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijhcs.2006.11.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2006.11.016).
- Bowker, G. C. and S. L. Star (1999). *Sorting Things Out: Classification and Its Consequences*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Boyd, W. (2012). “Genealogies of risk: Searching for safety, 1930s—1970s”. *Ecology LQ.* 39: 895.
- Brereton, M., P. Roe, R. Schroeter, and A. L. Hong (2014). “Beyond ethnography: Engagement and reciprocity as foundations for design research out here”. *CHI.* 14: 1183–1186.
- Britton, L., L. Barkhuus, and B. Semaan (2019). “Mothers as Candy wrappers: Critical infrastructure supporting the transition into motherhood”. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 3: 232:1–232:21. DOI: [10.1145/3361113](https://doi.org/10.1145/3361113).
- Buchanan, R. (1992). “Wicked problems in design thinking”. *Design Issues.* 8(2): 5–21.
- Card, S., T. Moran, and A. Newell (1983). *The Psychology of Human-Computer Interaction*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Chalmers, M., I. MacColl, and M. Bell (2003). “Seamful design: Showing the seams in wearable computing”. In: *IEE Eurowearable '03. IEE.* 11–16. DOI: [10.1049/ic:20030140](https://doi.org/10.1049/ic:20030140).
- Chaudhary, A. S. (2020). “We’re not in this together”. Baffler No. 51. URL: <https://thebaffler.com/salvos/were-not-in-this-together-chaudhary>.
- Christen, K. (2001). *Digital Heritage and the Ethics of Sharing Indigenous Knowledge Online.* 403–412.

- Coles-Kemp, L. and R. R. Hansen (2017). *Walking the Line: The Everyday Security Ties That Bind*. Ed. by T. Tryfonas. Cham: Springer International Publishing (Lecture Notes in Computer Science). 464–480.
- Correll, M., D. Moritz, and J. Heer (2018). “Value-suppressing uncertainty palettes”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. April. ACM. 642.
- Costanza-Chock, S. (2020). *Design Justice: Community-Led Practices to Build the Worlds We Need*. MIT Press.
- Cushman, E. (2013). “Wampum, sequoyan, and story: Decolonizing the digital archive”. *College English*. 76(2): 115–135.
- Das, M., K. Borgos-Rodriguez, and A. M. Piper (2020). “Weaving by touch: A case analysis of accessible making”. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 1–15.
- de Martins, L. P. O. and P. J. S. V. de Oliveira (2016). “Breaking the cycle of Macondo: Design and decolonial futures”. *XRDS: Crossroads, The ACM Magazine for Students*. 22(4): 28–32.
- de Moraes, R. F. (2020). “Not a first-world problem: COVID-19 in the global south”. *Journal of International Affairs*. URL: <https://jia.sipa.columbia.edu/online-articles/not-first-world-problem-covid-19-global-south>.
- Denning, T., B. Friedman, and T. Kohno (2013). “The Security Cards: A security threat brainstorming toolkit”. URL: <http://securitycards.cs.washington.edu/>.
- Devendorf, L., K. Andersen, and A. Kelliher (2020a). “Making design memoirs: Understanding and honoring difficult experiences”. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '20*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–12.
- Devendorf, L., K. Andersen, and A. Kelliher (2020b). “The fundamental uncertainties of mothering: Finding ways to honor endurance, struggle, and contradiction”. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 27: 26:1–26:24. DOI: [10.1145/3397177](https://doi.org/10.1145/3397177).

- Devendorf, L., K. Andersen, D. K. Rosner, R. Wakkary, and J. Pierce (2019). "From HCI to HCI-amusement: Strategies for engaging what new technology makes old". In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '19)*. Paper 35. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–12.
- Dew, K. N. and D. K. Rosner (2018). "Lessons from the woodshop: Cultivating design with living materials". In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '18*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–12.
- D'Ignazio, C. and L. F. Klein (2020). *Data Feminism*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Dourish, P. (2010). "HCI and environmental sustainability: The politics of design and the design of politics". In: *Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems—DIS '10*. 1. DOI: [10.1145/1858171.1858173](https://doi.org/10.1145/1858171.1858173).
- Dourish, P. (2014). "Reading and interpreting ethnography". In: *Ways of Knowing in HCI*. New York, NY: Springer. 1–23.
- Dourish, P. et al. (2004). "Reflective HCI: Towards a critical technical practice". In: *Extended abstracts of the 2004 conference on Human factors and computing systems - CHI '04*. New York, USA: ACM Press. 1727.
- Dourish, P. and G. Bell (2011). *Divining a Digital Future: Mess and Mythology in Ubiquitous Computing*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Dunne, A. and F. Raby (2001). *Design Noir: The Secret Life of Electronic Objects*. Bloomsbury Visual Arts.
- Dursun, P., M. F. Steger, C. Bentele, and S. E. Schulenberg (2016). "Meaning and posttraumatic growth among survivors of the September 2013 Colorado floods". *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 72(12): 1247–1263.
- Dynes, R. R. (2000). "The dialogue between Voltaire and Rousseau on the Lisbon earthquake: The emergence of a social science view". *International journal of mass Emergencies and Disasters*. 18(1): 97–115.
- Ehn, P. (1988). "Work-oriented design of computer artifacts". (Doctoral dissertation, Arbetslivscentrum).

- Elias, N. (1972). “Theory of science and history of science”. *Economy and Society*. 1(2): 117–133.
- Escobar, A. (2018). *Designs for the Pluriverse: Radical Interdependence, Autonomy, and the Making of Worlds*. Duke University Press.
- Ewald, F. (2019). “The values of insurance”. *Grey Room*. (74): 120–145.
- Fernaesus, Y., M. Jonsson, and J. Tholander (2012). “Revisiting the jacquard loom: threads of history and current patterns in HCI”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '12*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1593–1602.
- Ferro, S. (2014). “Why You Never Get Lost In An Airport, Fast Company”. URL: [www.fastcodesign.com/3034092/terminal--velocity/why-you-never-get-lost-in-an-airport](http://www.fastcodesign.com/3034092/terminal--velocity/why-you-never-get-lost-in-an-airport).
- Fogg, B. J. (2003). *Persuasive Technology: Using Computers to Change What We Think and Do*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Forlano, L. (2017a). “Data rituals in intimate infrastructures: Crip time and the disabled cyborg body as an epistemic site of feminist science”. *Catalyst: Feminism, Theory, Technoscience*. 3(2).
- Forlano, L. (2017b). “Maintaining, repairing and caring for the multiple subject”. *Continent*. 6: 30-35-35.
- Frayling, C. (1993). “Research in art and design”. *Royal College of Art research papers*. 1: 1–5.
- Friedman, B. and D. G. Hendry (2019). *Value Sensitive Design: Shaping Technology with Moral Imagination*. Mit Press.
- Funtowicz, S. O. and J. R. Ravetz (1994). “Uncertainty, complexity and post-normal science”. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*. 13(12): 1881–1885.
- Gatehouse, C. (2020). “A hauntology of participatory speculation”. In: *Proceedings of the 16th Participatory Design Conference 2020—Participation(s) Otherwise*. Vol. 1. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 116–125.
- Gaver, W. (2012). “What should we expect from research through design?” In: *Proceedings of the 2012 ACM Annual Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems—CHI '12*. 937. DOI: [10.1145/2207676.2208538](https://doi.org/10.1145/2207676.2208538).

- Gaver, W. W., J. Beaver, and S. Benford (2003). "Ambiguity as a resource for design". In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '03)*. New York, USA: ACM Press. 233. URL: [10.1145/642611.642653](https://doi.org/10.1145/642611.642653).
- Go, K. and J. M. Carroll (2004). "The blind men and the elephant: Views of scenario-based system design". *Interactions*. 11(6): 44–53.
- Gray, G. M. and D. P. Ropeik (2002). "Dealing with the dangers of fear: The role of risk communication". *Health Affairs*. 21(6): 106–116.
- Greis, M., J. Hullman, M. Correll, M. Kay, and O. Shaer (2017). "Designing for uncertainty in HCI: When does uncertainty help?" In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. April. ACM. 593–600.
- Gulati, G. and B. D. Kelly (2020). "Domestic violence against women and the COVID-19 pandemic: What is the role of psychiatry?" *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*. 71(May): 1–4.
- Hacking, I. and T. Hacking (1990). *The Taming of Chance (No. 17)*. Cambridge University Press.
- Handley-Cousins, S. (2020). "Doctor, Healer, Midwife, Witch: How the the Women's Health Movement Created the Myth of the Midwife-Witch". URL: <https://digpodcast.org/2020/09/06/doctor-healer-midwife-witch-how-the-the-womens-health-movement-created-the-myth-of-the-midwife-witch/> (accessed 4.28.21). DIG.
- Hanh, T. N. (1991). *The Miracle of Mindfulness*. London: Rider.
- Harrigan, C. F., G. Morgenshtern, A. Goldenberg, and F. Chevalier (2021). "Considerations for visualizing uncertainty in clinical machine learning models".
- Harrison, S., D. Tatar, and P. Sengers (2007). "The three paradigms of HCI, Alt". In: *Chi. Session at the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. San Jose, ACM.
- Harrison, S., P. Sengers, and D. Tatar (2011). "Making epistemological trouble: Third-paradigm HCI as successor science". *Interacting with Computers*. 23(5): 385–392.
- Hayles, N. K. (1999). *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*. 1st edn. Chicago, Ill: University of Chicago Press.

- Herring, S., D. Stein, and T. Virtanen (2013). *Pragmatics of Computer-Mediated Communication*. De Gruyter.
- Hillgren, P.-A., A. Light, and M. Strange (2020). “Future public policy and its knowledge base: Shaping worldviews through counterfactual world-making”. *Policy Design and Practice*. 3(2): 109–122.
- Hirsch, T. (2020). “Practicing without a license: Design research as psychotherapy”. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '20*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–11.
- Höök, K. (2018). *Designing with the Body: Somaesthetic Interaction Design*. MIT Press.
- Houston, L. et al. (2016). “Values in repair”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems—CHI '16*. New York, USA: ACM Press. 1403–1414.
- Howard, D. and L. Irani (2019). “Ways of knowing when research subjects care”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '19*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–16.
- Howell, N. et al. (2021). “Calling for a plurality of perspectives on design futuring: An un-manifesto”. In: *CHI'21 Extended Abstracts*. DOI: [10.1145/3411763.3450364](https://doi.org/10.1145/3411763.3450364).
- Huybrechts, L., H. Benesch, and J. Geib (2017). “Institutioning: Participatory design, co-design and the public realm”. *CoDesign*. 13(3): 148–159.
- Inman, S. and D. Ribes (2019). ““Beautiful Seams”: Strategic Revelations and Concealments”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - CHI'19*. 1–14. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300508>.
- Irani, L., J. Vertesi, P. Dourish, K. Philip, and R. E. Grinter (2010). “Postcolonial computing: A lens on design and development”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1311–1320.
- Irani, L. C. and M. S. Silberman (2013). “Turkopticon: Interrupting worker invisibility in amazon mechanical turk”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. April. 611–620.



- Jasanoff, S. and S. H. Kim, eds. (2015). *Dreamscapes of Modernity: Sociotechnical Imaginaries and the Fabrication of Power*. University of Chicago Press.
- Jungnickel, K. (2015). "Sewing as a design method". *Interactions*. 22(6): 72–75.
- Karasti, H. (2014). "Infrastructuring in participatory design". In: *Participatory Design Conference*. Windhoek, Namibia: ACM digital library. 141–150.
- Kay, M., T. Kola, J. R. Hullman, and S. A. Munson (2016). "When (ish) is my bus? user-centered visualizations of uncertainty in everyday, mobile predictive systems". In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. May. 5092–5103.
- Kaziunas, E., M. S. Ackerman, S. Lindtner, and J. M. Lee (2017). "Caring through data: Attending to the social and emotional experiences of health datafication". In: *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing, CSCW '17*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 2260–2272.
- Kelly, S. ed. (2019). *Computer-Mediated Communication for Business: Theory to Practice*. Cambridge Scholars Press.
- Khovanskaya, V., E. P. S. Baumer, and P. Sengers (2015). "Double binds and double blinds: Evaluation tactics in critically oriented HCI". In: *The Fifth Decennial Aarhus Conference on Critical Alternatives (AA '15)*. 12. DOI: [10.7146/aahcc.v1i1.21266](https://doi.org/10.7146/aahcc.v1i1.21266).
- Korn, M. and A. Volda (2015). "Creating friction: Infrastructuring civic engagement in everyday life". In: *Proceedings of The Fifth Decennial Aarhus Conference on Critical Alternatives*. August. 145–156.
- Kosters, M. and J. Van der Heijden (2015). "From mechanism to virtue: Evaluating Nudge theory". *Evaluation*. 21(3): 276–291.
- Kozubaev, S. et al. (2020). "Expanding modes of reflection in design futuring". In: *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 1–15.
- Lakoff, A. (2008). "The generic bioterror, or, how we became unprepared". *Cultural Anthropology*. 23(3): 399–428.

- Lampland, M. and S. L. Star, eds. (2009). *Standards and Their Stories: How Quantifying, Classifying, and Formalizing Practices Shape Everyday Life*. Cornell University Press.
- LaPlante, E. (2017). “Monstrous Births, Powerful Midwives: The Battle over Women’s Bodies in 17th-century Boston [WWW Document]”. (accessed 4.28.21). URL: <http://www.evelaplante.com/1/post/2017/09/monstrous-births-powerful-midwives-the-battle-over-womens-bodies-in-17th-century-boston.html>.
- Latour, B. and S. Woolgar (2013). *Laboratory Life*. Princeton University Press.
- Lecocq, T., S. P. Hicks, K. Van Noten, K. van Wijk, P. Koelemeijer, De Raphael, S. M. Plaen, and . . . H. Xiao (2020). “Global quieting of high-frequency seismic noise due to COVID-19 pandemic lockdown measures”. *Science*. September 11. URL: <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/369/6509/1338>.
- Light, A. (2011). “HCI as heterodoxy: Technologies of identity and the queering of interaction with computers”. *Interacting with Computers*. 23(5): 430–438.
- Light, A. (2018). “Writing PD: Accounting for socially-engaged research”. In: *Proc. PDC’18*.
- Light, A. (2021). “Collaborative speculation: Anticipation, inclusion and designing counterfactual futures for appropriation”. *Futures*. 134.
- Light, A., A. Powell, and I. Shklovski (2017). “Design for existential crisis in the anthropocene age”. In: *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Communities and Technologies (C&T ’17)*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 270–279.
- Light, A. and Y. Akama (2014). “Structuring future social relations: The politics of care in participatory practice”. In: *Proceedings of the 13th Participatory Design Conference: Research Papers. PDC ’14*. Vol. 151–160. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. DOI: [10.1145/2661435.2661438](https://doi.org/10.1145/2661435.2661438).
- Lindström, M., A. Ståhl, K. Höök, P. Sundström, J. Laaksolahti, M. Combetto, A. Taylor, and R. Bresin (2006). *Affective diary: designing for bodily expressiveness and self-reflection*. 1037–1042. DOI: [10.1145/1125451.1125649](https://doi.org/10.1145/1125451.1125649).

- Lindtner, S., K. Anderson, and P. Dourish (2012). “Cultural appropriation”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM, 2012 conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work—CSCW '12*. New York, USA: ACM Press (CSCW '12). 77. DOI: [10.1145/2145204.2145220](https://doi.org/10.1145/2145204.2145220).
- Liu, S.-Y. (Cyn), S. Bardzell, and J. Bardzell (2019). “Symbiotic Encounters”. In: *ACM International Conference Proceeding*. 1–13. DOI: [10.1145/3290605.3300547](https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300547).
- Liu, Y., J. Goncalves, D. Ferreira, B. Xiao, S. Hosio, and V. Kostakos (2014). “CHI 1994–2013: Mapping two decades of intellectual progress through co-word analysis”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '14)*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 3553–3562.
- Lupton, E. (2017). *Design Is Storytelling*. 1st edn. New York, NY: Cooper Hewitt, Smithsonian Design Museum.
- Lustig, C. (2019). “Intersecting imaginaries: Visions of decentralized autonomous systems”. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*. 3(CSCW): 1–27.
- Mazmanian, M., I. Erickson, and E. Harmon (2015). *Circumscribed Time and Porous Time: Logics as a Way of Studying Temporality*. 1453–1464.
- McPhee, J. (2011). *The Control of Nature*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Merchant, C. (1980). *The Death of Nature*. London: Wildwood House. 290.
- Mol, A. (2003). *The Body Multiple: Ontology in Medical Practice*. Illustrated edn. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Morozov, E. (2014). “To save everything, click here: The folly of technological solutionism”. *Public Affairs*. *Mary*.
- Morss, R. E., C. L. Cuite, J. L. Demuth, W. K. Hallman, and R. L. Shwom (2018). “Is storm surge scary? The influence of hazard, impact, and fear-based messages and individual differences on responses to hurricane risks in the USA”. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*. 30: 44–58.
- Mueller, F. F., R. Byrne, J. Andres, and R. Patibanda (2018). “Experiencing the body as play”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '18*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–13.

- Mulligan, D. K., C. Koopman, and N. Doty (2016). “Privacy is an essentially contested concept: A multi-dimensional analytic for mapping privacy”. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*. 374(2083): 1–17.
- Mulligan, D. K. *et al.* (2019). “This thing called fairness: Disciplinary confusion realizing a value in technology”. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*. 3(CSCW): 1–36.
- Murphy, M. (2006). *Sick Building Syndrome and the Problem of Uncertainty: Environmental Politics, Technoscience, and Women Workers*. Duke University Press.
- Nathan, L. P. *et al.* (2008). “Envisioning systemic effects on persons and society throughout interactive system design”. In: *Proceedings of the 7th ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems—DIS ’08*. New York, USA: ACM Press. 1–10.
- Nissenbaum, H. (2005). “Where computer security meets national security”. *Ethics of Information Technology*. 7(2): 61–73.
- Odendaal, N. (2021). “Recombining place: COVID-19 and community action networks in South Africa”. *International Journal of E-Planning Research*. 10(2): 124–131.
- Odom, W. T. *et al.* (2014). “Designing for slowness, anticipation and re-visitation: A long term field study of the photobox”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 1961–1970.
- Office of Inspector General (2017). *FEMA Needs to Improve Management of Its Flood Mapping Programs*. United States Department of Homeland Security.
- Ogbonnaya-Ogburu, I. F., A. D. Smith, A. To, and K. Toyama (2020). “Critical race theory for HCI”. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. April. 1–16.
- Oster, E. (2019). *Cribsheet: A Data-Driven Guide to Better, More Relaxed Parenting, from Birth to Preschool*. Penguin Books.
- Pargman, D. *et al.* (2017). “The (un)sustainability of imagined future information societies”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems—CHI ’17*. New York, USA: ACM Press. 773–785.
- Pascoe, B. (2016). *Dark Emu*. Magabala Books.

- Pierce, J. *et al.* (2018). “Differential vulnerabilities and a diversity of tactics: What toolkits teach us about cybersecurity”. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*. 2(CSCW): 1–24.
- Pihkala, S. and H. Karasti (2018). “Politics of mattering in the practices of participatory design”. In: *Proceedings of the 15th Participatory Design Conference: Short Papers, Situated Actions, Workshops and Tutorial*. Vol. 2. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–5.
- Porter, T. M. (1996). *Trust in Numbers: The Pursuit of Objectivity in Science and Public Life*. Princeton University Press.
- Proctor, R. N. and L. Schiebinger (2008). *Agnotology: The Making and Unmaking of Ignorance*. Stanford University Press.
- Puig de la Bellacasa, M. P. (2012). “Nothing comes without its world: thinking with care”. *The Sociological Review*. 60(2): 197–216.
- Puig de la Bellacasa, M. (2017). *Matters of Care: Speculative Ethics in More Than Human Worlds*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Reeves, S. (2015). “Human computer interaction as science”. *Critical Alternatives 2015: The Alternative Rhetorics of HCI*. 1(1). DOI: [10.7146/aaahcc.v1i1.21296](https://doi.org/10.7146/aaahcc.v1i1.21296).
- Refiti, A. L. *et al.* (2021). “Vā at the Time of COVID-19: When an Aspect of Research Unexpectedly Turns into Lived Experience and Practice”. *Journal of New Zealand and Pacific Studies*. 9(1): 77–85.
- Restubog, S. L. D., A. C. G. Ocampo, and L. Wang (2020). “Taking control amidst the chaos: Emotion regulation during the COVID-19 pandemic”. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 119(May): 1–6.
- Rippon, S., A.-M. Bagnall, M. Gamsu, J. South, J. Trigwell, K. Southby, . . . , and J. Woodward (2020). “Towards transformative resilience: community, neighbourhood and system responses during the COVID-19 pandemic”. *Cities and Health*. 00(00): 1–4. DOI: [10.1080/23748834.2020.1788321](https://doi.org/10.1080/23748834.2020.1788321).
- Rittel, H. and M. Webber (1973). “Dilemmas in a general theory of planning”. *Policy Sciences*. 4(2): 155–169.
- Rose, D. B. (2012). “Multispecies knots of ethical time”. *Environmental Philosophy*. 9(1): 127–140.

- Rosner, D. K. *et al.* (2016). “Out of time, out of place: Reflections on design workshops as a research method”. In: *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing—CSCW '16*. New York, USA: ACM Press. 1129–1139.
- Rosner, D. K. *et al.* (2018). “Making core memory: Design inquiry into gendered legacies of engineering and craftwork”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems—CHI '18*. New York, USA: ACM Press. 1–13.
- Samimian-Darash, L. (2013). “Governing future potential biotreats”. *Current Anthropology*. 54(1): 1–22.
- Samimian-Darash, L. and P. Rabinow, eds. (2015). *Modes of Uncertainty: Anthropological Cases*. University of Chicago Press.
- Scherer, L. L., J. A. Allen, and E. R. Harp (2016). “Grin and bear it: An examination of volunteers’ fit with their organization, burnout and spirituality”. *Burnout Research*. 3(1): 1–10.
- Schnitker, S. A. (2012). “An examination of patience and well-being”. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*. 7(4). DOI: [10.1080/17439760.2012.697185](https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2012.697185).
- Schultz, T. (2018). “Mapping indigenous futures: Decolonising technocolonising designs”. *Strategic Design Research Journal*. 11(2): 79–91.
- Schultz, T. D. Abdulla, A. Ansari, E. Canlı, M. Keshavarz, M. Kiem, and P. J. S. Vieira de Oliveira (2018). “Editors’ introduction”. *Design and Culture*. 10(1): 1–6.
- Scientific American (2021). *Advisers Rebuke FEMA for Racial Disparities in Disaster Aid*. URL: <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/advisers-rebuke-fema-for-racial-disparities-in-disaster-aid/>.
- Scoones, I. and A. Stirling (2020). *The Politics of Uncertainty: Challenges of Transformation*. Taylor & Francis. 196.
- Scott, J. C. (2008). *Seeing Like a State*. Yale University Press.
- Selinger, E. and K. Whyte (2012). “Nudging cannot solve complex policy problems”. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*. 3(1): 26–31.
- Sen, K. (2020). “Five ways coronavirus is deepening global inequity”. URL: <https://theconversation.com/five-ways-coronavirus-is-deepening-global-inequality-144621>.

- Sengers, P. (2010). “The ideology of modernism in HCI”. In: *Critical Dialogue: Interaction, Experience and Cultural Theory Workshop, CHI*. April. 10–1145.
- Sengers, P. et al. (2005). “Reflective design”. In: *Proceedings of the 4th Decennial Conference on Critical Computing Between Sense and Sensibility–CC ’05*. New York, USA: ACM Press. 49.
- Sengers, P. et al. (2008). “The disenchantment of affect”. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*. 12(5): 347–358.
- Shilton, K., J. A. Koepfler, and K. R. Fleischmann (2014). “How to see values in social computing: Methods for studying values dimensions”. In: *Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 426–435.
- Shorey, S. and D. K. Rosner (2019). “A voice of process: Re-presenting the gendered labor of apollo innovation”. *Communication +1*. 7(2): 1–30.
- Soden, R., D. Ribes, M. Jack, W. Sutherland, V. Khovanskaya, S. Avle, P. Sengers, and S. Bødker (2019). “Fostering historical research in CSCW and HCI”. In: *Conference Companion Publication of the 2019 on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*. November. 517–522.
- Soden, R., D. Ribes, S. Avle, and W. Sutherland (2021b). “Time for historicism in CSCW: An invitation”. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*. 5(CSCW2): 1–18.
- Soden, R. and L. Palen (2018). “Informating crisis: Expanding critical perspectives in crisis informatics”. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*. 2(CSCW): 1–22.
- Soden, R., L. Sprain, and L. Palen (2017). “Thin grey lines: Confrontations with risk on Colorado’s front range”. In: *CHI*. 2042–2053.
- Soden, R., L. Devendorf, R. Y. Wong, L. B. Chilton, A. Light, and Y. Akama (2020). “Embracing uncertainty in HCI”. In: *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–8.

- Soden, R. and N. Kauffman (2019). “Infrastructuring the imaginary: How sea-level rise comes to matter in the San Francisco bay area”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. May. 1–11.
- Soden, R., P. Pathak, and O. Doggett (2021a). “What we speculate about when we speculate about sustainable HCI”. In: *ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies*. June. 188–198.
- Spiegelhalter, D. J. and H. Riesch (2011). “Don’t know, can’t know: embracing deeper uncertainties when analysing risks”. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*. 369(1956): 4730–4750.
- Star, S. L. and K. Ruhleder (1996). “Steps toward an ecology of infrastructure: Design and access for large information spaces”. *Information Systems Research*. 7(1): 111–134.
- Star, S. L. and X. Bowker (2002). “How to infrastructure”. In: *The Handbook of New Media*. Ed. by L. A. Lievrouw and S. L. Livingstone. London: SAGE. 151–162.
- Steffensen, V. (2020). *Fire Country: How Indigenous Fire Management Could Save Australia*. Richmond, VIC: Hardie Grant Publishing.
- Suchman, L. (1987). *Plans and Situated Actions—The Problem of Human-Machine Communication*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Suchman, L. (2002). “Located accountabilities in technology production”. *Scandinavian Journal of Information Systems*. 12(2): 91–105.
- Suchman, L., K. Follis, and J. Weber (2017). “Tracking and targeting: Sociotechnologies of (in)security”. *Science, Technology, and Human Values*. 42(6): 983–1002.
- Sultana, S., F. Guimbretière, P. Sengers, and N. Dell (2018). “Design within a patriarchal society: Opportunities and challenges in designing for rural women in Bangladesh”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI ’18*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–13.



- Sultana, S. and S. I. Ahmed (2019). “Witchcraft and HCI: Morality, modernity, and postcolonial computing in rural Bangladesh”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '19*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–15.
- TallBear, K. (2019). “Caretaking relations, not American dreaming”. *Kalfou*. 6: 24–41.
- Tanenbaum, T. J., K. Tanenbaum, and R. Wakkary (2012). “Steampunk as design fiction”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - (CHI'12)*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 1583–1592. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2207676.2208279>.
- Taylor, J. L., A. Soro, and M. Brereton (2018). “New literacy theories for participatory design: Lessons from three design cases with Australian Aboriginal communities”. In: *Proceedings of the 15th Participatory Design Conference: Full Papers*. Vol. 1. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–13.
- Temple Works (2015). “Uncertainty at Temple Works Leeds”. URL: [https://issuu.com/templeworks/docs/temple\\_works\\_2015](https://issuu.com/templeworks/docs/temple_works_2015).
- Thomson, D. and D. R. Barclay (2020). “Real-time observations of the impact of COVID-19 on underwater noise”. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 147(5). DOI: [10.1121/10.0001271](https://doi.org/10.1121/10.0001271).
- Thurlow, C., L. Lengel, and A. Tomic (2004). *Computer Mediated Communication*. Sage.
- Tobin, G. A. (2006). *Living with Nature's Extremes: The Life of Gilbert Fowler White*.
- Toombs, A. L., K. Morrissey, E. Simpson, C. M. Gray, J. Vines, and M. Balaam (2018). “Supporting the complex social lives of new parents”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '18*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 420:1–420:13.
- Toulmin, S. (1992). *Cosmopolis: The Hidden Agenda of Modernity*. University of Chicago Press.
- Ullah, A. A., F. Nawaz, and D. Chattoraj (2021). “Locked up under lockdown: The COVID-19 pandemic and the migrant population”. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*. 3(1): 1–6.

- Uncle Wandin, D. (2021). *Podcast episode 2: Uncle Dave Wandin*. Yarra Ranges. URL: <https://www.yarraranges.vic.gov.au/Council/Yarra-Ranges-Podcast/Podcast-episode-2-Uncle-Dave-Wandin>.
- Usher, K., N. Bhullar, and D. Jackson (2020). “Life in the pandemic: Social isolation and mental health”. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 29(15–16): 2756–2757.
- Vlachokyriakos, V., C. Crivellaro, P. Wright, and P. Olivier (2018). “Infrastructuring the solidarity economy: Unpacking strategies and tactics in designing social innovation”. In: *CHI '18, Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Montreal QC, Canada*. New York, NY: ACM. 481–493.
- Wardle, C.-J., M. Green, C. W. Mburu, and M. Densmore (2018). “Exploring Co-design with Breastfeeding Mothers”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI '18*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–12.
- Wilson, M. L., W. Mackay, E. Chi, M. Bernstein, D. Russell, and H. Thimbleby (2011). “RepliCHI—CHI should be replicating and validating results more: discuss”. In: *CHI '11 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '11)*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 463–466. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1979742.1979491>.
- Winchester, W. W. (2018). “Afrofuturism, inclusion, and the design imagination”. *Interactions*. 25(2): 41–45.
- Winschiers-Theophilus, H., T. Zaman, and A. Yeo (2015). “Reducing white elephant ICT4D projects: A community-researcher engagement”. In: *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*. 27–30-June, 99–108. DOI: [10.1145/2768545.2768554](https://doi.org/10.1145/2768545.2768554).
- Wong, R. Y. and S. J. Jackson (2015). “Wireless Visions: Infrastructure, Imagination, and U.S. Spectrum Policy”. In: *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing (CSCW '15)*. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2675133.2675229>.

- Wong, R. Y. and V. Khovanskaya (2018). “Speculative design in HCI: from corporate imaginations to critical orientations”. In: *New Directions in Third Wave Human-Computer Interaction*. Cham: Springer. 175–202.
- Wong, R. Y. *et al.* (2020). “Infrastructural Speculations: Tactics for Designing and Interrogating Lifeworlds”. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 1–15. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376515>.
- Wright, K. B. and L. M. Webb (2011). *Computer-mediated Communication in Personal Relationships*. Peter Lang.
- Wynne, B. (1992). “Uncertainty and environmental learning: reconceiving science and policy in the preventive paradigm”. *Global Environmental Change*. 2: 111–127.