

**Human–Computer
Integration: Towards
Integrating the Human
Body with the
Computational Machine**

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction

Modes of Uncertainty in HCI

Robert Soden, Laura Devendorf, Richmond Wong, Yoko Akama and Ann Light

ISBN: 978-1-63828-054-5

A Design Space of Sports Interaction Technology

Dees B.W. Postma, Robby W. van Delden, Jeroen H. Koekoek, Wytse W. Walinga, Ivo M. van Hilvoorde, Bert Jan F. van Beijnum, Fahim A. Salim and Dennis Reidsma

ISBN: 978-1-63828-064-4

Designs on Transcendence: Sketches of a TX machine

Mark Blythe and Elizabeth Buie

ISBN: 978-1-68083-846-6

Auditing Algorithms: Understanding Algorithmic Systems from the Outside In

Danaë Metaxa, Joon Sung Park, Ronald E. Robertson, Karrie Karahalios, Christo Wilson, Jeff Hancock and Christian Sandvig

ISBN: 978-1-68083-916-6

Design Framework for Interactive Highlighting Techniques

Joshua Leung and Andy Cockburn

ISBN: 978-1-68083-924-1

Human–Computer Integration: Towards Integrating the Human Body with the Computational Machine

Florian ‘Floyd’ Mueller	Marianna Obrist
Nathan Semertzidis	Pattie Maes
Josh Andres	Dakuo Wang
Martin Weigel	Katrin Wolf
Suranga Nanayakkara	Liz Gerber
Rakesh Patibanda	Joe Marshall
Zhuying Li	Kai Kunze
Paul Strohmeier	Jonathan Grudin
Jarrod Knibbe	Harald Reiterer
Stefan Greuter	Richard Byrne

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human–Computer Interaction

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

F. Mueller *et al.*. *Human–Computer Integration: Towards Integrating the Human Body with the Computational Machine*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Human–Computer Interaction, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–64, 2022.

ISBN: 978-1-63828-069-9

© 2022 F. Mueller *et al.*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The ‘services’ for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human–Computer Interaction

Volume 16, Issue 1, 2022

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Youn-Kyung Lim

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

Editors

Ben Bederson

University of Maryland

Madeline Balaam

KTH Royal Institute of Technology

Eun Kyoung Choe

University of Maryland, College Park

Andy Cockburn

University of Canterbury

Karrie Karahalios

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Rohit Ashok Khot

HAFP Research Lab, RMIT University

Uichin Lee

Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

Florian Mueller

Exertion Games Lab, RMIT University

Bilge Mutlu

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Marianna Obrist

University of Sussex

Nuria Oliver

Telefonica

Sameer Patil

University of Utah

Orit Shaer

Wellesley College

Desney S. Tan
Microsoft Research

Koji Yatani
University of Tokyo

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human–Computer Interaction publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- History of the research community
- Theory
- Technology
- Computer Supported Cooperative Work
- Interdisciplinary influence
- Advanced topics and trends

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Human–Computer Interaction, 2022, Volume 16, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1551-3955. ISSN online version 1551-3963. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Related Work	6
2.1	Point of Departure	8
3	Design Space of Integration	13
4	The Bodily Agency Dimension	15
4.1	A High Degree of Bodily Agency	16
4.2	A Low Degree of Bodily Agency	17
5	The Bodily Ownership Dimension	18
5.1	A High Degree of Bodily Ownership	19
5.2	A Low Degree of Bodily Ownership	19
6	Bodily Integration Design Space	20
6.1	Super-Body	20
6.2	Tele-Body	22
6.3	Chauffeured-Body	24
6.4	Possessed-Body	25
7	Challenges	28
7.1	Challenge #1: Design	29

7.2	Challenge #2: Integration and Society	34
7.3	Challenge #3: Effects of Integration on Identity	37
7.4	Challenge #4: Body-Compatible Technology	40
8	Conclusion	48
	Acknowledgements	50
	References	51

Human–Computer Integration

Florian ‘Floyd’ Mueller¹, Nathan Semertzidis¹, Josh Andres², Martin Weigel³, Suranga Nanayakkara⁴, Rakesh Patibanda¹, Zhuying Li⁵, Paul Strohmeier⁶, Jarrod Knibbe⁷, Stefan Greuter⁸, Marianna Obrist⁹, Pattie Maes¹⁰, Dakuo Wang¹¹, Katrin Wolf¹², Liz Gerber¹³, Joe Marshall¹⁴, Kai Kunze¹⁵, Jonathan Grudin¹⁶, Harald Reiterer¹⁷ and Richard Byrne¹

¹ *Monash University, Australia; floyd@exertiongameslab.org, nathan@exertiongameslab.org, rakesh@exertiongameslab.org, rich@exertiongameslab.org*

² *The Australian National University, Australia; josh.andres@anu.edu.au*

³ *Technische Hochschule Mittelhessen, Germany; martin.weigel@mni.thm.de*

⁴ *National University of Singapore, Singapore; suranga@sutd.edu.sg*

⁵ *Southeast University, China; zhuyingli@seu.edu.cn*

⁶ *Max Plank Institute fuer Informatik, Germany; paul.strohmeier@gmail.com*

⁷ *University of Melbourne, Australia; jarrod.knibbe@unimelb.edu.au*

⁸ *Deakin University, Australia; stefan.greuter@deakin.edu.au*

⁹ *University College London, UK; m.obrist@ucl.ac.uk*

¹⁰ *MIT Media Lab, USA; pattie@media.mit.edu*

¹¹ *IBM/Northeastern University, USA; dakuo.wang@ibm.com*

¹² *Berlin University of Applied Sciences and Technology, Germany; kwolf@bht-berlin.de*

¹³ *Northwestern University, USA; egerber@northwestern.edu*

¹⁴ *University of Nottingham, UK; joe.marshall@nottingham.ac.uk*

¹⁵ *Keio University, Japan; kai@kmd.keio.ac.jp*

¹⁶ *Microsoft Research, USA; jgrudin@microsoft.com*

¹⁷ *University of Konstanz, Germany; harald.reiterer@uni-konstanz.de*

Florian ‘Floyd’ Mueller, Nathan Semertzidis, Josh Andres, Martin Weigel, Suranga Nanayakkara, Rakesh Patibanda, Zhuying Li, Paul Strohmeier, Jarrod Knibbe, Stefan Greuter, Marianna Obrist, Pattie Maes, Dakuo Wang, Katrin Wolf, Liz Gerber, Joe Marshall, Kai Kunze, Jonathan Grudin, Harald Reiterer and Richard Byrne (2022), “Human–Computer Integration: Towards Integrating the Human Body with the Computational Machine”, *Foundations and Trends® in Human–Computer Interaction*: Vol. 16, No. 1, pp 1–64. DOI: 10.1561/11000000086.

©2022 F. Mueller *et al.*

ABSTRACT

Human-Computer Integration (HInt) is an emerging new paradigm in the human-computer interaction (HCI) field. Its goal is to integrate the human body and the computational machine. This monograph presents two key dimensions of Human-Computer Integration (bodily agency and bodily ownership) and proposes a set of challenges that we believe need to be resolved in order to bring the paradigm forward. Ultimately, our work aims to facilitate a more structured investigation into human body and computational machine integration.

Keywords: Human-computer integration; embodiment; augmented human; cyborg; fusion

1

Introduction

There is increasing interest in human-computer interaction via the human-computer integration, or “HInt”, paradigm (Mueller *et al.*, 2020b). This paradigm is characterized by a move beyond the traditional source and sink relationship between human and computational machine and towards their fusion (Mueller *et al.*, 2020b). In this monograph, we consider this paradigm, focusing on a future in which the boundary between the human body and computational machine is blurred (Lopes *et al.*, 2015a), and we also identify the key challenges associated with this future. We specifically consider the challenge of discerning which of the user or the computational machine is in control of the fused body, and we note that this ability to fuse and share control might offer new opportunities, including unique user experiences, but it also brings new pitfalls and shortcomings (Mueller *et al.*, 2020b).

In this context, we believe it is important to articulate the challenges associated with these developments to help inform, improve and guide future design. In articulating these HInt challenges, we also contend that the HCI field has a responsibility to develop devices that are safe, ethical, and make positive social contributions.

Because HInt is not an isolated area of research, we can draw upon discussions from existing, related perspectives, including cybernetics (Ashby, 1961; Licklider, 1960), augmentation (Engelbart, 1962; Mann, 2001; Raisamo *et al.*, 2019; Rheingold, 2013), cyborgs (Clark, 2001) and wearables (Starner, 2001). However, while these prior works provide a grounding basis for HInt, and some of their associated challenges also apply to HInt, we focus on articulating the HInt challenges that are of particular relevance to HCI because we expect that the HCI field will engage with many HInt related developments in one form or another in the near future.

Prior work has investigated how integration happens at a societal level, whereby computational machines and people coordinate efforts towards a common goal (Mueller *et al.*, 2020b). In contrast with this societal emphasis (albeit, without dismissing it), we focus on integration that occurs primarily at the individual level, whereby computational machines provide “information directly to human senses rather than through symbolic representations and understanding the user’s implicit, precognitive needs through bio-sensing” (Mueller *et al.*, 2020b). In this way, the concern of integration moves beyond the question “*How do we design technology that allows for better interactions with computers?*” to consider the question “*How do we design technology that integrates with the user’s body?*” (Mueller *et al.*, 2020b).

This monograph makes three contributions: First, we apply two key dimensions from psychology – bodily agency and bodily ownership – to enhance our understanding of HInt systems (based on Mueller *et al.*, 2021). Second, we use these two dimensions to provide new perspectives on user integration experiences and to develop an integration systems design space. Third, we use the design space and its two dimensions to articulate HInt’s key challenges (based on Mueller *et al.*, 2020b), and we group these challenges into four areas: design, society, identity, and technology.

In making these contributions, our aim is to help researchers and designers identify opportunities to contribute to the emerging HInt paradigm. Similarly, we hope that educators can profit from our work because our structured articulation of challenges can help teachers prepare materials for HInt classes, and HCI academics currently not

working in the field might find our work to be a useful introduction to recent HInt developments. Our work might also help academics who want to evaluate systems and wish to consider the HInt paradigm's wider implications. We hope that our articulation of HInt challenges also assists interaction designers to solve practical integration development problems and to avoid even bigger ones. Developers might use our work to guide them when identifying the capabilities required for engineering future systems, and developing training. We also hope that our work could help students understand the kinds of knowledge and capabilities required in an integration future, so that they can make better career choices. Lastly, we hope to support policy makers by providing them with a better understanding of the HInt paradigm and how it will influence the HCI field (and vice versa) and also with a set of key terms to use when discussing challenges across particular technology domains.

References

- Alexander, J., A. Roudaut, J. Steimle, K. Hornbæk, M. Bruns Alonso, S. Follmer, and T. Merritt (2018). “Grand challenges in shape-changing interface research”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. 1–14.
- Alicea, B. (2018). “An integrative introduction to human augmentation science”. arXiv preprint arXiv: 1804.10521.
- Allen, J., C. I. Guinn, and E. Horvitz (1999). “Mixed-initiative interaction”. *IEEE Intelligent Systems and Their Applications*. 14(5): 14–23.
- Andersen, S. M. and S. Chen (2002). “The relational self: An interpersonal social-cognitive theory”. *Psychological Review*. 109(4): 619.
- Andres, J., J. D. Hoog, and F. Mueller (2018). “I had super-powers when eBike riding ‘Towards understanding the design of integrated exertion’”. In: *2018 Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play*. Melbourne, VIC, Australia: ACM. 19–31. DOI: [10.1145/3242671.3242688](https://doi.org/10.1145/3242671.3242688).
- Andres, J., T. Kari, J. V. Kaenel, and F. Mueller (2019). “Co-riding with my eBike to get green lights”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS)*. San Diego, CA, USA: ACM. 1251–1263. DOI: [10.1145/3322276.3322307](https://doi.org/10.1145/3322276.3322307).

- Armel, K. C. and V. S. Ramachandran (2003). “Projecting sensations to external objects: Evidence from skin conductance response”. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*. 270(1523): 1499–1506.
- Ashby, W. R. (1961). *An Introduction to Cybernetics*. Chapman & Hall Ltd.
- Auxivo (2021). “Auxivo CarrySuit Exoskeleton Field Testing on Construction Site”. URL: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qe2d9YQF75o>.
- Beck, J. and E. Stolterman (2017). “Reviewing the big questions literature; or, should HCI have big questions?” In: *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*. 969–981.
- Belkin, N. J. (2008). “Some (what) grand challenges for information retrieval”. In: *ACM SIGIR Forum*. New York, NY, USA: ACM. 47–54.
- Benford, S., R. Ramchurn, J. Marshall, M. L. Wilson, M. Pike, S. Martindale, et al. (2020). *Contesting Control: Journeys through Surrender, Self-Awareness and Looseness of Control in Embodied Interaction*. Human-Computer Interaction.
- Berberian, B. (2019). “Man-machine teaming: A problem of agency”. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*. 51(34): 118–123.
- Bergstrom-Lehtovirta, J., S. Boring, and K. Hornbæk (2017). “Placing and recalling virtual items on the skin”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1497–1507.
- Bergstrom-Lehtovirta, J., D. Coyle, J. Knibbe, and K. Hornbæk (2018). “I really did that: Sense of agency with touchpad, keyboard, and on-skin interaction”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. 1–8.
- Bianchi-Berthouze, N. (2013). “Understanding the role of body movement in player engagement”. *Human-Computer Interaction*. 28(1): 40–75.
- Blanke, O. and T. Metzinger (2009). “Full-body illusions and minimal phenomenal selfhood”. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 13(1): 7–13.
- Botvinick, M. and J. Cohen (1998). “Rubber hands ‘feel’ touch that eyes see”. *Nature*. 391(6669): 756.

- Bradshaw, J. M., P. J. Feltovich, H. Jung, S. Kulkarni, W. Taysom, and A. Uszok (2003). “Dimensions of adjustable autonomy and mixed-initiative interaction”. In: *International Workshop on Computational Autonomy*. Springer. 17–39.
- Braun, N., S. Debener, N. Spsychala, E. Bongartz, P. Sörös, H. H. Müller, and A. Philipsen (2018). “The senses of agency and ownership: A review”. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 9: 535.
- Bretan, M. and G. Weinberg (2017). “Integrating the cognitive with the physical: Musical path planning for an improvising robot”. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- Buruk, O. O., Ç. Genç, İ. O. Yıldırım, M. C. Onbaşlı, and O. Özcan (2021). “Snowflakes: A prototyping tool for computational jewelry”. In: *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–15.
- Carman, T. (1999). “The body in husserl and merleau-ponty”. *Philosophical Topics*. 27(2): 205–226.
- Choi, Y., N. Ryu, M. J. Kim, A. Dementyev, and A. Bianchi (2020). “BodyPrinter: Fabricating circuits directly on the skin at arbitrary locations using a wearable compact plotter”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 554–564.
- Clark, A. (2001). “Natural-born cyborgs?” In: *Cognitive Technology: Instruments of Mind*. Springer. 17–24.
- Coyle, D., J. Moore, P. O. Kristensson, P. Fletcher, and A. Blackwell (2012). “I did that! Measuring users’ experience of agency in their own actions”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. 2025–2034.
- Cuddy, A. J., S. T. Fiske, and P. Glick (2008). “Warmth and competence as universal dimensions of social perception: The stereotype content model and the BIAS map”. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*. 40: 61–149.
- Dangerous Things (n.d.). URL: <https://dangerousthings.com>.
- DeMello, M. (2007). “Encyclopedia of body adornment: ABC-CLIO”.

- Dementyev, A., H.-L. Kao, I. Choi, D. Ajilo, M. Xu, J. A. Paradiso *et al.* (2016). “Rovables: Miniature on-body robots as mobile wearables”. In: *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 111–120.
- Diitalarti (2016). “INFERNO: I was a robot at Elektra Festival”. URL: https://media.digitalarti.com/blog/digitalarti_mag/inferno_i_w_as_a_robot_at_elektra_festival.
- Engelbart, D. C. (1962). “Augmenting human intellect: A conceptual framework”. URL: <http://www.1962paper.org/web.html>.
- Ens, B., B. Bach, M. Cordeil, U. Engelke, M. Serrano, W. Willett *et al.* (2021). “Grand challenges in immersive analytics”. In: *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Yokohama, Japan: Association for Computing Machinery. Article 459. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3446866>.
- Exoskeleton Report (2017). URL: <https://exoskeletonreport.com/product-tag/powerful/>.
- Fan, X., L. Shangguan, R. Howard, Y. Zhang, Y. Peng, J. Xiong *et al.* (2020). “Towards flexible wireless charging for medical implants using distributed antenna system”. In: *Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*. 1–15.
- Farooq, U. and J. Grudin (2016). “Human-computer integration”. *Interactions*. 23(6): 26–32.
- Gallagher, S. (2013). “Ambiguity in the sense of agency”. In: *Decomposing the Will*. 118–135.
- Greenberg, S., S. Boring, J. Vermeulen, and J. Dostal (2014). “Dark patterns in proxemic interactions: A critical perspective”. In: *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*. ACM. 523–532.
- Grudin, J., K. Höök, P. Maes, and F. Mueller (2018). “Human-Computer Integration”. URL: <https://www.dagstuhl.de/en/program/calendar/semhp/?semnr=18322>.
- Guterstam, A., V. I. Petkova, and H. H. Ehrsson (2011). “The illusion of owning a third arm”. *PloS One*. 6(2): e17208.

- Haraway, D. (2006). “A cyborg manifesto: Science, technology, and socialist-feminism in the late 20th century”. In: *The International Handbook of Virtual Learning Environments*. Springer. 117–158.
- Heffernan, K. J., F. Vetere, and S. Chang (2016). “You put what, where?: Hobbyist use of insertable devices”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. 1798–1809.
- Herr, H. (2009). “Exoskeletons and orthoses: Classification, design challenges and future directions”. *Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation*. 6(1): 21.
- Holz, C., T. Grossman, G. Fitzmaurice, and A. Agur (2012). “Implanted user interfaces”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 503–512.
- Homewood, S. and C. Heyer (2017). “Turned on/turned off: Speculating on the microchip-based contraceptive implant”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*. 339–343.
- Höök, K. (2010). *Transferring Qualities from Horseback Riding to Design*. ACM.
- Houben, S., N. Marquardt, J. Vermeulen, C. Klokmose, J. Schöning, H. Reiterer, and C. Holz (2017). “Opportunities and challenges for cross-device interactions in the wild”. *Interactions*. 24(5): 58–63.
- Imani, S., A. J. Bhandodkar, A. V. Mohan, R. Kumar, S. Yu, J. Wang, and P. P. Mercier (2016). “A wearable chemical-electrophysiological hybrid biosensing system for real-time health and fitness monitoring”. *Nature Communications*. 7(1): 1–7.
- Jansen, Y., P. Dragicevic, P. Isenberg, J. Alexander, A. Karnik, J. Kildal *et al.* (2015). “Opportunities and challenges for data physicalization”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 3227–3236.
- Ju, W. (2015). “The design of implicit interactions”. *Synthesis Lectures on Human-Centered Informatics*. 8(2): 1–93.
- Kao, H.-L. C., A. Bedri, and K. Lyons (2018). “SkinWire: Fabricating a self-contained on-skin PCB for the hand”. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies*. 2(3): 1–23.

- Kao, H.-L. C., C. Holz, A. Roseway, A. Calvo, and C. Schmandt (2016). “DuoSkin: Rapidly prototyping on-skin user interfaces using skin-friendly materials”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers*. ACM. 16–23.
- Kao, H.-L., A. Dementyev, J. A. Paradiso, and C. Schmandt (2015). “NailO: Fingernails as an input surface”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 3015–3018.
- Kasahara, S., J. Nishida, and P. Lopes (2019). “Preemptive action: Accelerating human reaction using electrical muscle stimulation without compromising agency”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. 1–15.
- Kawaguchi, I., Y. Kodama, H. Kuzuoka, M. Otsuki, and Y. Suzuki (2016). “Effect of embodiment presentation by humanoid robot on social telepresence”. In: *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Human Agent Interaction*. 253–256.
- Kim, J., I. Jeerapan, B. Ciui, M. C. Hartel, A. Martin, and J. Wang (2017). “Edible electrochemistry: Food materials based electrochemical sensors”. *Advanced Healthcare Materials*. 6(22).
- Kittur, A., J. V. Nickerson, M. Bernstein, E. Gerber, and A. Shaw, J. Zimmerman *et al.* (2013). *The future of crowd work*.
- Knibbe, J., P. Strohmeier, S. Boring, and K. Hornbæk (2017). “Automatic calibration of high density electric muscle stimulation”. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies*. ACM. 1–17.
- Koelle, M., M. Kranz, and A. Möller (2015). “Don’t look at me that way! Understanding user attitudes towards data glasses usage”. In: *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services*. 362–372.
- Koelle, M., K. Wolf, and S. Boll (2018). “Beyond LED status lights—design requirements of privacy notices for body-worn cameras”. In: *Proceedings of the Twelfth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction*. 177–187.
- Kristoffersson, A., S. Coradeschi, and A. Loutfi (2013). *A Review of Mobile Robotic Telepresence*. Advances in Human-Computer Interaction.

- Lee, M. K. and L. Takayama (2011). “Now, i have a body uses and social norms for mobile remote presence in the workplace”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 33–42.
- Lenggenhager, B., T. Tadi, T. Metzinger, and O. Blanke (2007). “Video ergo sum: Manipulating bodily self-consciousness”. *Science*. 317(5841): 1096–1099.
- Leong, T., S. Howard, and F. Vetere (2008). “Choice: Abdicating or exercising?” In: *Proceeding of the Twenty-Sixth Annual SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Florence, Italy: ACM. DOI: [10.1145/1357054.1357168](https://doi.org/10.1145/1357054.1357168).
- Li, Z., D. Carter, R. Eliakim, W. Zou, H. Wu, Z. Liao *et al.* (2014). “The current main types of capsule endoscopy”. In: *Handbook of Capsule Endoscopy*. Springer. 5–45.
- Li, Z., R. Patibanda, F. Brandmueller, Y. Wang, K. Berean, S. Greuter, and F. Mueller (2018). “The guts game: Towards designing ingestible games”. In: *CHI PLAY '18*. Melbourne, Australia: ACM. 271–283. URL: [10.1145/3242671.3242681](https://doi.org/10.1145/3242671.3242681).
- Li, Z., Y. Wang, W. Wang, W. Chen, T. Hoang, S. Greuter, and F. Mueller (2019). “HeatCraft: Designing playful experiences with ingestible sensors via localized thermal stimuli”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. 1–12.
- Licklider, J. C. (1960). “Man-computer symbiosis”. *IRE Transactions on Human Factors in Electronics*. (1): 4–11.
- Limerick, H., D. Coyle, and J. W. Moore (2014). “The experience of agency in human-computer interactions: A review”. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 8: 643.
- Lo, J., D. J. L. Lee, N. Wong, D. Bui, and E. Paulos (2016). “Skintillates: Designing and creating epidermal interactions”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Designing Interactive Systems*. Brisbane, QLD, Australia: ACM. 853–864. URL: [10.1145/2901790.2901885](https://doi.org/10.1145/2901790.2901885).
- Lopes, P., A. Ion, W. Mueller, D. Hoffmann, P. Jonell, and P. Baudisch (2015a). “Proprioceptive interaction”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 939–948.

- Lopes, P., P. Jonell, and P. Baudisch (2015b). “Affordance++ allowing objects to communicate dynamic use”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI)*. 2515–2524.
- Lopes, P., D. Yüksel, F. Guimbretière, and P. Baudisch (2016). “Muscle-plotter: An interactive system based on electrical muscle stimulation that produces spatial output”. In: *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. ACM. 207–217.
- Lupton, D. (2016). *The Quantified Self: A Sociology of Self-Tracking*. Cultures: Polity Press.
- MacDonald, E. and R. Wicker (2016). “Multiprocess 3D printing for increasing component functionality”. *Science*. 353(6307).
- Manabe, D. (2008). “Electric Stimulation of the Face”. URL: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dy8zUHX0iKw>.
- Mann, S. (2001). “Wearable computing: Toward humanistic intelligence”. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*. 16(3): 10–15.
- Maurel, M. (2009). “The explicitation interview: Examples and applications”. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*. 16(10–11): 58–89.
- McCaffrey, C., O. Chevalerias, C. O’Mathuna, and K. Twomey (2008). “Swallowable-capsule technology”. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*. 7(1): 23–29.
- MetaMorf (2018). “Inferno”. URL: <http://metamorf.no/2018/project/inferno-louis-philippe-demers-bill-vorn/index.html>.
- Moore, J. W. (2016). “What is the sense of agency and why does it matter?” *Frontiers in Psychology*. 7: 1272.
- Mottelson, A. and K. Hornbæk (2016). “An affect detection technique using mobile commodity sensors in the wild”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing*. 781–792.
- Mueller, F., J. Andres, J. Marshall, D. Svanaes, M. C. Schraefel, G. K., et al. (2018). “Body-centric computing: Results from a weeklong Dagstuhl seminar in a German castle”. *Interactions*. 25(4): 34–39.

- Mueller, F., T. Kari, Z. Li, Y. Wang, Y. D. Mehta, J. Andres, *et al.* (2020a). “Towards designing bodily integrated play”. In: *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction (TEI)*. ACM. 207–218.
- Mueller, F., P. Lopes, P. Strohmeier, W. Ju, C. Seim, M. Weigel, *et al.* (2020b). “Next steps for human-computer integration”. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Honolulu, HI, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. 1–15. DOI: [10.1145/3313831.3376242](https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376242).
- Mueller, F., L. Matjeka, Y. Wang, J. Andres, Z. Li, J. Marquez, *et al.* (2020c). “Erfahrung and erlebnis understanding the bodily play experience through German Lexicon”. In: *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction*. 337–347.
- Mueller, F., P. Lopes, J. Andres, R. Byrne, N. Semertzidis, Z. Li, *et al.* (2021). “Towards understanding the design of bodily integration”. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 152: 102643.
- Mueller, F. and D. Young (2017). “Five lenses for designing exertion experiences”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Denver, Colorado, USA: ACM. 2473–2487. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025746>.
- Mueller, F. and D. Young (2018). “10 lenses to design sports-HCI”. *Foundations and Trends® Human-Computer Interaction*. 12(3): 172–237.
- Muthukumarana, S., D. S. Elvitigala, J. P. Forero Cortes, D. J. Matthies, and S. Nanayakkara (2020). “Touch me gently: Recreating the perception of touch using a shape-memory alloy matrix”. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–12.
- Neff, G. and D. Nafus (2016). *Self-Tracking*. MIT Press.
- Nishida, J., S. Matsuda, M. Oki, H. Takatori, K. Sato, and K. Suzuki (2019). “Egocentric smaller-person experience through a change in visual perspective”. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–12.

- Nishio, S., H. Ishiguro, and N. Hagita (2007). “Geminoid: Teleoperated android of an existing person”. *Humanoid Robots: New Developments*. 14: 343–352.
- Nith, R., S.-Y. Teng, P. Li, Y. Tao, and P. Lopes (2021). “DextrEMS: Increasing dexterity in electrical muscle stimulation by combining it with brakes”. In: *The 34th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 414–430.
- Nittala, A. S., A. Withana, N. Pourjafarian, and J. Steimle (2018). “Multi-touch skin: A thin and flexible multi-touch sensor for on-skin input”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–12.
- Olberding, S., N.-W. Gong, J. Tiab, J. A. Paradiso, and J. Steimle (2013). “A cuttable multi-touch sensor”. In: *Proceedings of the 26th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 245–254.
- Papagiannis, H. (2017). *Augmented Human: How Technology is Shaping the New Reality*. O’Reilly Media, Inc.
- Pfeiffer, M., T. Dunte, S. Schneegass, F. Alt, and M. Rohs (2015). “Cruise control for pedestrians: Controlling walking direction using electrical muscle stimulation”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Seoul, Republic of Korea*. ACM. 2505–2514. DOI: [10.1145/2702123.2702190](https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702190).
- Profita, H., R. Albaghli, L. Findlater, P. Jaeger, and S. K. Kane (2016). “The AT effect: How disability affects the perceived social acceptability of head-mounted display use”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 4884–4895.
- Raisamo, R., I. Rakkolainen, P. Majaranta, K. Salminen, J. Rantala, and A. Farooq (2019). “Human augmentation: Past, present and future”. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*. 131: 131–143.
- Rakita, D., B. Mutlu, M. Gleicher, and L. M. Hiatt (2019). “Shared control-based bimanual robot manipulation”. *Science Robotics*. 4(30).
- Rheingold, H. (2013). *Mind Amplifier: Can Our Digital Tools Make Us Smarter?* Ted Conferences.

- Riva, G., M. Bacchetta, M. Baruffi, and E. Molinari (2001). “Virtual reality-based multidimensional therapy for the treatment of body image disturbances in obesity: A controlled study”. *Cyberpsychology and Behavior*. 4(4): 511–526.
- Romano, J. M. and K. J. Kuchenbecker (2011). “Creating realistic virtual textures from contact acceleration data”. *IEEE Transactions on Haptics*. 5(2): 109–119.
- Rosenberger, R. and P. P. Verbeek (2015). *Postphenomenological Investigations: Essays on Human-Technology Relations*. Lexington Books.
- Sakamoto, D., T. Kanda, T. Ono, H. Ishiguro, and N. Hagita (2007). “Android as a telecommunication medium with a human-like presence”. In: *2nd ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI)*. 2007. IEEE. 193–200.
- Saraiji, M. Y., T. Sasaki, K. Kunze, K. Minamizawa, and M. Inami (2018). “Metaarms: Body remapping using feet-controlled artificial arms”. In: *Proceedings of the 31st Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 65–74.
- Sazonov, E. (2020). *Wearable Sensors: Fundamentals, Implementation and Applications*. Academic Press.
- Schmidt, A. (2017). “Augmenting human intellect and amplifying perception and cognition”. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*. 16(1): 6–10.
- Seim, C., J. Chandler, K. DesPortes, S. Dhingra, M. Park, and T. Starner (2014). “Passive haptic learning of Braille typing”. In: *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers*. 111–118.
- Semertzidis, N., M. Scary, J. Andres, B. Dwivedi, Y. C. Kulwe, F. Zambetta, and F. F. Mueller (2020). “Neo-Noumena: Augmenting emotion communication”. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- Sheridan, J. and F. Mueller (2010). “Fostering kinesthetic literacy through exertion games”. In: *Workshop on Whole-Body Interactions at CHI’10: International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Atlanta, USA: ACM.

- Shilkrot, R., J. Huber, W. Meng Ee, P. Maes, and S. C. Nanayakkara (2015). “FingerReader: A wearable device to explore printed text on the go”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2363–2372.
- Spiegel, B. (2002). *Die obere Hälfte des Motorrads*. Über die Einheit von Fahrer und Maschine: Pietsch Verlag Stuttgart.
- Starner, T. (2001). “The challenges of wearable computing: Part 2”. *Ieee Micro*. 21(4): 54–67.
- Steimle, J. (2015). “Printed electronics for human-computer interaction”. *Interactions*. 22(3): 72–75.
- Steimle, J. (2016). “Skin—The next user interface”. *Computer*. 49(4): 83–87.
- Strohmeier, P., S. Boring, and K. Hornbæk (2018). “From pulse trains to ‘coloring with vibrations’ motion mappings for mid-air haptic textures”. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1–13.
- Strohmeier, P., C. Honnet, and S. Von Cyborg (2016). *Developing an Ecosystem for Interactive Electronic Implants*. Springer.
- Superhuman Sports (2018). “Superhuman sports design challenge in TU delft”. URL: <http://superhuman-sports.org/news/20180712074021>.
- Superhuman Sports (2020). “Superhuman Sports”. URL: <http://superhuman-sports.org/>.
- Svanaes, D. and M. Solheim (2016). “Wag your tail and flap your ears: The kinesthetic user experience of extending your body”. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. San Jose, California, USA: ACM. 3778–3779. DOI: [10.1145/2851581.2890268](https://doi.org/10.1145/2851581.2890268).
- Tachi, S., K. Komoriya, K. Sawada, T. Nishiyama, T. Itoko, M. Kobayashi, and K. Inoue (2003). “Telexistence cockpit for humanoid robot control”. *Advanced Robotics*. 17(3): 199–217.
- Tajfel, H. (1974). “Social identity and intergroup behaviour”. *Social Science Information*. 13(2): 65–93.
- Takayama, L. (2015). “Telepresence and apparent agency in human-robot interaction”. *The Handbook of the Psychology of Communication Technology*. 32: 160.

- Takayama, L. A. (2008). *Throwing Voices: Investigating the Psychological Effects of the Spatial Location of Projected Voices*. Stanford University.
- Tamaki, E., T. Miyaki, and J. Rekimoto (2011). “PossessedHand: Techniques for controlling human hands using electrical muscles stimuli”. In: *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 543–552.
- Tapus, A., M. J. Mataric, and B. Scassellati (2007). “Socially assistive robotics [grand challenges of robotics]”. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine*. 14(1): 35–42.
- Teyssier, M., G. Bailly, C. Pelachaud, E. Lecolinet, A. Conn, and A. Roudaut (2019). “Skin-on interfaces: A bio-driven approach for artificial skin design to cover interactive devices”. In: *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 307–322.
- Vega, K. and H. Fuks (2013). “Beauty technology as an interactive computing platform”. In: *Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Conference on Interactive Tabletops and Surfaces*. 357–360.
- Verbeek, P.-P. (2005). *What Things Do: Philosophical Reflections on Technology, Agency, and Design*. Penn State Press.
- Vinik, A. I., A. G. Smith, J. R. Singleton, B. Callaghan, B. I. Freedman, J. Tuomilehto *et al.* (2016). “Normative values for electrochemical skin conductances and impact of ethnicity on quantitative assessment of sudomotor function”. *Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics*. 18(6): 391–398.
- Weigel, M., T. Lu, G. Bailly, A. Oulasvirta, C. Majidi, and J. Steimle (2015). “iSkin: Flexible, stretchable and visually customizable on-body touch sensors for mobile computing”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2991–3000.
- Weigel, M., A. S. Nittala, A. Olwal, and J. Steimle (2017). “SkinMarks: Enabling interactions on body landmarks using conformal skin electronics”. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 3095–3105.
- Wiberg, M. (2018). *The Materiality of Interaction: Notes on the Materials of Interaction Design*. MIT press.

- Wiener, N. (1948). *Cybernetics or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*. MIT Press.
- Withana, A., D. Groeger, and J. Steimle (2018). “Tacttoo: A thin and feel-through tattoo for on-skin tactile output”. In: *Proceedings of the 31st Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*. 365–378.
- Wolf, K. and T. Bäder (2015). “Illusion of surface changes induced by tactile and visual touch feedback”. In: *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 1355–1360.
- Xiloyannis, M., R. Alicea, A.-M. Georgarakis, F. L. Haufe, P. Wolf, L. Masia, and R. Riener (2021). “Soft robotic suits: State of the art, core technologies, and open challenges”. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*.