

# **Distributed Averaging and Balancing in Network Systems**

**with Applications to Coordination  
and Control**

**Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Systems and Control**

*Nonlinear Model Reduction by Moment Matching*

Giordano Scarcioiti and Alessandro Astolfi

ISBN: 978-1-68083-330-0

*Logical Control of Complex Resource Allocation Systems*

Spyros Reveliotis

ISBN: 978-1-68083-250-1

*Observability of Hybrid Dynamical Systems*

Elena De Santis and Maria Domenica Di Benedetto

ISBN: 978-1-68083-220-4

*Operator Splitting Methods in Control*

Giorgos Stathopoulos, Harsh Shukla, Alexander Szucs, Ye Pu and  
Colin N. Jones

ISBN: 978-1-68083-174-0

*Sensor Fault Diagnosis*

Vasso Reppa, Marios M. Polycarpou and Christos G. Panayiotou

ISBN: 978-1-68083-128-3

# Distributed Averaging and Balancing in Network Systems

with Applications to Coordination and Control

---

**Christoforos N. Hadjicostis**

University of Cyprus

chadjic@ucy.ac.cy

**Alejandro D. Domínguez-García**

University of Illinois

aledan@illinois.edu

**Themistokis Charalambous**

Aalto University

themistoklis.charalambous@aalto.fi

**now**

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

## Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Systems and Control

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 1024  
Hanover, MA 02339  
United States  
Tel. +1-781-985-4510  
[www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com)  
[sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

*Outside North America:*

now Publishers Inc.  
PO Box 179  
2600 AD Delft  
The Netherlands  
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

C. N. Hadjicostis, A. D. Domínguez-García, and T. Charalambous. *Distributed Averaging and Balancing in Network Systems*. Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Systems and Control, vol. 5, no. 2-3, pp. 99–292, 2018.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-439-0

© 2018 C. N. Hadjicostis, A. D. Domínguez-García, and T. Charalambous

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, [www.nowpublishers.com](http://www.nowpublishers.com); e-mail: [sales@nowpublishers.com](mailto:sales@nowpublishers.com)

# Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Systems and Control

Volume 5, Issue 2-3, 2018

## Editorial Board

### Editors-in-Chief

**Panos J. Antsaklis**

University of Notre Dame  
United States

**Alessandro Astolfi**

Imperial College London, United Kingdom  
University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Italy

### Editors

John Baillieuli

*Boston University*

Peter Caines

*McGill University*

Christos Cassandras

*Boston University*

Denis Dochain

*UC Louvain*

Magnus Egerstedt

*Georgia Institute of Technology*

Karl Henrik Johansson

*KTH Stockholm*

Miroslav Krstic

*University of California, San Diego*

Jan Maciejowski

*University of Cambridge*

Dragan Nesic

*The University of Melbourne*

Marios Polycarpou

*University of Cyprus*

Jörg Raisch

*Technical University Berlin*

Arjan van der Schaft

*University of Groningen*

M. Elena Valcher

*University of Padova*

Richard Vinter

*Imperial College London*

George Weiss

*Tel Aviv University*

## Editorial Scope

### Topics

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Systems and Control publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- Control of:
  - Hybrid and Discrete Event Systems
  - Nonlinear Systems
  - Network Systems
  - Stochastic Systems
  - Multi-agent Systems
  - Distributed Parameter Systems
  - Delay Systems
- Filtering, Estimation, Identification
- Optimal Control
- Systems Theory
- Control Applications

### Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends<sup>®</sup> in Systems and Control, 2018, Volume 5, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 2325-6818. ISSN online version 2325-6826. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
	Background and Motivation . . . . .	2
	Scope of the Monograph . . . . .	4
	Organization . . . . .	7
<b>I</b>	<b>Theory</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>10</b>
	Mathematical Background . . . . .	10
	Models for Distributed Control Systems . . . . .	20
	Distributed Algorithms . . . . .	22
	Further Reading . . . . .	26
<b>3</b>	<b>Distributed Asymptotic Average Consensus</b>	<b>28</b>
	Introduction and Motivation . . . . .	29
	Preliminaries and Problem Formulation . . . . .	31
	Distributed Averaging in Undirected Graphs . . . . .	39
	Distributed Averaging in Digraphs . . . . .	45
	Overcoming Transmission Delays and Packet Drops . . . . .	65
	Privacy and Security Considerations . . . . .	72
	Discussion . . . . .	78

<b>4</b>	<b>Distributed Finite-Time Average Consensus</b>	<b>81</b>
	Introduction and Motivation . . . . .	81
	Exact Finite-Time Average Consensus . . . . .	82
	Approximate Finite-Time Average Consensus . . . . .	99
	Discussion . . . . .	105
<b>5</b>	<b>Distributed Weight Balancing</b>	<b>108</b>
	Introduction and Motivation . . . . .	108
	Preliminaries and Problem Formulation . . . . .	110
	Distributed Balancing with Unconstrained Weights . . . . .	115
	Distributed Balancing with Constrained Weights . . . . .	123
	Balancing in Undirected Graphs . . . . .	140
	Discussion . . . . .	142
<b>II</b>	<b>Applications</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Coordination of Distributed Energy Resources</b>	<b>146</b>
	Introduction and Motivation . . . . .	146
	Power Distribution Network Model . . . . .	147
	Fair-Splitting Allocation . . . . .	150
	Optimal Allocation . . . . .	154
	Flow-Feasible Allocation . . . . .	158
	Discussion . . . . .	166
<b>7</b>	<b>The PageRank Problem</b>	<b>168</b>
	Introduction and Motivation . . . . .	168
	The PageRank Problem . . . . .	170
	Centralized Computation of PageRank Values . . . . .	171
	Asynchronous Computation of PageRank Values . . . . .	172
	The Asynchronous PageRank Algorithm . . . . .	178
	Discussion . . . . .	180
	<b>References</b>	<b>182</b>



# Distributed Averaging and Balancing in Network Systems

Christoforos N. Hadjicostis<sup>1</sup>, Alejandro D. Domínguez-García<sup>2</sup> and Themistoklis Charalambous<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*University of Cyprus, Cyprus*

<sup>2</sup>*University of Illinois, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Aalto University, Finland*

---

## ABSTRACT

Rapid developments in digital system and networking technologies have led to the emergence of complex systems that are *de facto* managed and controlled over cyber infrastructures, such as wireless and wired broadband networks. The emergence of this type of network systems, which range from smart grids and traffic networks of various sorts, to embedded electronic devices and robotic networks, has sparked huge interest in distributed control problems. This is due to the need to properly coordinate the information exchange between sensors, actuators, and controllers in order to enforce a desirable behavior, without relying on a centralized decision maker. In this monograph, we present some recent progress in this area by focusing on the key operations of distributed average consensus and weight/flow balancing under a variety of communication topologies and adversarial network conditions, e.g., delays, and packet drops. These operations are key in control, coordination, and optimization tasks in many emerging applications; two of these, which we discuss in detail, are the coordination of distributed energy resources, and the computation of PageRank values.

# 1

---

## Introduction

---

### Background and Motivation

Over the past few decades, the design of protocols and algorithms for distributed control and coordination in network systems, and more generally distributed function calculation, has attracted significant attention by the control, communication, and computer science communities (see, e.g., Bertsekas and Tsitsiklis (1989), Lynch (1996), Bullo *et al.* (2009), and Mesbahi and Egerstedt (2010), and the references therein). For example, given a set of interconnected nodes (which could be sensors in a sensor network, routers in a communication network, or unmanned vehicles in a multi-agent system), the nodes may be interested in (i) averaging a set of measurements, (ii) coordinating their speed or direction, (iii) jointly regulating/coordinating traffic in an urban network, (iv) transmitting data from one/multiple sources to one/multiple sinks, or (v) electing a leader with each node casting a vote.

One coordination problem that has been the subject of extensive work by many researchers within the control community since the early 2000s is the so-called consensus (or agreement) problem (see, e.g., the survey by Olfati-Saber *et al.* (2007) for some of the early work conducted by this community). In this problem, each node in the network initially possesses some value, which is in general different for every node. The objective is then for the nodes to obtain

the value of some function, the argument of which is a vector comprised by the values possessed by the nodes. In general, the nodes may not know what the function is, or they may not have access to all the entries of the argument to be passed to it. It is worth pointing out that while researchers in the control community became interested in the consensus problem in the early 2000s, its roots can be traced back to work in opinion dynamics (see the pioneering works of DeGroot (1974) and Chatterjee and Seneta (1977)), and the seminal work of Tsitsiklis (1984), in the context of distributed computing.

The average consensus problem is a special case of the consensus problem described above, where the nodes' task is to compute the average of the values they possess initially (see, e.g., Xiao and Boyd (2004)). In this case, nodes know a priori the function to be calculated (i.e., the average), but (as in the consensus problem) they do not necessarily have access to all the entries of the vector-valued argument to be passed to the function. More generally, the nodes might be interested in computing a weighted average of the values they possess, where, perhaps, the weight associated to each value is information only known to the particular node. In this case, despite the fact that nodes may know what the structure of the function is (i.e., a weighted average), they do not necessarily know all function parameters.

While there are many ways to solve consensus problems in a distributed fashion, e.g., flooding (see, e.g., Ho *et al.* (1999)), a popular approach is to use one (or more) linear iteration, where each node repeatedly updates some variables as a weighted linear combination of the previous values these variables take and those maintained by its neighbors. Each of the iterations in these algorithms can be thought of as an autonomous discrete-time linear system with a (possibly time-varying) transition matrix, also referred to as weight matrix, that is defined by the coefficients (weights) used in the linear updates. Our focus is on discrete-time linear iterations because they allow flexibility to overcome abnormalities that appear in practice (such as communication delays and faulty/malicious components), and are suitable for a variety of emerging systems with hybrid dynamics and event-driven control. We should point out, however, that several of the iterative-type algorithms we describe can be translated to continuous-time formulations.

It is worth mentioning that the idea described above of repeated use of weighted linear combinations for the consensus problem was first described

by Feller (1968) (see the discussion in Example XIII, (10.c), on page 333, and Problem 15 on page 425), who named the procedure *repeated averaging*, and made the connection between the properties of the aforementioned weight matrix and the matrix of a homogenous ergodic Markov chain. This observation was exploited later by DeGroot (1974) for the case of fixed weights. Repeated averaging with time-varying weight matrices was rigorously treated in Chatterjee and Seneta (1977), where the authors made connections to the theory of non-homogeneous Markov chains, and leveraged many results developed in this area. In this regard, the book by Seneta (2006) (originally published in 1973) is a must-read reference as it contains many tools to analyze consensus algorithms that use the idea of repeated averaging, with either time-invariant or time-varying weights.

Depending on the application, the choice of weights when using repeated averaging for consensus problems can be challenging. For example, when weights are time-invariant and the objective is for the nodes to converge to a common value, one needs to choose the weights so that the weight matrix is row stochastic (i.e., the weights on each row add up to one). However, the choice of time-invariant weights needs to be carefully done when the objective is for the nodes to obtain the value of some function. For example, when the nodes are interested in computing the average of the values they initially possess, the weights need to be balanced, i.e., they need to be chosen so that the resulting weight matrix is doubly stochastic (i.e., the weights on each row add up to one *and* the weights on each column add up to one); this can be very challenging if the nodes need to obtain such set of weights in a distributed fashion (see, e.g., Ghahserifard and Cortés (2012)). In addition, weight balancing can be critical in several other applications, including flow networks of various sorts (e.g., traffic networks and electric power networks), and adaptation/synchronization in complex networks (see, e.g., DeLellis *et al.* (2010) and Yu *et al.* (2012)).

### Scope of the Monograph

The focus of this monograph is to address several issues that arise when attempting to utilize repeated-averaging-type algorithms for distributed averaging and balancing in practical settings. These operations are key in control, coordination, and optimization tasks in many emerging applications, including

modern power distribution systems, traffic networks, embedded electronic devices, and robotic networks.

### **Communication Constraints**

The communication constraints imposed by the distributed nature of the system need to be taken into account when designing distributed algorithms, either for averaging or for weight/flow balancing. A number of protocols have been developed to address these two problems in distributed systems in which no component may have the capability to communicate directly with all other components. Chapters 3 and 4 address distributed averaging, and Chapter 5 addresses distributed balancing, for both the cases of bidirectional and non-bidirectional exchange of information among pairs of nodes.

### **Imperfect Communications**

Coping with the unreliability of the communication channels connecting pairs of nodes in the network is an important challenge. For example, if a communication link between two nodes fails permanently, the nodes need to be able to detect this issue and compensate for it by adapting their weights or via some other means. Also, unreliable communication links can cause transmissions at certain time steps to be delayed or completely dropped. For example, in wireless networks, each node should generally be able to communicate with its neighbors; however, such transmissions may become unreliable and temporarily lost, due to, for example, channel fading and interference from other sources. Acknowledgements allow senders to know whether their transmissions have been received, but this imposes additional overhead and delay, and might not be as straightforward to implement in the case of non-bidirectional exchange of information among pairs of nodes. Chapter 3 discusses these challenges in more detail, and provides algorithms for distributed averaging that overcome them.

### **Weight Choice**

As discussed earlier, in repeated-averaging-type algorithms, each component in the system updates a set of variables using weighted linear combinations of the variables of its in-neighbors, i.e., the components that can send information

to them. Depending on the task to be performed, the weights to be used need to be chosen in a particular way. In this regard, and assuming there are no constraints on the values the weights can take, if the exchange of information among the nodes in the system is bidirectional, the choice of weights is usually relatively straightforward and the nodes can do it in a distributed fashion with fairly minimal information. On the other hand, if the exchange of information among the nodes is non-bidirectional, the problem of choosing the weights is much harder even if there are no constraints on the weight choice, and the computations needed for such choice are performed by a single processor with access to all the information defining the problem. The problem complicates even further if there are restrictions on the values that the weights can take. Chapters 3 and 5 address weight choice under different constraints for different types of network systems.

### **Execution and Time Complexity vs Precision**

There are many tradeoffs in distributed algorithms for averaging, including the computational/communication complexity, the execution time of the algorithm, and the precision of the outcome. For example, flooding techniques (see, e.g., Ho *et al.* (1999)) have the components exchange messages until each component in the system becomes aware of all values that need to be averaged; thus, they enable them to compute the average or any other function of the values after a finite number of iterations (that depends on the size and structure of the network), at the cost of high memory requirements and communication complexity (especially if the size of the network is large). On the other hand, iterative strategies that rely on each node updating its value using information that is available from its immediate neighbors, do not impose high memory requirements, but they require an indefinite number of iterations; they typically converge only asymptotically, implying a compromise in precision if they are aborted after a finite number of iterations. Chapter 3 focuses on asymptotic strategies for average consensus, whereas Chapter 4 focuses on finite-time strategies, including strategies that guarantee an approximation of the average within an *a priori* chosen precision and strategies that guarantee the exact average (at the cost of higher computational complexity).

## Interplay between Cyber and Physical Layers

Most literature on distributed averaging is focused on algorithm development and the cyber layer for communication and computation on which the algorithms developed are implemented. Unless the end goal is the computation itself—this is the case in a distributed computing system—this may not be sufficient. In general, the nodes in the cyber layer are controlling some actuators or measuring some quantities in a physical system with the objective of making the physical system behave in some particular way. While taking into account the physical layer is key in understanding the overall system behavior, the physical layer model depends heavily on the particular application, and thus it is difficult to abstract out and generalize. For example, in an electric power distribution network, the nodes in the cyber layer may be controlling the amount of power injected by power generating resources. This in turn will result in power flows across the electrical lines connecting the different nodes in the electrical distribution network; these flows are governed by the physics of the system, i.e., Kirchhoff's laws. Under certain assumptions on the electrical network topology and the operating conditions, the flow of power in an electrical network can be described by a network-flow-theoretic model (see, e.g., Ford and Fulkerson (2010)), which is also used in transportation networks. Thus, in Chapters 5 and 6, we consider such network-flow-theoretic models and their interaction with the cyber layer controlling them.

## Organization

The monograph is divided into two parts; these are Part I: Theory (Chapters 2–5), and Part II: Applications (Chapters 6–7). A reader interested mainly in the averaging problem can focus only on Chapters 2, 3, and 4. A reader interested in weight choice and weight/flow balancing can focus on Chapters 2 and 5. A reader interested only in electric power applications can read Chapters 2, 3, 5, and 6. A reader interested only in the PageRank problem can read Chapters 2, 3, and 7.

We include proofs that are easy to present, do not break the flow of the document, and provide some intuition for the results; however, we omit the more complex proofs, and refer the reader to particular references that can be used to further pursue these results.

Instead of having a “centralized” literature review in this introductory chapter, and in following with the spirit of this monograph, we adopt a “distributed” literature review approach, where each chapter contains a review of the references that are relevant to the particular chapter content.



## References

---

- Angeli, D. and P. Bliman. 2006. “Stability of leaderless discrete-time multi-agent systems”. *Mathematics of Control, Signals, and Systems*. 18(4): 293–322.
- Bénézit, F., V. Blondel, P. Thiran, J. Tsitsiklis, and M. Vetterli. 2010. “Weighted gossip: Distributed averaging using non-doubly stochastic matrices”. In: *Proc. of IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*. 1753–1757.
- Bergen, A. and V. Vittal. 2000. *Power System Analysis*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Berman, A. and R. J. Plemmons. 1994. *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*. SIAM.
- Bertsekas, D. P. 1998. *Network Optimization: Continuous and Discrete Models*. Belmont, Massachusetts: Athena Scientific.
- Bertsekas, D. P. 2004. *Nonlinear Programming*. Belmont, MA: Athena Scientific.
- Bertsekas, D. P., P. A. Hosein, and P. Tseng. 1987. “Relaxation methods for network flow problems with convex arc costs”. *SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization*. 25(5): 1219–1243.
- Bertsekas, D. P. and J. N. Tsitsiklis. 1989. *Parallel and Distributed Computation*. Prentice-Hall.

- Bhat, S. and D. Bernstein. 2000. "Finite-time stability of continuous autonomous systems". *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*. 38(3): 751–766.
- Bliman, P. and G. Ferrari-Trecate. 2008. "Average consensus problems in networks of agents with delayed communications". *Automatica*. 44(8): 1985–1995.
- Blondel, V. D., J. M. Hendrickx, A. Olshevsky, and J. N. Tsitsiklis. 2005. "Convergence in multiagent coordination, consensus, and flocking". In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference*. 2996–3000.
- Boldi, P. and S. Vigna. 1997. "Computing vector functions on anonymous networks". In: *Proc. of the Sixteenth Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing*. 277.
- Bollobás, B. 2013. *Modern Graph Theory*. Vol. 184. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Bonacich, P. 1987. "Power and centrality: a family of measures". *American Journal of Sociology*. 92(5): 1170–1182.
- Boyd, S., A. Ghosh, B. Prabhakar, and D. Shah. 2005. "Gossip algorithms: design, analysis and applications". In: *Proc. of Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies*. Vol. 3. 1653–1664.
- Boyd, S. and L. Vandenberghe. 2004. *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press.
- Brémaud, P. 2013. *Markov Chains: Gibbs Fields, Monte Carlo Simulation, and Queues*. Vol. 31. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Brin, S. and L. Page. 1998. "The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual Web search engine". *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems*. 30(1-7): 107–117.
- Bullo, F., J. Cortés, and S. Martinez. 2009. *Distributed Control of Robotic Networks: A Mathematical approach to Motion Coordination Algorithms*. Princeton University Press.
- Cady, S. T., A. D. Domínguez-García, and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2015. "Finite-time approximate consensus and its application to distributed frequency regulation in islanded AC microgrids". In: *Proc. of Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. 2664–2670.

- Cady, S. T., M. Zholbaryssov, A. D. Domínguez-García, and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2017. “A distributed frequency regulation architecture for islanded inertia-less AC microgrids”. *IEEE Trans. on Control Systems Technology*. 25(6): 1961–1977.
- Cai, K. and H. Ishii. 2012. “Average consensus on general strongly connected digraphs”. *Automatica*. 48(11): 2750–2761.
- Cai, K. and H. Ishii. 2014. “Average consensus on arbitrary strongly connected digraphs with time-varying topologies”. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 59(4): 1066–1071.
- Charalambous, T. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2013. “Distributed formation of balanced and bistochastic weighted adjacency matrices in digraphs”. In: *Proc. of the European Control Conference*. 1752–1757.
- Charalambous, T. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2014. “Average consensus in the presence of dynamically changing directed topologies and time delays”. In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 709–714.
- Charalambous, T., Y. Yuan, T. Yang, W. Pan, C. N. Hadjicostis, and M. Johansson. 2015. “Distributed finite-time average consensus in digraphs in the presence of time delays”. *IEEE Trans. on Control of Network Systems*. 2(4): 370–381.
- Chatterjee, S. and E. Seneta. 1977. “Towards consensus: some convergence theorems on repeated averaging”. *Journal of Applied Probability*. 14(1): 89–97.
- Chen, Y., R. Tron, A. Terzis, and R. Vidal. 2010. “Corrective consensus: Converging to the exact average”. In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 1221–1228.
- Clifton, C. E. and B. Pasik-Duncan. 2015. “Parameter estimation in a stochastic system model for PageRank”. In: *Proc. of SIAM Conference on Control and Its Applications*. 431–438.
- Cohen, J. E., H. Kesten, and C. M. Newman. 1986. *Random matrices and their applications: Proc. of the AMS-IMS-SIAM Joint Summer Research Conference held June 17-23, 1984, with support from the National Science Foundation*. Vol. 50. American Mathematical Society.
- Cortés, J. 2006. “Finite-time convergent gradient flows with applications to network consensus”. *Automatica*. 42(11): 1993–2000.

- Csáji, B. C., R. M. Jungers, and V. D. Blondel. 2014. "PageRank optimization by edge selection". *Discrete Applied Mathematics*. 169: 73–87.
- Dean, J. and S. Ghemawat. 2008. "MapReduce: simplified data processing on large clusters". *Communications of the ACM*. 51(1): 107–113.
- DeGroot, M. H. 1974. "Reaching a consensus". *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 69(345): 118–121.
- DeLellis, P., M. di Bernardo, F. Garofalo, and M. Porfiri. 2010. "Evolution of complex networks via edge snapping". *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems*. 57(8): 2132–2143.
- Dimakis, A. G., S. Kar, J. M. Moura, M. G. Rabbat, and A. Scaglione. 2010. "Gossip algorithms for distributed signal processing". *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 98(11): 1847–1864.
- Dion, J.-M., C. Commault, and J. van der Woude. 2003. "Generic properties and control of linear structured systems: a survey". *Automatica*. 39(7): 1125–1144.
- Djokovic, D. Z. 1970. "Note on nonnegative matrices". *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 25(1): 80–82.
- Domínguez-García, A. D. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2010. "Coordination and control of distributed energy resources for provision of ancillary services". In: *Proc. IEEE SmartGridComm*. 537–542.
- Domínguez-García, A. D. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2011. "Distributed algorithms for control of demand response and distributed energy resources". In: *Proc. IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 27–32.
- Domínguez-García, A. D., S. T. Cady, and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2012a. "Decentralized optimal dispatch of distributed energy resources". In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 3688–3693.
- Domínguez-García, A. D. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2013. "Distributed matrix scaling and application to average consensus in directed graphs". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 58(3): 667–681.
- Domínguez-García, A. D., C. N. Hadjicostis, and N. Vaidya. 2012b. "Resilient networked control of distributed energy resources". *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*. 30(6): 1137–1148.
- Eyal, I., I. Keidar, and R. Rom. 2012. "LiMoSense—live monitoring in dynamic sensor networks". In: *Algorithms for Sensor Systems*. Springer. 72–85.

- Fagnani, F. and S. Zampieri. 2009. "Average consensus with packet drop communication". *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*. 48(1): 102–133.
- Fang, L. and P. Antsaklis. 2005. "Information consensus of asynchronous discrete-time multi-agent systems". In: *Proc. of American Control Conference*. 1883–1888.
- Fawzi, H., P. Tabuada, and S. Diggavi. 2014. "Secure estimation and control for cyber-physical systems under adversarial attacks". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 59(6): 1454–1467.
- Feller, W. 1968. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Volume I*. 3rd ed. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Fercoq, O., M. Akian, M. Bouhtou, and S. Gaubert. 2013. "Ergodic control and polyhedral approaches to PageRank optimization". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 58(1): 134–148.
- Ford, L. and D. R. Fulkerson. 2010. *Flows in Networks*. Princeton University Press.
- Franceschelli, M., A. Giua, and C. Seatzu. 2011. "Distributed averaging in sensor networks based on broadcast gossip algorithms". *IEEE Sensors Journal*. 11(3): 808–817.
- Frasca, P. and J. M. Hendrickx. 2013. "On the mean square error of randomized averaging algorithms". *Automatica*. 49(8): 2496–2501.
- Freris, N. M. and P. Patrinos. 2016. "Distributed computing over encrypted data". In: *Proc. of Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*. 1116–1122.
- Georgopoulos, L. 2011. "Definitive Consensus for Distributed Data Inference". *PhD thesis*. École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne.
- Gharesifard, B. and J. Cortés. 2009. "Distributed strategies for making a digraph weight-balanced". *Proc. of Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*. Sept.: 771–777.
- Gharesifard, B. and J. Cortés. 2010. "When does a digraph admit a doubly stochastic adjacency matrix?" *Proc. of American Control Conference*: 2440–2445.
- Gharesifard, B. and J. Cortés. 2012. "Distributed strategies for generating weight-balanced and doubly stochastic digraphs". *European Journal of Control*. 18(6): 539–557.

- Giannini, S., A. Petitti, D. D. Paola, and A. Rizzo. 2016. "Asynchronous max-consensus protocol with time delays: convergence results and applications". *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*. 63(2): 256–264.
- Gleich, D. F. 2015. "PageRank beyond the Web". *SIAM Review*. 57(3): 321–363.
- Godsil, C. and G. Roy. 2000. *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Springer, New York, USA.
- Hadjicostis, C. N. and T. Charalambous. 2014. "Average Consensus in the presence of delays in directed graph topologies". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 59(3): 763–768.
- Hadjicostis, C. N. and T. Charalambous. 2011. "Asynchronous coordination of distributed energy resources for the provisioning of ancillary services". In: *Proc. of Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*. 1500–1507.
- Hadjicostis, C. N. and A. D. Domínguez-García. 2016. "Distributed balancing in digraphs under interval constraints". In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 1769–1774.
- Hadjicostis, C. N., N. H. Vaidya, and A. D. Domínguez-García. 2016. "Robust distributed average consensus via exchange of running sums". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 61(6): 1492–1507.
- Hajnal, J. 1958. "Weak ergodicity in non-homogeneous Markov chains". *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*. 54: 233–246.
- Hendrickx, J. M., R. M. Jungers, A. Olshevsky, and G. Vankeerberghen. 2014. "Graph diameter, eigenvalues, and minimum-time consensus". *Automatica*. 50(2): 635–640.
- Hendrickx, J. M. and J. N. Tsitsiklis. 2015. "Fundamental limitations for anonymous distributed systems with broadcast communications". In: *Proc. of 53rd Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*. 9–16.
- Ho, C., K. Obraczka, G. Tsudik, and K. Viswanath. 1999. "Flooding for reliable multicast in multi-hop ad hoc networks". In: *Proc. of 3rd International Workshop on Discrete Algorithms and Methods for Mobile Computing and Communications*. ACM. 64–71.

- Ho, T., B. Leong, R. Koetter, M. Médard, M. Effros, and D. R. Karger. 2008. “Byzantine modification detection in multicast networks with random network coding”. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*. 54(6): 2798–2803.
- Hoffman, A. J. 1960. “Some recent applications of the theory of linear inequalities to extremal combinatorial analysis”. In: *Proc. of Symposium of Applied Mathematics*. Vol. 10. 113–127.
- Horn, R. A. and C. R. Johnson. 1985. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Huang, Z., S. Mitra, and G. Dullerud. 2012. “Differentially private iterative synchronous consensus”. In: *Proc. of the 2012 ACM Workshop on Privacy in the Electronic Society*. 81–90.
- Hui, Q., M. Haddad, and S. Bhat. 2008. “Finite-time semistability and consensus for nonlinear dynamical networks”. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 53(8): 1887–1900.
- Ishii, H. and R. Tempo. 2010. “Distributed randomized algorithms for the PageRank computation”. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 55(9): 1987–2002.
- Ishii, H. and R. Tempo. 2014. “The PageRank problem, multiagent consensus, and Web aggregation: A systems and control viewpoint”. *IEEE Control Systems*. 34(3): 34–53.
- Ishii, H., R. Tempo, and E.-W. Bai. 2012. “A Web aggregation approach for distributed randomized PageRank algorithms”. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 57(11): 2703–2717.
- Jadbabaie, A., J. Lin, and A. Morse. 2003. “Coordination of groups of mobile autonomous agents using nearest neighbor rules”. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 48(6): 988–1001.
- Joos, G., B. Ooi, D. McGillis, F. Galiana, and R. Marceau. 2000. “The potential of distributed generation to provide ancillary services”. In: *Proc. of IEEE Power Engineering Society Summer Meeting*. Vol. 3.
- Kar, S. and J. M. F. Moura. 2009. “Distributed consensus algorithms in sensor networks with imperfect communication: Link failures and channel noise”. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*. 57(1): 355–369.
- Kar, S. and J. M. F. Moura. 2010. “Distributed consensus algorithms in sensor networks: Quantized data and random link failures”. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*. 58(3): 1383–1400.

- Kefayati, M., M. S. Talebi, B. H. Khalaj, and H. R. Rabiee. 2007. "Secure consensus averaging in sensor networks using random offsets". In: *Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Telecommunications and Malaysia International Conference on Communications (ICT-MICC 2007)*. 556–560.
- Kempe, D., A. Dobra, and J. Gehrke. 2003. "Gossip-based computation of aggregate information". *Proc. of IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*: 482–491.
- Kia, S. S., J. Cortés, and S. Martinez. 2015. "Dynamic average consensus under limited control authority and privacy requirements". *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*. 25(13): 1941–1966.
- Kibangou, A. 2012. "Graph Laplacian based matrix design for finite-time distributed average consensus". In: *Proc. of the American Control Conference*. 1901–1906.
- Kingston, D. B. and R. W. Beard. 2006. "Discrete-time average-consensus under switching network topologies". In: *Proc. of American Control Conference*. 3551–3556.
- Ko, C.-K. 2010. "On Matrix Factorization and Scheduling for Finite-Time Average-Consensus". *PhD thesis*. California Institute of Technology.
- Langville, A. N. and C. D. Meyer. 2006. *Google's PageRank and Beyond: The Science of Search Engine Rankings*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press.
- LeBlanc, H. J., H. Zhang, X. Koutsoukos, and S. Sundaram. 2013. "Resilient asymptotic consensus in robust networks". *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*. 31(4): 766–781.
- Lofgren, P. 2014. "On the complexity of the Monte Carlo method for incremental PageRank". *Information Processing Letters*. 114(3): 104–106.
- Lynch, N. A. 1996. *Distributed Algorithms*. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Madrigal, M. and V. H. Quintana. 2000. "An analytical solution to the economic dispatch problem". *IEEE Power Engineering Review*. 20(9): 52–55.
- Manitara, N. E. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2017. "Distributed stopping for average consensus in digraphs". *IEEE Trans. on Control of Network Systems*.
- Manitara, N. E. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2013. "Privacy-preserving asymptotic average consensus". In: *Proc. of European Control Conference*. 760–765.



- Marshall, A. W. and I. Olkin. 1968. "Scaling of matrices to achieve specified row and column sums". *Numerische Mathematik*. 12(1): 83–90.
- Mesbahi, M. and M. Egerstedt. 2010. *Graph Theoretic Methods in Multiagent Networks*. Princeton University Press.
- Meyer, C. D. 2000. *Matrix Analysis and Applied Linear Algebra*. SIAM.
- Meyn, S. P. and R. L. Tweedie. 2012. *Markov Chains and Stochastic Stability*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Mo, Y. and R. M. Murray. 2017. "Privacy preserving average consensus". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 62(2): 753–765.
- Mohajerin Esfahani, P., M. Vrakopoulou, K. Margellos, J. Lygeros, and G. Andersson. 2010. "Cyber attack in a two-area power system: Impact identification using reachability". In: *Proc. of American Control Conference*. 962–967.
- Moreau, L. 2005. "Stability of multiagent systems with time-dependent communication links". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 50(2): 169–182.
- Nazin, A. and B. Polyak. 2011. "Randomized algorithm to determine the eigenvector of a stochastic matrix with application to the PageRank problem". *Automation and Remote Control*. 72(2): 342–352.
- Nedic, A., A. Ozdaglar, and P. A. Parrilo. 2010. "Constrained consensus and optimization in multi-agent networks". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 55(4): 922–938.
- Nedić, A. and A. Olshevsky. 2015. "Distributed optimization over time-varying directed graphs". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 60(3): 601–615.
- Olfati-Saber, R., J. Fax, and R. Murray. 2007. "Consensus and cooperation in networked multi-agent systems". *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 95(1): 215–233.
- Olfati-Saber, R. and R. Murray. 2004. "Consensus problems in networks of agents with switching topology and time-delays". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 49(9): 1520–1533.
- Oliva, G., S. Cioabă, and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2017a. "Distributed calculation of edge-disjoint spanning trees for robustifying distributed algorithms against man-in-the-middle attacks". *IEEE Trans. on Control of Network Systems*.
- Oliva, G., R. Setola, and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2017b. "Distributed finite-time average-consensus with limited computational and storage capability". *IEEE Trans. on Control of Network Systems*. 4(2): 380–391.

- Olshevsky, A. and J. N. Tsitsiklis. 2009. "Convergence speed in distributed consensus and averaging". *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*. 48(1): 33–55.
- Parlett, B. N. and T. L. Landis. 1982. "Methods for scaling to doubly stochastic form". *Linear Algebra and its Applications*. 48: 53–79.
- Pasqualetti, F., F. Dorfler, and F. Bullo. 2013. "Attack detection and identification in cyber-physical systems". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 58(11): 2715–2729.
- Pasqualetti, F., A. Bicchi, and F. Bullo. 2012. "Consensus computation in unreliable networks: A system theoretic approach". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 57(1): 90–104.
- Patterson, S., B. Bamieh, and A. El Abbadi. 2007. "Distributed average consensus with stochastic communication failures". In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 4215–4220.
- Priolo, A., A. Gasparri, E. Montijano, and C. Sagues. 2014. "A distributed algorithm for average consensus on strongly connected weighted digraphs". *Automatica*. 50(3): 946–951.
- Qian, L., Z. Luo, Y. Du, and L. Guo. 2009. "Cloud computing: An overview". In: *Cloud Computing*. Ed. by M. Jaatun, G. Zhao, and C. Rong. Vol. 5931. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 626–631.
- Qu, Z., C. Li, and F. Lewis. 2014. "Cooperative control with distributed gain adaptation and connectivity estimation for directed networks". *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*. 24(3): 450–476.
- Rabbat, M. and K. Tsianos. 2014. "Asynchronous decentralized optimization in heterogeneous systems". In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 1125–1130.
- Ravazzi, C., P. Frasca, R. Tempo, and H. Ishii. 2015. "Ergodic randomized algorithms and dynamics over networks". *IEEE Trans. on Control of Network Systems*. 2(1): 78–87.
- Rikos, A. I. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2013. "Distributed balancing of a digraph with integer weights". In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 1983–1988.
- Rikos, A. I. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2016. "Distributed integer weight balancing within interval constraints". In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 1775–1780.

- Rikos, A. I. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2017. “Distributed integer weight balancing in the presence of time delays in directed graphs”. *IEEE Trans. on Control of Network Systems*. PP(99).
- Rikos, A., T. Charalambous, and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2014. “Distributed weight balancing over digraphs”. *IEEE Trans. on Control of Network Systems*. 1(2): 190–201.
- Rockafellar, R. T. 1984. “Network Flows and Monotropic Optimization”.
- Roditty, L. and V. Vassilevska Williams. 2013. “Fast approximation algorithms for the diameter and radius of sparse graphs”. In: *Proc. of ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*. 515–524.
- Roman, S. 2005. *Advanced Linear Algebra*. Vol. 3. Springer.
- Sankaralingam, K., S. Sethumadhavan, and J. Browne. 2003. “Distributed PageRank for P2P systems”. In: *Proc. of IEEE International Symposium on High Performance Distributed Computing*. 58–68.
- Schneider, M. H. and S. A. Zenios. 1990. “A comparative study of algorithms for matrix balancing”. *Operations Research*. 38(3): 439–455.
- Sendra, J. and J. Llovet. 1992. “Rank of a Hankel matrix over  $Z[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ ”. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*. 3(4): 245–256.
- Seneta, E. 2006. *Non-negative Matrices and Markov Chains*. Revised Printing from 1973. New York, NY: Springer.
- Seyboth, G. S., D. V. Dimarogonas, and K. H. Johansson. 2013. “Event-based broadcasting for multi-agent average consensus”. *Automatica*. 49(1): 245–252.
- Shames, I., T. Charalambous, C. N. Hadjicostis, and M. Johansson. 2012. “Distributed network size estimation and average degree estimation and control in networks isomorphic to directed graphs”. In: *Proc. of Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*. 1885–1892.
- Shamma, J. 2008. *Cooperative Control of Distributed Multi-Agent Systems*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Sou, K. C., H. Sandberg, and K. Johansson. 2011. “Electric power network security analysis via minimum cut relaxation”. In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference*. 4054–4059.
- Strang, G. 1993. *Introduction to Linear Algebra*. Vol. 3. Wellesley, MA: Wellesley-Cambridge Press.

- Sundaram, S. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2007. "Finite-time distributed consensus in graphs with time-invariant topologies". In: *Proc. of the American Control Conference*. 711–716.
- Sundaram, S. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2008. "Distributed function calculation and consensus using linear iterative strategies". *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*. 26(4): 650–660.
- Sundaram, S. and C. N. Hadjicostis. 2011. "Distributed function calculation via linear iterative strategies in the presence of malicious agents". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 56(7): 1495–1508.
- Trefethen, L. N. and D. Bau III. 1997. *Numerical Linear Algebra*. Vol. 50. SIAM.
- Tsitsiklis, J. 1984. "Problems in Decentralized Decision Making and Computation". *PhD thesis*. Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.
- Vaidya, N. H., L. Tseng, and G. Liang. 2012. "Iterative approximate Byzantine consensus in arbitrary directed graphs". In: *Proc. of the 2012 ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing*. 365–374.
- Wang, L. and F. Xiao. 2010. "Finite-time consensus problems for networks of dynamic agents". *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 55(4): 950–955.
- West, D. B. 2001. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. Vol. 2. Prentice hall Upper Saddle River.
- Wolfowitz, J. 1963. "Products of indecomposable, aperiodic, stochastic matrices". *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 15: 733–737.
- Wood, A. and B. Wollenberg. 1996. *Power Generation, Operation, and Control*. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Xiao, L. and S. Boyd. 2004. "Fast linear iterations for distributed averaging". *Systems and Control Letters*. 53(1): 65–78.
- Xiao, L. and S. Boyd. 2006. "Optimal scaling of a gradient method for distributed resource allocation". *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*. 129(3): 469–488.
- Xiao, L., S. Boyd, and S. Lall. 2005a. "A scheme for robust distributed sensor fusion based on average consensus". In: *Proc. of the 4th International Symposium on Information Processing in Sensor Networks*. 9.

- Xiao, L., S. Boyd, and S. Lall. 2005b. “Distributed average consensus with time-varying metropolis weights”. In: *Proc. of the International Conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks (IPSN)*. 63–70.
- Yadav, V. and M. V. Salapaka. 2007. “Distributed protocol for determining when averaging consensus is reached”. In: *Proc. of Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*. 715–720.
- Yang, T., J. Lu, D. Wu, J. Wu, G. Shi, Z. Meng, and K. H. Johansson. 2017. “A distributed algorithm for economic dispatch over time-varying directed networks with delays”. *IEEE Trans. on Industrial Electronics*. 64(6): 5095–5106.
- Yang, T., D. Wu, Y. Sun, and J. Lian. 2016. “Minimum-time consensus-based approach for power system applications”. *IEEE Trans. on Industrial Electronics*. 63(2): 1318–1328.
- You, K., R. Tempo, and L. Qiu. 2015. “Randomized incremental algorithms for the PageRank computation”. In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 431–436.
- You, K., R. Tempo, and L. Qiu. 2017. “Distributed algorithms for computation of centrality measures in complex networks”. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 62(5): 2080–2094.
- Yu, W., P. DeLellis, G. Chen, M. di Bernardo, and J. Kurths. 2012. “Distributed adaptive control of synchronization in complex networks”. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*. 57(8): 2153–2158.
- Yuan, Y., G.-B. Stan, M. Barahona, L. Shi, and J. Gonçalves. 2013. “Decentralised minimum-time consensus”. *Automatica*. 49(5): 1227–1235.
- Yuan, Y., G.-B. Stan, L. Shi, and J. Gonçalves. 2009. “Decentralised final value theorem for discrete-time LTI systems with application to minimal-time distributed consensus”. In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 2664–2669.
- Zanella, F., D. Varagnolo, A. Cenedese, G. Pillonetto, and L. Schenato. 2011. “Newton-Raphson consensus for distributed convex optimization”. In: *Proc. of IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. 5917–5922.
- Zhu, Y., S. Ye, and X. Li. 2005. “Distributed PageRank computation based on iterative aggregation-disaggregation methods”. In: *Proc. of ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*. 578–585.