

**Coping with Errors in
Organizations: Challenges,
Opportunities, and Frontiers
for Operations Management
Research**

Other titles in Foundations and Trends® in Technology, Information and Operations Management

Inventory Management: Modeling Real-life Supply Chains and Empirical Validity

Ton de Kok

ISBN: 978-1-68083-416-1

Operations in Financial Services: Processes, Technologies, and Risks

Michael Pinedo and Yuqian Xu

ISBN: 978-1-68083-336-2

Integrated Risk Management in Supply Chains

Panos Kouvelis, Ling Dong and Danko Turcic (eds.)

ISBN: 978-1-68083-378-2

Supply Chain Finance

Panos Kouvelis, Ling Dong and Danko Turcic (eds.)

ISBN: 978-1-68083-376-8

Coping with Errors in Organizations: Challenges, Opportunities, and Frontiers for Operations Management Research

Eitan Naveh

Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Israel
naveh@ie.technion.ac.il

Zhike Lei

Pepperdine University, USA
zhike.lei@pepperdine.edu

now

the essence of knowledge

Boston — Delft

Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management

Published, sold and distributed by:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 1024
Hanover, MA 02339
United States
Tel. +1-781-985-4510
www.nowpublishers.com
sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America:

now Publishers Inc.
PO Box 179
2600 AD Delft
The Netherlands
Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

E. Naveh and Z. Lei. *Coping with Errors in Organizations: Challenges, Opportunities, and Frontiers for Operations Management Research*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 349–433, 2019.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-607-3

© 2019 E. Naveh and Z. Lei

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

**Foundations and Trends® in Technology,
Information and Operations Management**

Volume 12, Issue 4, 2019

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Charles Corbett

UCLA, Anderson School of Management
United States

Editors

Fernando Bernstein

Duke University

Cheryl Gaimon

Georgia Institute of Technology

Uday Karmarkar

University of California, Los Angeles

Sunder Kekre

Carnegie Mellon University

Panos Kouvelis

Washington University

Michael Lapré

Vanderbilt University

Karl Ulrich

University of Pennsylvania

Luk van Wassenhove

INSEAD

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends® in Technology, Information and Operations Management publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- B2B Commerce
- Business Process Engineering and Design
- Business Process Outsourcing
- Capacity Planning
- Competitive Operations
- Contracting in Supply Chains
- E-Commerce and E-Business Models
- Electronic markets, auctions and exchanges
- Enterprise Management Systems
- Facility Location
- Information Chain Structure and Competition
- International Operations
- Marketing/Manufacturing Interfaces
- Multi-location inventory theory
- New Product & Service Design
- Queuing Networks
- Reverse Logistics
- Service Logistics and Product Support
- Supply Chain Management
- Technology Management and Strategy
- Technology, Information and Operations in:
 - Automotive Industries
 - Electronics manufacturing
 - Financial Services
 - Health Care
 - Media and Entertainment
 - Process Industries
 - Retailing
 - Telecommunications

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends® in Technology, Information and Operations Management, 2019, Volume 12, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1571-9545. ISSN online version 1571-9553. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Defining Elements of Errors in Organizations	7
3	OM's Main Methodologies and Errors	12
3.1	Quality	14
3.2	Safety	25
3.3	Lean Agile	27
3.4	Supply Chain Management	31
3.5	Project Management	33
3.6	Sustainability	34
3.7	Industry 4.0	37
4	OM Methodologies and Organizational Research on Errors	41
4.1	Prioritization: Coping with Competing Demands, Goals, and Strategies	43
4.2	Temporal Dynamism: Time, Timing, Speed, and Busyness Issues in Error Situations	57
4.3	Level of Analysis: Integrating Key Players in Error Situations	64
5	Conclusions	69

Acknowledgements	71
References	72

Coping with Errors in Organizations: Challenges, Opportunities, and Frontiers for Operations Management Research

Eitan Naveh¹ and Zhike Lei²

¹*Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Israel; naveh@ie.technion.ac.il*

²*Pepperdine University, USA; zhike.lei@pepperdine.edu*

ABSTRACT

Errors prevail in various aspect of our lives such as physical safety, organizational success, financial outcomes, and even the political arena. For this reason, errors merit study in their own right as an important phenomenon of growing theoretical and managerial significance. Although much has been written in the past few decades about product life cycles, efficiency, quality control, and learning in organizations, error research has yet to be at the center stage of organizational science and practice, and our understanding of error-coping strategies remains limited. Our aim in this monograph is to present and integrate various cutting-edge theoretical frameworks and methodologies from the operations management and organizational research literatures. By promoting a dialogue between two primary business disciplines, we hope to illuminate some promising paths for the integration of specific approaches and diverse disciplinary backgrounds. Through the development of learning exchanges within OM and between OM and organizational research methodologies,

Eitan Naveh and Zhike Lei (2019), “Coping with Errors in Organizations: Challenges, Opportunities, and Frontiers for Operations Management Research”, *Foundations and Trends® in Technology, Information and Operations Management*: Vol. 12, No. 4, pp 349–433. DOI: 10.1561/02000000055.

we strive to help managerial practitioners and policy makers to build a body of knowledge on errors that is more visible, inspectable, systematic, and influential on their daily practice. The ultimate goals are centered on reducing adverse error consequences while, also importantly, capitalizing on opportunities for positive outcomes such as innovation and learning.

Keywords: error; error management; quality; safety; lean agile; supply chain management; project management; sustainability; Industry 4.0; learning; continuous improvement.

1

Introduction

Errors in organizations are a recurring fact of organizational life and prevail in the economy, the environment, and the political arena. Errors attract much public attention when they result in product failures, service interruptions, and injuries, even loss of human lives and public threats. Consider the manufacturing errors that led to massive Toyota (Austen-Smith *et al.*, 2017) or Honda vehicle recalls, the medical errors that are responsible for thousands of deaths in U.S. hospitals each year, or the human errors that contributed to BP's oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico or the Fukushima disaster in Japan. However, errors merit some praises in their own right as an important source of feedback, learning, and innovation. For example, the roots of the philosophy of science can be traced back to the process of eliminating erroneous approaches in the sense of falsification (Frese and Keith, 2015). Award-winning innovative firms, such as 3M and IDEO, deliberately use errors as a rich source of feedback and improvement and intently explore opportunities for product innovation.

The wisdom of effectively managing and learning from errors is incontrovertible and even seems obvious. Indeed, organizational research on errors has grown tremendously over the past two decades (see Frese and

Keith, 2015; Lei *et al.*, 2016, for a review); similarly, errors themselves have become an explicit subject of interest in the operations management (OM) literature. For example, a few OM studies have analyzed the latent impact of design errors on construction projects (Parvan *et al.*, 2015). Within this line of research, Burati *et al.*, (1992) reported that design defects were responsible for 79% of total change costs and 9.5% of total project costs. Cusack (1992) showed that documentation errors increase project costs by 10%. Hanna *et al.* (2002) found that design errors led to between 38% and 50% of change orders in the projects they studied. More recently, Lopez and Love (2012) reported that the average of direct and indirect costs for design errors is about 7% of contract value.

It is, however, one (encouraging) thing to see some advances on paper in error research across different disciplines (e.g., organizational research, OM, health care), and quite another to admit that error research has yet to flourish within and across particular disciplines and that organizations constantly miss the mark of effectively mitigating errors and learning from them (Edmondson, 1996; Lei *et al.*, 2016). From the vantage point of scholarship, recent advancements in different lines of error research have largely progressed in isolation from each other and sometimes raise inconsistent or competing views. A lack of cross-fertilization between different perspectives may provide researchers and managers with mixed guidance and confusing insights for designing and implementing effective mitigation strategies and programs. In terms of practice, consider the health care industry: the number of preventable deaths from medical errors in American hospitals remains high, with recent estimates suggesting more than 250,000 per year (Makary and Daniel, 2016), compared to the estimate of up to 98,000 per year according to the Institute of Medicine's blockbuster report in 2000 (Donaldson *et al.*, 2000).

Some researchers, policymakers, administrators, and experts believe that this less-than-satisfying outcome of overcoming error consequences and challenges, particularly in the health care sector, stems from a lack of commitment to or insufficient implementation of quality assurance practices. We, however, challenge this reasoning and suggest that a lack of organizational goodwill or the existence of implementation difficulties

are not sufficient to explain the persistent challenges of effectively coping with errors in organizations and in the public sector. Rather, we believe deeper root causes may lie in at least three key facts, namely:

- (1) errors have not been at the center stage of research in most disciplines (e.g., OM, OR, health care, general management);
- (2) our current knowledge about errors in organizations is insufficient and segregated; and
- (3) synergy within and beyond any disciplinary field has yet to emerge.

In sum, a general lack of insights into synthesizing knowledge within disciplines and from various disciplines and multiple industry contexts (e.g., reliability-focused versus innovation-driven industries, routine operations versus nonroutine tasks) is hampering progress and breakthroughs toward mitigating errors to avoid adverse outcomes while learning from them to reap long-term benefits.

The time is ripe for broadening and integrating different ideas and practices across disciplines that use diverse methodologies in order to help organizations to excel in reliability, performance, and innovation. To achieve this goal, in this monograph we aim to present and integrate various cutting-edge theoretical frameworks and methodologies from the operations management and organizational research literatures. By promoting a dialogue between two primary business disciplines, we hope to illuminate a number of promising paths to the integration of specific approaches and diverse disciplinary backgrounds. Through the development of learning dialogues within OM and between OM and organizational research methodologies, we strive to help managerial practitioners and policy makers to build a body of knowledge on errors that is more visible, inspectable, systematic, and influential on their daily practice. The ultimate goals are centered on reducing adverse error consequences while, also importantly, capitalizing on opportunities for positive error-related outcomes such as innovation, continuous improvement, and learning.

The remainder of this monograph is organized as follows. First, we introduce the key definition of errors in organizations and its relationship with other concepts. Second, we provide a well-structured review

of the body of knowledge about errors in the OM literature. Third, by contrasting different research methodologies present in the fields of OM and organizational research, we suggest using the priority, level of analysis, and temporal lenses for a more integrative, holistic approach to errors in organizations, an approach that may allow for a learning dialogue and thus synergy between the two fields. Finally, we identify and suggest research and practical implications of the challenges, opportunities, and frontiers involved in reducing errors' negative consequences while increasing the learning and innovation possibilities they provide.

References

- Abernathy, W. J. (1978). *The productivity dilemma: Roadblock to innovation in the industry*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Adler, P. S., M. Benner, D. J. Brunner, J. P. MacDuffie, E. Osono, B. R. Staats, H. Takeuchi, M. Tushman, and S. G. Winter (2009). “Perspectives on the productivity dilemma”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 27(2): 99–113.
- Adler, P. S., B. Goldoftas, and D. I. Levine (1999). “Flexibility versus efficiency? A case study of model changeovers in the Toyota production system”. *Organization Science*. 10(1): 43–68.
- Agrawal, A. and S. Muthulingam (2015). “Does organizational forgetting affect vendor quality performance? An empirical investigation”. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*. 17(3): 350–367.
- Anand, G., J. Gray, and E. Siemsen (2012). “Decay, shock, and renewal: Operational routines and process entropy in the pharmaceutical industry”. *Organization Science*. 23(6): 1700–1716.
- Argote, L. (2012). *Organizational learning: Creating, retaining and transferring knowledge*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Argote, L. and D. Epple (1990). “Learning curves in manufacturing”. *Science*. 247(4945): 920–924.
- Aron, R., S. Dutta, R. Janakiraman, and P. A. Pathak (2011). “The impact of automation of systems on medical errors: Evidence from field research”. *Information Systems Research*. 22: 429–446.

- Ashforth, B. E. and P. H. Reingen (2014). “Functions of dysfunction: Managing the dynamics of an organizational duality in a natural food cooperative”. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 59(3): 474–516.
- ASQ: Learn About Quality. (2013). Available at: URL: <http://www.asq.org/learn-about-quality/continuous-improvement/overview/overview.html>.
- Austen-Smith, D., D. Diermeier, E. Zemel, D. Diermeier, and G. Merkley (2017). “Unintended acceleration: Toyota’s recall crisis”. *Kellogg School of Management Cases*, 1–16.
- Beck, K. *et al.* (2001). “Manifesto for agile software development”. Available at: URL: <http://agilemanifesto.org/>.
- Bell, B. S. and S. W. Kozlowski (2008). “Active learning: Effects of core training design elements on self-regulatory processes, learning, and adaptability”. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 93(2): 296.
- Bingham, C. B. and K. M. Eisenhardt (2011). “Rational heuristics: The ‘simple rules’ that strategists learn from process experience”. *Strategic Management Journal*. 32(13): 1437–1464.
- Bledow, R., M. Frese, N. Anderson, M. Erez, and J. Farr (2009). “A dialectic perspective on innovation: Conflicting demands, multiple pathways, and ambidexterity”. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*. 2(3): 305–337.
- Boehm-Davis, D. A., F. T. Durso, and J. D. Lee (2015). *APA handbook of human systems integration*. American Psychological Association.
- Borgatti, S. P. and X. Li (2009). “On social network analysis in a supply chain context”. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*. 45(2): 5–22.
- Bouchery, Y., C. J. Corbett, J. C. Fransoo, and T. Tan, eds. (2016). *Sustainable supply chains: A research-based textbook on operations and strategy*. Vol. 4. Springer.
- Bouchery, Y., C. J. Corbett, J. C. Fransoo, and T. Tan (2017). *Sustainable supply chains*. Cham: Springer.
- Boyer, K. K. and M. W. Lewis (2002). “Competitive priorities: Investigating the need for trade-offs in operations strategy”. *Production and Operations Management*. 11(1): 9–20.

- Brown, S. and J. Bessant (2003). “The manufacturing strategy-capabilities links in mass customization and agile manufacturing—an exploratory study”. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*. 23(7): 707–730.
- Bunkley, N. (2011). “Piecing together a supply chain”. *New York Times*. 12: 2.
- Burati Jr, J. L., J. J. Farrington, and W. B. Ledbetter (1992). “Causes of quality deviations in design and construction”. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 118(1): 34–49.
- Chandrasekaran, A., K. Linderman, and R. Schroeder (2012). “Antecedents to ambidexterity competency in high technology organizations”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 30(1–2): 134–151.
- Chandrasekaran, A., K. Linderman, and R. Schroeder (2015). “The role of project and organizational context in managing high-tech R&D projects”. *Production and Operations Management*. 24(4): 560–586.
- Choi, T. Y., K. J. Dooley, and M. Rungtusanatham (2001). “Supply networks and complex adaptive systems: Control versus emergence”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 19(3): 351–366.
- Cooper, M. C., D. M. Lambert, and J. D. Pagh (1997). “Supply chain management: More than a new name for logistics”. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*. 8(1): 1–14.
- Corbett, C. J. (2018). “How sustainable is big data?” *Production and Operations Management*. 27(9): 1685–1695.
- Corbett, C. and L. Van Wassenhove (1993). “Trade-offs? What trade-offs? Competence and competitiveness in manufacturing strategy”. *California Management Review*. 35(4): 107–122.
- Crosby, P. B. (1979). *Quality is free. The art of making quality certain*. Mentor book.
- Croson, R., K. Schultz, E. Siemsen, and M. L. Yeo (2013). “Behavioral operations: The state of the field”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 31(1–2): 1–5.
- Croxtton, K. L., S. J. Garcia-Dastugue, D. M. Lambert, and D. S. Rogers (2001). “The supply chain management processes”. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*. 12(2): 13–36.

- Cusack, D. (1992). "Implementation of ISO, 9000 in construction". In: *ISO, 9000 Forum Symposium. Institution of Engineers*. Gold Coast, Australia.
- Dahlin, K. B., Y. T. Chuang, and T. J. Roulet (2018). "Opportunity, motivation, and ability to learn from failures and errors: Review, synthesis, and ways to move forward". *Academy of Management Annals*. 12(1): 252–277.
- Dai, H., K. L. Milkman, D. A. Hofmann, and B. R. Staats (2015). "The impact of time at work and time off from work on rule compliance: The case of hand hygiene in health care". *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 100(3): 846.
- Deming, W. E. (1982). *Quality productivity and competition position*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Detert, J. R., R. G. Schroeder, and J. J. Mauriel (2000). "A framework for linking culture and improvement initiatives in organizations". *Academy of Management Review*. 25(4): 850–863.
- DiMaggio, P. and H. Louch (1998). "Socially embedded consumer transactions: For what kinds of purchases do people most often use networks?" *American Sociological Review*. 63(5): 619–637.
- Donaldson, M. S., J. M. Corrigan, and L. T. Kohn, eds. (2000). *To err is human: Building a safer health system*. Vol. 6. National Academies Press.
- Duncan, R. B. (1976). "The ambidextrous organization: Designing dual structures for innovation". *The Management of Organization*. 1: 167–188.
- Edmondson, A. (1999). "Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 44(2): 350–383.
- Edmondson, A. C. (1996). "Learning from mistakes is easier said than done: Group and organizational influences on the detection and correction of human error". *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*. 32: 5–28.
- Edmondson, A. C. and Z. Lei (2014). "Psychological safety: The history, renaissance, and future of an interpersonal construct". *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology Organizational Behavior*. 1(1): 23–43.

- Feigenbaum, A. V. (1951). *Quality control: Principles, practice and administration: An industrial management tool for improving product quality and design and for reducing operating costs and losses*. McGraw-Hill.
- Feldman, M. S. (2000). "Organizational routines as a source of continuous change". *Organization Science*. 11(6): 611–629.
- Feldman, M. S. (2016). "Past, present, and future". In: *Organizational routines: How they are created, maintained, and changed*. 23–46.
- Feldman, M. S. and B. T. Pentland (2003). "Reconceptualizing organizational routines as a source of flexibility and change". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 48(1): 94–118.
- Fisher, M. L. (1997). "What is the right supply chain for your product?" *Harvard Business Review*. 75: 105–117.
- Flynn, B. B., R. G. Schroeder, and S. Sakakibara (1994). "A framework for quality management research and an associated measurement instrument". *Journal of Operations Management*. 11(4): 339–366.
- Frese, M. and N. Keith (2015). "Action errors, error management, and learning in organizations". *Annual Review of Psychology*. 66: 661–687.
- Garvin, D. A. (1988). *Managing quality: The strategic and competitive edge*. Simon and Schuster.
- Gibson, C. B. and J. Birkinshaw (2004). "The antecedents, consequences, and mediating role of organizational ambidexterity". *Academy of Management Journal*. 47(2): 209–226.
- Gilmore, H. L. (1974). "Product conformance cost". *Quality Progress*. 7(5): 16–19.
- Gimenez, C., V. Sierra, and J. Rodon (2012). "Sustainable operations: Their impact on the triple bottom line". *International Journal of Production Economics*. 140(1): 149–159.
- Goodman, P. S., R. Ramanujam, J. S. Carroll, A. C. Edmondson, D. A. Hofmann, and K. M. Sutcliffe (2011). "Organizational errors: Directions for future research". *Research in Organizational Behavior*. 31: 151–176.
- Grant, R. M. (1996). "Prospering in dynamically-competitive environments: Organizational capability as knowledge integration". *Organization Science*. 7(4): 375–387.

- Haimes, Y. (1998). *Risk modeling, assessment and management*. New York, NY: John Wiley.
- Hanna, A. S., R. Camlic, P. A. Peterson, and E. V. Nordheim (2002). “Quantitative definition of projects impacted by change orders”. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 128(1): 57–64.
- Haynes, A. B. *et al.* (2009). “A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population”. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 360(5): 491–499.
- Hendricks, K. B. and V. R. Singhal (1997). “Does implementing an effective TQM program actually improve operating performance? Empirical evidence from firms that have won quality awards”. *Management Science*. 43(9): 1258–1274.
- Hendricks, K. B. and V. R. Singhal (2001). “The long-run stock price performance of firms with effective TQM programs”. *Management Science*. 47(3): 359–368.
- Hofmann, D. A. and M. Frese, eds. (2011). *Error in organizations*. Routledge.
- Hollnagel, E., R. L. Wears, and J. Braithwaite (2015). *From Safety-I to Safety-II: A white paper*. The resilient health care net: published simultaneously by the University of Southern Denmark. University of Florida, USA: Macquarie University, Australia.
- Hunter, S. T., B. W. Tate, J. L. Dziejewczynski, and K. E. Bedell-Avers (2011). “Leaders make mistakes: A multilevel consideration of why”. *The Leadership Quarterly*. 22: 239–258.
- Hydari, M. Z., R. Telang, and W. M. Marella (2018). “Saving patient ryan—Can advanced electronic medical records make patient care safer?” *Management Science*. 65(5): 2041–2059.
- Isaac, M. (2017). “Uber’s C.E.O. plays with fire”. *The New York Times*. April 23. URL: https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/23/technology/travis-kalanick-pushes-uber-and-himself-to-the-precipice.html?partner=rss&emc=rss&smid=tw-nytimes&smtyp=cur&_r=2&referer.
- Jelinek, M. and C. Schoonhoven (1993). “The innovation marathon: Lessons from high-technology companies”.

- Juran, J. M. (1974). “Basic concepts”. In: *Quality control handbook*. McGraw-Hill New York.
- Juran, J. M. (1986). “The quality trilogy”. *Quality Progress*. 19(8): 19–24.
- Jusko, J. (2015). “2015 Q1 recall scorecard—By the numbers”. *Industry Week* (June 8). URL: <http://www.industryweek.com/quality/2015-q1-recall-scorecard-numbers>.
- Katz-Navon, T. A. L., E. Naveh, and Z. Stern (2005). “Safety climate in health care organizations: A multidimensional approach”. *Academy of Management Journal*. 48(6): 1075–1089.
- Katz-Navon, T., E. Naveh, and Z. Stern (2009). “Active learning: When is more better? The case of resident physicians’ medical errors”. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 94(5): 1200.
- Keith, N. and M. Frese (2008). “Effectiveness of error management training: A meta-analysis”. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 93(1): 59.
- Ketchen Jr, D. J. and G. T. M. Hult (2007). “Bridging organization theory and supply chain management: The case of best value supply chains”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 25(2): 573–580.
- Kim, Y., T. Y. Choi, T. Yan, and K. Dooley (2011). “Structural investigation of supply networks: A social network analysis approach”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 29(3): 194–211.
- Klein, K. J. and S. W. Kozlowski (2000). *Multilevel theory, research, and methods in organizations: Foundations, extensions, and new directions*. Jossey-Bass.
- Langley, A. N. N., C. Smallman, H. Tsoukas, and A. H. Van de Ven (2013). “Process studies of change in organization and management: Unveiling temporality, activity, and flow”. *Academy of Management Journal*. 56(1): 1–13.
- Lapré, M. A. and I. M. Nembhard (2011). “Inside the organizational learning curve: Understanding the organizational learning process”. *Foundations and Trends in Technology, Information and Operations Management*. 4(1): 1–103.
- Lei, Z. (2018). *Fast, slow, and pause: Understanding error reporting via a temporal lens*. Hagen.

- Lei, Z. and E. Naveh (2018). “Stuck between two lives: The paradox of eliminating and welcoming errors”. *American Journal of Medical Quality: The Official Journal of the American College of Medical Quality*. 1062860618787641-1062860618787641.
- Lei, Z., E. Naveh, and Z. Novikov (2016). “Errors in organizations: An integrative review via level of analysis, temporal dynamism, and priority lenses”. *Journal of Management*. 42(5): 1315–1343.
- Leroy, H., F. Anseel, J. B. Halbesleben, G. T. Savage, B. Dierynck, T. Simons, D. McCaughey, and L. Sels (2012). “Behavioral integrity for safety, priority of safety, psychological safety, and patient safety: A team-level study”. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 97: 1273–1281.
- Levitt, B. and J. G. March (1988). “Organizational learning”. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 14(1): 319–338.
- Levitt, T. (1972). “Production-line approach to service”. *Harvard Business Review*. 50(5): 41–52.
- Lewis, M. W., M. A. Welsh, G. E. Dehler, and S. G. Green (2002). “Product development tensions: Exploring contrasting styles of project management”. *Academy of Management Journal*. 45(3): 546–564.
- Liffler, M. and A. Tschiesner (2013). “6 January. The internet of things and the future of manufacturing”. *Mckinsey.com*.
- Linderman, K. and A. Chandrasekaran (2010). “The scholarly exchange of knowledge in operation management”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 28: 357–366.
- Linton, J. D., R. Klassen, and V. Jayaraman (2007). “Sustainable supply chains: An introduction”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 25(6): 1075–1082.
- Lopez, R. and P. E. D. Love (2012). “Design error costs in construction projects”. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 138(5): 585–593.
- Makary, M. A. and M. Daniel (2016). “Medical error—The third leading cause of death in the US”. *BMJ*. 353: i2139.
- March, J. G. (1991). “Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning”. *Organization Science*. 2(1): 71–87.
- March, J. G. (1994). “The evolution of evolution”. In: *Evolutionary dynamics of organizations*. Ed. by J. Baum and J. Singh. New York, NY: Oxford University Press. 39–52.

- Marcus, A. A. and M. L. Nichols (1996). *Acquiring and utilizing knowledge in response to unusual events in a hazardous industry*. Strategic Management Research Center, University of Minnesota.
- Marcus, A. and P. Bromiley (1988). “The rationale for regulation: Shareholder losses under various assumptions about managerial cognition”. *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*. 4(2): 357–372.
- Marr, B. (2016). “April 5, Why Everyone Must Get Ready for the 4th Industry Revolution”. Forbes.
- Marr, B. (2017). “June 20, 2016. What Everyone Must Know About Industry 4.0”. Forbes.
- Marucheck, A., N. Greis, C. Mena, and L. Cai (2011). “Product safety and security in the global supply chain: Issues, challenges and research opportunities”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 29(7–8): 707–720.
- McDonough III, E. F. and R. Leifer (1983). “Using simultaneous structures to cope with uncertainty”. *Academy of Management Journal*. 26(4): 727–735.
- Meredith, J. R. and A. Pilkington (2018). “Assessing the exchange of knowledge between operations management and other fields: Some challenges and opportunities”. *Journal of Operations Management*.
- Miron-Spektor, E., M. Erez, and E. Naveh (2011). “The effect of conformist and attentive-to-detail members on team innovation: Reconciling the innovation paradox”. *Academy of Management Journal*. 54(4): 740–760.
- Narasimhan, R., M. Swink, and S. W. Kim (2006). “Disentangling leanness and agility: An empirical investigation”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 24(5): 440–457.
- Naveh, E. and M. Erez (2004). “Innovation and attention to detail in the quality improvement paradigm”. *Management Science*. 50(11): 1576–1586.
- Naveh, E. and T. Katz-Navon (2014). “Antecedents of willingness to report medical treatment errors in health care organizations: A multilevel theoretical framework”. *Health Care Management Review*. 39(1): 21–30.

- Naylor, J. B., M. M. Naim, and D. Berry (1999). "Leagility: Integrating the lean and agile manufacturing paradigms in the total supply chain". *International Journal of Production Economics*. 62(1–2): 107–118.
- Nelson, R. R. and S. G. Winter (1982). "1982". In: *An evolutionary theory of economic change*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap/Harvard University Press.
- Netland, T. H., J. D. Schloetzer, and K. Ferdows (2015). "Implementing corporate lean programs: The effect of management control practices". *Journal of Operations Management*. 36: 90–102.
- Nissinboim, N. and E. Naveh (2018). "Process standardization and error reduction: A revisit from a choice approach". *Safety Science*. 103: 43–50.
- Ocaña, C. and E. Zemel (1996). "Learning from mistakes: A note on just-in-time systems". *Operations Research*. 44(1): 206–214.
- O'Reilly III, C. A., J. B. Harreld, and M. L. Tushman (2009). "Organizational ambidexterity: IBM and emerging business opportunities". *California Management Review*. 51(4): 75–99.
- O'Reilly III, C. A. and M. L. Tushman (2011). "Organizational ambidexterity in action: How managers explore and exploit". *California Management Review*. 53(4): 5–22.
- Pagell, M. and Z. Wu (2017). "Business implications of sustainability practices in supply chains". In: *Sustainable supply chains*. Cham: Springer. 339–353.
- Parasuraman, A., V. A. Zeithaml, and L. L. Berry (1985). "A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research". *The Journal of Marketing*: 41–50.
- Parvan, K., H. Rahmandad, and A. Haghani (2015). "Inter-phase feedbacks in construction projects". *Journal of Operations Management*. 39: 48–62.
- Patanakul, P. (2015). "Key attributes of effectiveness in managing project portfolio". *International Journal of Project Management*. 33(5): 1084–1097.
- Perrow, C. (1984). "Normal accidents: Living with high risk systems".

- Prince, J. and J. M. Kay (2003). “Combining lean and agile characteristics: Creation of virtual groups by enhanced production flow analysis”. *International Journal of Production Economics*. 85(3): 305–318.
- Project Management Institute (2018). *A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK guide): Simplified Chinese*. Project Management Institute.
- Raisch, S. and M. L. Tushman (2016). “Growing new corporate businesses: From initiation to graduation”. *Organization Science*. 27(5): 1237–1257.
- Rasmussen, J., K. Duncan, and J. Leplat (1987). *New technology and human error*. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Reason, J. (1990). *Human error*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Reason, J. (1997). *Managing the risks of organizational accidents*. Hants, UK: Ashgate Publishing.
- Reeves, C. A. and D. A. Bednar (1994). “Defining quality: Alternatives and implications”. *Academy of Management Review*. 19(3): 419–445.
- Remko, I. and V. Hoek (2000). “The thesis of legality revisited”. *International Journal of Agile Management Systems*. 2(3): 196–201.
- Rudolph, J. W., J. B. Morrison, and J. S. Carroll (2009). “The dynamics of action-oriented problem solving: Linking interpretation and choice”. *Academy of Management Review*. 34(4): 733–756.
- Rudolph, J. W. and N. P. Repenning (2002). “Disaster dynamics: Understanding the role of quantity in organizational collapse”. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 47(1): 1–30.
- Sagan, S. D. (1993). *The limits of safety*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University.
- Sagan, S. D. (1995). *The limits of safety: Organizations, accidents, and nuclear weapons*. Princeton University Press.
- Sasou, K. and J. Reason (1999). “Team errors: Definition and taxonomy”. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*. 65(1): 1–9.
- Schmutz, J. B., Z. Lei, W. J. Eppich, and T. Manser (2018). “Reflection in the heat of the moment: The role of in-action team reflexivity in health care emergency teams”. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*.

- Schroeder, R. G., K. Linderman, C. Liedtke, and A. S. Choo (2008). "Six Sigma: Definition and underlying theory". *Journal of Operations Management*. 26(4): 536–554.
- Schwab, K. (2017). *The fourth industrial revolution*. Crown Business.
- Sentinel Event Alert (2017). "A complimentary publication of The Joint Commission". Issue 58, September 12. URL: [https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/18/SEA_58_Hand_off_Comms_9_6_17_FINAL_\(1\).pdf](https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/18/SEA_58_Hand_off_Comms_9_6_17_FINAL_(1).pdf).
- Shah, R. and P. T. Ward (2003). "Lean manufacturing: Context, practice bundles, and performance". *Journal of Operations Management*. 21(2): 129–149.
- Sharifi, H. and Z. Zhang (2001). "Agile manufacturing in practice—Application of a methodology". *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*. 21(5/6): 772–794.
- Shewhart, W. A. (1931). *Economic control of quality of manufactured product*. ASQ Quality Press.
- Shortell, S. M. and S. J. Singer (2008). "Improving patient safety by taking systems seriously". *JAMA*. 299(4): 445–447.
- Singer, S. J. and T. J. Vogus (2013). "Reducing hospital errors: Interventions that build safety culture". *Annual Review of Public Health*. 34: 373–396.
- Sitkin, S. B. (1995). "On the positive effects of legalization on trust". *Research on Negotiation in Organizations*. 5: 185–218.
- Slack, N. and A. Brandon-Jones (2018). *Operations and process management: Principles and practice for strategic impact*. Pearson.
- Song, H. and A. Tucker (2016). "Performance improvement in health care organizations". *Foundations and Trends in Technology, Information and Operations Management*. 9(3–4): 153–309.
- Spear, S. and H. K. Bowen (1999). "Decoding the DNA of the Toyota production system". *Harvard Business Review*. 77(5): 96–106.
- Staats, B. R., D. J. Brunner, and D. M. Upton (2011). "Lean principles, learning, and knowledge work: Evidence from a software services provider". *Journal of Operations Management*. 29(5): 376–390.
- Starbuck, W. H. and F. J. Milliken (1988). "Challenger: Fine-tuning the odds until something breaks". *Journal of Management Studies*. 25(4): 319–340.

- Stewart, D. M. and J. R. Grout (2001). “The human side of mistake-proofing”. *Production and Operations Management*. 10(4): 440–459.
- Suzaki, K. (1987). *The new manufacturing challenge: Techniques for continuous improvement*. Simon and Schuster.
- Svejvig, P. and P. Andersen (2015). “Rethinking project management: A structured literature review with a critical look at the brave new world”. *International Journal of Project Management*. 33(2): 278–290.
- Swink, M. L. (1998). “A tutorial on implementing concurrent engineering in new product development programs”. *Journal of Operations Management*. 16(1): 103–116.
- Taguchi, G. (1986). *Introduction to quality engineering: Designing quality into products and processes*. Asian Productivity Organization, Tokyo.
- Time to Join the Digital Dots (2018). June 22. URL: <https://www.aero-mag.com/meggitt-applied-research-technology-group-data-capture/>.
- Tinsley, C. H., R. L. Dillon, and M. A. Cronin (2012). “How near-miss events amplify or attenuate risky decision making”. *Management Science*. 58(9): 1596–1613.
- Tinsley, C. H., R. L. Dillon, and P. M. Madsen (2011). “How to avoid catastrophe”. *Harvard Business Review*. 89(4): 90–97.
- Tucker, A. L., I. M. Nembhard, and A. C. Edmondson (2007). “Implementing new practices: An empirical study of organizational learning in hospital intensive care units”. *Management Science*. 53(6): 894–907.
- Turner, B. A. and N. F. Pidgeon (1997). *Man-made disasters*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Tushman, M. L. and C. A. O’Reilly III (1996). “Ambidextrous organizations: Managing evolutionary and revolutionary change”. *California Management Review*. 38(4): 8–29.
- Uhlemann, T. H. J., C. Lehmann, and R. Steinhilper (2017). “The digital twin: Realizing the cyber-physical production system for industry 4.0”. *Procedia Cirp*. 61: 335–340.

- van Dyck, C., M. Frese, M. Baer, and S. Sonnentag (2005). "Organizational error management culture and its impact on performance: A two-study replication". *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 90: 1228–1240.
- Vaughn, D. (1996). *The Challenger launch decision*. Chicago: U. Chicago.
- Volberda, H. W. (1996). "Toward the flexible form: How to remain vital in hypercompetitive environments". *Organization Science*. 7(4): 359–374.
- Wacker, J. G. (2004). "A theory of formal conceptual definitions: Developing theory-building measurement instruments". *Journal of Operations Management*. 22(6): 629–650.
- Ward, P. T. and R. Duray (2000). "Manufacturing strategy in context: Environment, competitive strategy and manufacturing strategy". *Journal of Operations Management*. 18(2): 123–138.
- Ward, P. T., J. K. McCreery, L. P. Ritzman, and D. Sharma (1998). "Competitive priorities in operations management". *Decision Sciences*. 29(4): 1035–1046.
- Weber, E. U. and E. J. Johnson (2009). "Mindful judgment and decision making". *Annual Review of Psychology*. 60: 53–85.
- Weick, K. E. (1993). "The collapse of sensemaking in organizations: The Mann Gulch disaster". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 38(4): 628–652.
- Weick, K. E. (1995). *Sensemaking in organizations*. Vol. 3. Sage.
- Weick, K. E. and K. H. Roberts (1993). "Collective mind in organizations: Heedful interrelating on flight decks". *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 38(3): 357–381.
- Weick, K. E. and K. M. Sutcliffe (2007). *Managing the unexpected: Resilient performance in an age of uncertainty*. Jossey-Bass.
- Weick, K. E., K. M. Sutcliffe, and D. Obstfeld (2005). "Organizing and the process of sensemaking". *Organization Science*. 16(4): 409–421.
- Yakobi, O., D. Cohen, E. Naveh, and I. Erev (forthcoming). "Reliance on small samples and the value of taxing reckless behaviors".