

Appendix for “How Private Politics Alters Legislative Responsiveness”

Survey Question Wording

Are you a staff member or legislator?

Staff member *Legislator*
0 1

What state do you represent? _____

What is your age?

18-24 *25-34* *35-44* *45-54* *55-64* *65-74* *75 years or older*

What is your gender?

Male *Female* *Other*

Which racial or ethnic group best describes you? You can check more than one.

White *Black* *Native American* *Hispanic* *Asian American* *Other*

What is your party affiliation?

Democrat *Republican* *Independent* *Other*

Which point on this scale best describes your political views?

Very liberal *Mostly liberal* *Somewhat liberal* *Moderate* *Somewhat conservative* *Mostly conservative* *Very conservative*

[TREATMENT]

How unlikely or likely would you be to respond to this e-mail?

Very unlikely *Somewhat unlikely* *Slightly unlikely* *Neither unlikely nor likely* *Slightly likely* *Somewhat likely* *Very likely*

If you responded to this e-mail, how unlikely or likely would you be to mention legislation that has already been passed, is pending, or is possible on the minimum wage issue?

Very unlikely *Somewhat unlikely* *Slightly unlikely* *Neither unlikely nor likely* *Slightly likely* *Somewhat likely* *Very likely*

If you responded to this e-mail, how unlikely or likely would you be to mention interest group activities?

Very unlikely *Somewhat unlikely* *Slightly unlikely* *Neither unlikely nor likely* *Slightly likely* *Somewhat likely* *Very likely*

How unlikely or likely would you be to sponsor new legislation in favor of an increase in your state's minimum wage?

<i>Very unlikely</i>	<i>Somewhat unlikely</i>	<i>Slightly unlikely</i>	<i>Neither unlikely nor likely</i>	<i>Slightly likely</i>	<i>Somewhat likely</i>	<i>Very likely</i>
----------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	--------------------

How unlikely or likely would you be to move your policy position in support of increasing your state's minimum wage?

<i>Very unlikely</i>	<i>Somewhat unlikely</i>	<i>Slightly unlikely</i>	<i>Neither unlikely nor likely</i>	<i>Slightly likely</i>	<i>Somewhat likely</i>	<i>Very likely</i>
----------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	--------------------

If there was a change in the minimum wage due to the group mentioned in the letter, how much credit do you think voters would attribute to the legislature?

<i>No credit</i>	<i>Some credit</i>	<i>A good deal of credit</i>	<i>All credit</i>
------------------	--------------------	------------------------------	-------------------

If there was a change in the minimum wage due to the group mentioned in the letter, how much credit do you think voters would attribute to interest groups?

<i>No credit</i>	<i>Some credit</i>	<i>A good deal of credit</i>	<i>All credit</i>
------------------	--------------------	------------------------------	-------------------

Table A-1: Legislators' Credit Attribution and Policy Responses with Cutpoints

	(1) Legislature Credit	(2) Interest Group Credit	(3) Sponsor	(4) Move Position
Public Politics	0.250	-0.266	0.406	0.391
E-mail	(0.123)	(0.115)	(0.119)	(0.113)
Private Politics	-0.355	0.268	0.216	0.071
E-mail	(0.122)	(0.123)	(0.122)	(0.124)
Age	0.001	0.069	0.072	0.059
	(0.031)	(0.032)	(0.031)	(0.031)
Female	-0.023	-0.149	-0.005	0.178
	(0.104)	(0.103)	(0.106)	(0.101)
Minority	-0.280	0.487	0.201	0.157
	(0.147)	(0.135)	(0.135)	(0.140)
Republican	-0.072	0.118	-1.799	-1.354
	(0.105)	(0.102)	(0.112)	(0.104)
Significant Difference between Public/Private Conditions?	YES ($p \leq .01$)	YES ($p \leq .01$)	NO ($p \leq .12$)	YES ($p \leq .01$)
Constant cut1	-2.218	-1.524	-0.783	-0.689
	(0.206)	(0.192)	(0.170)	(0.172)
Constant cut2	-1.037	-0.000	-0.437	-0.201
	(0.168)	(0.177)	(0.172)	(0.172)
Constant cut3	0.131	1.185	-0.265	0.025
	(0.169)	(0.183)	(0.173)	(0.172)
Constant cut4			0.358	0.821
			(0.174)	(0.180)
Constant cut5			0.743	1.129
			(0.174)	(0.181)
Constant cut6			1.368	1.555
			(0.182)	(0.192)
Log-Likelihood	-538.41	-566.13	-769.95	-802.57
N	499	496	527	519

Note: Entries are ordered probit coefficients with robust standard errors in parentheses.

Table A-2: Legislators' E-mail Responses with Cutpoints

	(1) Respond	(2) Mention Legislation	(3) Mention Interest Groups
Public Politics	0.197	0.211	-0.201
E-mail	(0.126)	(0.118)	(0.108)
Private Politics	-0.194	0.561	0.254
E-mail	(0.120)	(0.136)	(0.123)
Age	-0.006	0.001	0.082
	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.030)
Female	0.234	0.217	0.115
	(0.112)	(0.112)	(0.101)
Minority	-0.362	-0.120	0.188
	(0.135)	(0.166)	(0.134)
Republican	-0.139	-0.599	-0.367
	(0.104)	(0.107)	(0.101)
Significant Difference between Public/Private Conditions?	YES ($p \leq .01$)	YES ($p \leq .01$)	YES ($p \leq .01$)
Constant cut1	-1.752	-1.602	-0.126
	(0.191)	(0.184)	(0.168)
Constant cut2	-1.560	-1.486	0.258
	(0.184)	(0.182)	(0.167)
Constant cut3	-1.400	-1.329	0.389
	(0.176)	(0.185)	(0.167)
Constant cut4	-0.916	-1.010	0.950
	(0.174)	(0.183)	(0.171)
Constant cut5	-0.657	-0.771	1.232
	(0.172)	(0.179)	(0.174)
Constant cut6	-0.320	-0.187	1.788
	(0.171)	(0.174)	(0.185)
Log-Likelihood	-701.51	-662.67	-884.32
N	543	525	520

Note: Entries are ordered probit coefficients with robust standard errors in parentheses.

Table A-3: Results with Republican X Treatment Interactions

	(1) Legislature Credit	(2) Interest Group Credit	(3) Sponsor	(4) Move Position	(5) Respond	(6) Mention Legislation	(7) Mention Interest Groups
Public	0.223	-0.160	0.284	0.388	0.188	0.448	-0.163
Politics	(0.154)	(0.143)	(0.149)	(0.151)	(0.174)	(0.179)	(0.141)
Private	-0.262	0.438	0.480	0.284	-0.149	0.760	0.187
Politics	(0.157)	(0.160)	(0.165)	(0.169)	(0.168)	(0.214)	(0.160)
Age	0.000	0.067	0.075	0.058	-0.006	-0.003	0.082
	(0.031)	(0.032)	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.030)
Female	-0.028	-0.154	-0.021	0.165	0.230	0.212	0.122
	(0.104)	(0.104)	(0.107)	(0.102)	(0.113)	(0.112)	(0.101)
Minority	-0.269	0.485	0.242	0.179	-0.356	-0.137	0.177
	(0.147)	(0.137)	(0.135)	(0.141)	(0.135)	(0.167)	(0.135)
Republican	-0.024	0.306	-1.720	-1.209	-0.114	-0.343	-0.383
	(0.169)	(0.158)	(0.165)	(0.162)	(0.167)	(0.164)	(0.163)
Rep.*Public	0.062	-0.228	0.282	0.003	0.022	-0.451	-0.087
	(0.250)	(0.229)	(0.231)	(0.225)	(0.250)	(0.236)	(0.218)
Rep.*Private	-0.205	-0.369	-0.706	-0.522	-0.094	-0.377	0.149
	(0.245)	(0.249)	(0.269)	(0.258)	(0.243)	(0.273)	(0.249)
Constant cut1	-2.201	-1.451	-0.757	-0.632	-1.742	-1.500	-0.133
	(0.209)	(0.195)	(0.171)	(0.176)	(0.198)	(0.191)	(0.171)
Constant cut2	-1.018	0.075	-0.403	-0.141	-1.550	-1.384	0.251
	(0.175)	(0.180)	(0.174)	(0.177)	(0.190)	(0.188)	(0.170)
Constant cut3	0.151	1.265	-0.226	0.086	-1.390	-1.227	0.382
	(0.176)	(0.186)	(0.177)	(0.178)	(0.183)	(0.191)	(0.170)
Constant cut4			0.410	0.886	-0.905	-0.905	0.943
			(0.179)	(0.187)	(0.182)	(0.191)	(0.173)
Constant cut5			0.799	1.195	-0.647	-0.665	1.226
			(0.179)	(0.187)	(0.178)	(0.187)	(0.177)
Constant cut6			1.428	1.621	-0.310	-0.078	1.782
			(0.190)	(0.200)	(0.178)	(0.184)	(0.187)
Log-Likelihood	-537.78	-564.94	-762.07	-799.64	-701.39	-660.68	-883.81
Observations	499	496	527	519	543	525	520

Note: Entries are ordered probit coefficients with robust standard errors in parentheses.