

# Slavery, Elections and Political Affiliations in Colombia

## Online Appendix

Ali T. Ahmed  
Department of Politics  
New York University  
ali.ahmed@nyu.edu

Marcus A Johnson Jr  
Department of Govt. and Politics  
University of Maryland  
marcus.johnson@baruch.cuny.edu

Mateo Vásquez-Cortés  
Department of Politics  
ITAM  
mateo.vasquez@itam.mx

### List of Tables

1	Slavery and Presidential Vote Share ( <i>IV-2sls Mines Only</i> ) . . . . .	4
2	Slavery and Local Council Vote Share ( <i>IV-2sls Mines Only</i> ) . . . . .	5
3	Presidential Vote Share ( <i>Andean, Caribbean, and Pacific Region Sample</i> ) . . . . .	7
4	Local Council Vote Share ( <i>Andean, Caribbean, and Pacific Region Sample</i> ) . . . . .	8
5	Mayoral Elections . . . . .	9
6	Margin of Victory ( <i>Local Council Elections</i> ) . . . . .	10
7	Correlates of Migration . . . . .	11
8	Robustness Checks: Migration . . . . .	12
9	Left-wing Party Share (2006 - 2018) . . . . .	13

### List of Figures

1	Support for Political Party - LAPOP (2004 - 2018) . . . . .	2
2	Slavery and Elections (IV - 2SLS) . . . . .	3
3	Liberal Vote Share ( <i>Andean, Caribbean and Pacific Region</i> ) . . . . .	6
4	Presidential Elections Left-Wing Party Share (2006 - 2018) . . . . .	14

# A Appendix Tables & Figures





Figure A. 1: Support for Political Party - LAPOP (2004 - 2018)

## Presidential Elections



## Council Elections




Figure A. 2: Slavery and Elections (IV - 2SLS)

Table A. 1: Slavery and Presidential Vote Share (IV-2sls Mines Only)

	1856		1958		2018		1958 - 2018	
	[1] Liberal Party Share	[2] Conservative Party Share	[3] Liberal Party Share	[4] Conservative Party Share	[5] Liberal Party Share	[6] Conservative Party Share	[7] Liberal Party Share	[8] Conservative Party Share
Panel A: IV -2sls								
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	0.022 (0.062)	-0.022 (0.062)	0.093* (0.048)	-0.087* (0.046)	0.001 (0.002)	-0.053* (0.032)	0.054** (0.025)	-0.061** (0.027)
First-Stage F-Stat	7.407	7.407	6.603	6.603	9.048	9.048	9.032	9.032
Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	601	601	738	738	1018	1018	12658	12658
Municipalities							1018	1018
Outcome Mean	0.538	0.462	0.732	0.265	0.0192	0.492	0.381	0.412

Note: The unit of analysis is a municipality. The independent variable, *Slave Ratio (norm.)*, represents the proportion of the municipal population that was enslaved in 1843. The variable is standardized by subtracting the sample mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Standard errors clustered at the municipality level reported in parentheses. \*\*\* is significant at the 1% level, \*\* is significant at the 5% level and \* is significant at the 10% level.

**Table A. 2:** Slavery and Local Council Vote Share (*IV-2sls Mines Only*)

	1974		2019		1974 - 2019	
	[1] Liberal Party Share	[2] Conservative Party Share	[3] Liberal Party Share	[4] Conservative Party Share	[5] Liberal Party Share	[6] Conservative Party Share
Panel A: IV -2sls						
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	0.114** (0.050)	-0.122** (0.049)	0.019 (0.019)	-0.026 (0.018)	0.062** (0.026)	-0.072** (0.031)
First-Stage F-Stat	8.440	8.440	9.053	9.053	9.199	9.199
Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	912	912	1016	1016	16571	16570
Municipalities					1018	1018
Outcome Mean	0.500	0.408	0.150	0.146	0.383	0.327

*Note:* The unit of analysis is a municipality. The independent variable, *Slave Ratio (norm.)*, represents the proportion of the municipal population that was enslaved in 1843. The variable is standardized by subtracting the sample mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Standard errors clustered at the municipality level reported in parentheses. \*\*\* is significant at the 1% level, \*\* is significant at the 5% level and \* is significant at the 10% level.




Figure A. 3: Liberal Vote Share (Andean, Caribbean and Pacific Region)

**Table A. 3:** Presidential Vote Share (*Andean, Caribbean, and Pacific Region Sample*)

	1856		1958		2018		1958 - 2018	
	[1] Liberal Party Share	[2] Conservative Party Share	[3] Liberal Party Share	[4] Conservative Party Share	[5] Liberal Party Share	[6] Conservative Party Share	[7] Liberal Party Share	[8] Conservative Party Share
Panel A: OLS								
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	0.007 (0.010)	-0.007 (0.010)	0.034*** (0.009)	-0.033*** (0.009)	0.001 (0.000)	-0.019*** (0.005)	0.021*** (0.004)	-0.021*** (0.005)
Adjusted $R^2$	0.303	0.304	0.259	0.256	0.094	0.436	0.487	0.395
Panel B: IV - 2SLS								
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	-0.008 (0.050)	0.008 (0.050)	0.105** (0.041)	-0.100** (0.040)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.075** (0.030)	0.059*** (0.022)	-0.068*** (0.024)
First-Stage F-Stat	31.79	31.79	13.67	13.67	18.71	18.71	18.25	18.25
Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	589	589	738	738	936	936	11770	11770
Municipalities							936	936
Outcome Mean	0.533	0.467	0.732	0.265	0.0189	0.490	0.381	0.418

*Note:* The unit of analysis is a municipality. The independent variable, *Slave Ratio (norm.)*, represents the proportion of the municipal population that was enslaved in 1843. The variable is standardized by subtracting the sample mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Standard errors clustered at the municipality level reported in parentheses. \*\*\* is significant at the 1% level, \*\* is significant at the 5% level and \* is significant at the 10% level.

**Table A. 4:** Local Council Vote Share (*Andean, Caribbean, and Pacific Region Sample*)

	1972		2019		1972 - 2019	
	[1] Liberal Party Share	[2] Conservative Party Share	[3] Liberal Party Share	[4] Conservative Party Share	[5] Liberal Party Share	[6] Conservative Party Share
Panel A: OLS						
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	0.033*** (0.008)	-0.028*** (0.007)	0.001 (0.003)	-0.007** (0.003)	0.026*** (0.005)	-0.024*** (0.005)
Adjusted $R^2$	0.157	0.138	0.102	0.037	0.334	0.276
Panel B: IV - 2SLS						
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	0.120*** (0.043)	-0.130*** (0.044)	0.017 (0.016)	-0.028* (0.015)	0.064*** (0.023)	-0.075*** (0.027)
First-Stage F-Stat	13.58	13.58	19.07	19.07	17.59	17.60
Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	863	863	934	934	15504	15503
Municipalities					936	936
Outcome Mean	0.488	0.420	0.148	0.150	0.380	0.338

*Note:* The unit of analysis is a municipality. The independent variable, *Slave Ratio (norm.)*, represents the proportion of the municipal population that was enslaved in 1843. The variable is standardized by subtracting the sample mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Standard errors clustered at the municipality level reported in parentheses. \*\*\* is significant at the 1% level, \*\* is significant at the 5% level and \* is significant at the 10% level.



**Table A. 5: Mayoral Elections**

	1992		2007		1992 - 2007	
	[1] Liberal Party Share	[2] Conservative Party Share	[3] Liberal Party Share	[4] Conservative Party Share	[5] Liberal Party Share	[6] Conservative Party Share
Panel A: OLS						
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	0.046*** (0.016)	-0.034*** (0.012)	0.026*** (0.006)	-0.022*** (0.007)	0.034*** (0.007)	-0.032*** (0.007)
Adjusted $R^2$	0.076	0.052	0.095	0.085	0.155	0.119
Panel B: IV - 2SLS						
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	-0.078 (0.073)	-0.035 (0.059)	0.058* (0.031)	-0.059* (0.032)	0.031 (0.031)	-0.061* (0.033)
First-Stage F-Stat	17.07	17.07	18.52	18.52	20.77	20.77
Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	1006	1006	1013	1013	5751	5751
Municipalities					1016	1016
Outcome Mean	0.386	0.279	0.182	0.194	0.350	0.275

*Note:* The unit of analysis is a municipality. The independent variable, *Slave Ratio (norm.)*, represents the proportion of the municipal population that was enslaved in 1843. The variable is standardized by subtracting the sample mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Standard errors clustered at the municipality level reported in parentheses. \*\*\* is significant at the 1% level, \*\* is significant at the 5% level and \* is significant at the 10% level.

**Table A. 6:** Margin of Victory (*Local Council Elections*)

	1974		2007		1974 - 2007	
	[1] Victory Margin	[2] Margin Victory (Share)	[3] Victory Margin	[4] Margin Victory (Share)	[5] Victory Margin	[6] Victory Margin (Share)
Panel A: OLS						
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	550.576*** (181.448)	0.003 (0.009)	14.542 (10.569)	-0.003** (0.001)	219.487*** (62.347)	-0.007 (0.005)
Adjusted $R^2$	0.019	0.122	0.764	0.007	0.189	0.493
Panel B: IV - 2SLS						
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	2016.713* (1165.869)	-0.035 (0.040)	-17.557 (43.109)	-0.005 (0.006)	731.355* (414.805)	-0.050** (0.024)
First-Stage F-Stat	13.59	13.59	18.93	18.93	17.34	17.32
Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	862	862	935	935	12696	12686
Municipalities					936	936
Outcome Mean	2092.4	0.461	101.9	0.0167	1039.2	0.281

*Note:* The unit of analysis is a municipality. The independent variable, *Slave Ratio (norm.)*, represents the proportion of the municipal population that was enslaved in 1843. The variable is standardized by subtracting the sample mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Standard errors clustered at the municipality level reported in parentheses. \*\*\* is significant at the 1% level, \*\* is significant at the 5% level and \* is significant at the 10% level.

**Table A. 7:** Correlates of Migration

	OLS		
	[1] Born Same Municip.	[2] Born Diff. Municip.	[3] Born Diff. Dept.
<b>Panel A</b>			
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	0.002 (0.004)	0.003 (0.002)	-0.005** (0.003)
Municipalities	1011	1011	1011
Outcome Mean	0.714	0.154	0.132

*Note:* The unit of analysis is a municipality. The independent variable, *Slave Ratio (norm.)*, represents the proportion of the municipal population that was enslaved in 1843. The variable is standardized by subtracting the sample mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Standard errors clustered at the municipality level reported in parentheses. \*\*\* is significant at the 1% level, \*\* is significant at the 5% level and \* is significant at the 10% level.

**Table A. 8:** Robustness Checks: Migration

	Liberal Party Share				Conservative Party Share			
	[1] OLS	[2] OLS	[3] IV-2SLS	[4] IV-2SLS	[5] OLS	[6] OLS	[7] IV-2SLS	[8] IV-2SLS
<b>Panel A: Presidential</b>								
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	0.025*** (0.004)	0.024*** (0.004)	0.040* (0.020)	0.051** (0.021)	-0.026*** (0.005)	-0.023*** (0.005)	-0.048** (0.022)	-0.059*** (0.023)
First-Stage F-Stat			14.53	17.65			14.53	17.65
Observations	12582	12582	12570	12570	12582	12582	12570	12570
Municipalities	1011	1011	1010	1010	1011	1011	1010	1010
Outcome Mean	0.381	0.381	0.381	0.381	0.413	0.413	0.413	0.413
<b>Panel B: Local Council</b>								
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	0.030*** (0.005)	0.028*** (0.005)	0.043** (0.022)	0.056** (0.022)	-0.029*** (0.005)	-0.025*** (0.005)	-0.051** (0.024)	-0.067*** (0.025)
First-Stage F-Stat			13.95	16.77			13.95	16.77
Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Different Dept. Trend	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Different Muni. Trend	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	16472	16472	16454	16454	16471	16471	16453	16453
Municipalities	1011	1011	1010	1010	1011	1011	1010	1010
Outcome Mean	0.383	0.383	0.383	0.383	0.327	0.327	0.327	0.327

*Note:* The unit of analysis is a municipality. The independent variable, *Slave Ratio (norm.)*, represents the proportion of the municipal population that was enslaved in 1843. The variable is standardized by subtracting the sample mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Standard errors clustered at the municipality level reported in parentheses. \*\*\* is significant at the 1% level, \*\* is significant at the 5% level and \* is significant at the 10% level.

**Table A. 9:** Left-wing Party Share (2006 - 2018)

	Left-wing Party Share	
	[1] OLS	[2] IV-2sls
<b>Panel A</b>		
Slave Ratio (Norm.)	0.006* (0.003)	0.034*** (0.013)
First-Stage F-Stat		19.11
Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes
Controls	Yes	Yes
Observations	4071	4064
Municipalities	1018	1016
Outcome Mean	0.149	0.149

*Note:* The unit of analysis is a municipality. The independent variable, *Slave Ratio (norm.)*, represents the proportion of the municipal population that was enslaved in 1843. The variable is standardized by subtracting the sample mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Standard errors clustered at the municipality level reported in parentheses. \*\*\* is significant at the 1% level, \*\* is significant at the 5% level and \* is significant at the 10% level.

## Presidential Elections Left-wing party Share




Figure A. 4: Presidential Elections Left-Wing Party Share (2006 - 2018)